arXiv:1410.2343v3 [math.NT] 17 Nov 2015

ON TATE CONJECTURE FOR THE SPECIAL FIBERS OF SOME UNITARY SHIMURA VARIETIES

DAVID HELM, YICHAO TIAN, AND LIANG XIAO

Abstract. Let F be a real quadratic field in which a fixed prime p is inert, and E_0 be an imaginary quadratic field in which p splits; put $E = E_0F$. Let X be the fiber over $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ of the Shimura variety for $G(U(1, n-1) \times U(n-1, 1))$ with hyperspecial level structure at p for some integer $n \geq 2$. We show that, under some genericity conditions, the middle-dimensional Tate classes of X are generated by the irreducible components of its supersingular locus. We also discuss a general conjecture regarding special cycles on the special fibers of unitary Shimura varieties, and on their relation to Newton stratification.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. The conjecture on the special cycles 4
3. Preliminaries on Dieudonné modules and Deformation Theory 12
4. The case of $G(U(1, n-1) \times U(n-1, 1))$ 15
5. Fundamental intersection number 26
6. Intersection matrix of supersingular cycles on $\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}$ 32
7. Construction of cycles in the case of $G(U(r, s) \times U(s, r))$ 41
A. A calculation of Galois cohomology 50
B. An explicit formula in the local spherical Hecke algebra for GL_n 51
C. A Determinant Formula 53
References 54

1. Introduction

The study of the geometry of Shimura varieties lies at the heart of the Langlands program. Arithmetic information of Shimura varieties builds a bridge relating the world of automorphic representations and the world of Galois representations.

One of the interesting topics in this area is to understand the supersingular locus of the special fibers of Shimura varieties, or more generally, any interesting stratifications (e.g. Newton or Ekedahl-Oort stratification) of the special fibers of Shimura varieties. The case of unitary Shimura varieties has been extensively studied. In [VW11], Vollaard and Wedhorn showed that the supersingular locus of the special fiber of the $GU(1, n-1)$-Shimura variety at an inert prime is a union of Deligne-Lusztig varieties. Further, Howard and Pappas [HP14] studied the case of $GU(2, 2)$ at an inert prime, and Rapoport, Terstiege and Wilson proved similar results for $GU(n-1, 1)$ at a

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11G18 (primary), 14G35 14C25 14C17 11R39 (secondary).

Key words and phrases. Supersingular locus, Special fiber of Shimura varieties, Deligne-Lusztig varieties, Tate conjecture.

ramified prime. Finally, we remark that Görtz and He [GH15] studied the basic loci in more general Shimura varieties.

In all the work mentioned above, the authors use the uniformization theorem of Rapoport-Zink to reduce the problem to the study of certain Rapoport-Zink spaces. In this paper, we take a different approach. Instead of using the uniformization theorem, we study the supersingular locus (or more generally other Newton strata) of certain unitary Shimura varieties by considering correspondences between unitary Shimura varieties of different signatures. This method was introduced by the first author in [He10, He12], and applied successfully to quaternionic Shimura varieties by the second and the third authors [TX13].

Another new aspect of this work is that, we study not only the global geometry of the supersingular locus, but also their relationship with the Tate Conjecture for Shimura varieties over finite fields. We show that the supersingular locus contributes to all "generic" middle dimensional Tate cycles of the special fibre of the Shimura variety. Similar results have been obtained by the second and the third authors for even dimensional Hilbert modular varieties at an inert prime [TX14]. We believe that, this phenomenon is a general philosophy which holds for more general Shimura varieties. This work can be viewed as a concrete example of such philosophy in the case of unitary Shimura variety.

We explain in more detail the main results of this paper. Let F be a real quadratic field, E_0 be an imaginary quadratic field, and $E = E_0F$. Let p be a prime number inert in F, and splits in E_0. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$ denote the two places of E above p so that $E_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $E_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}$ are both isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}$, the unique unramified quadratic extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. For an integer $n \geq 1$, let G be the similitude unitary group associated to a division algebra over E equipped with an involution of second kind. In the notation of Subsection 3.6, our G is denoted as $G_{1,n-1}$. This is an algebraic group over $\mathbb{Q}$ such that $G(\mathbb{R}) = G(U(1,n-1) \times U(n-1,1))$ and $G(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \times \mathrm{GL}_n(E_{\mathfrak{p}})$.

Let $\mathbb{A}$ denote the ring of finite adèles of $\mathbb{Q}$, and $\mathbb{A}^\infty$ be its finite part. Fix a sufficiently small open compact subgroup $K \subseteq G(\mathbb{A}^\infty)$ with $K_p = \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \times \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}) \subseteq G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, where $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ is the ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}$. Let $\mathcal{S}h(G)_K$ be the Shimura variety associated to G of level K. [1]

According to Kottwitz [Ko92a], when K^p is neat, $\mathcal{S}h(G)_K$ admits a proper and smooth integral model over $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ which parametrizes certain polarized abelian schemes with K-level structure (See Subsection 2.3). Let $\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}$ denote the special fiber of $\mathcal{S}h(G)_K$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$. This is a proper smooth variety over $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ of dimension $2(n-1)$. Let $\mathrm{Sh}^{\mathrm{ss}}_{1,n-1}$ denote the supersingular locus of $\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}$, i.e. the closed subvariety of $\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}$ that parametrizes supersingluar abelian varieties. We will see in Proposition 4.16 that $\mathrm{Sh}^{\mathrm{ss}}_{1,n-1}$ is equidimensional of dimension $n-1$.

Fix a prime $\ell \neq p$. The ℓ-adic étale cohomology group $H^{2(n-1)}_{\mathrm{et}}\big(\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(n-1)\big)$ is equipped with a natural action by $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p/\mathbb{F}_{p^2}) \times \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[K\backslash G(\mathbb{A}^\infty)/K]$. We will take the advantage of the Hecke action to consider a variant of the Tate conjecture for $\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}$.

Fix an irreducible admissible representation π of $G(\mathbb{A}^\infty)$ (with coefficients in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$). The K-invariant subspace of π, denoted by π^K, is a finite dimensional irreducible representation of the Hecke algebra $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[K\backslash G(\mathbb{A}^\infty)/K]$. We denote by $H^{2(n-1)}_{\mathrm{et}}\big(\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(n-1)\big)_\pi$ the π^K-isotypic component of $H^{2(n-1)}_{\mathrm{et}}\big(\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(n-1)\big)$, and put

$$H^{2(n-1)}_{\mathrm{et}}\big(\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(n-1)\big)^{\mathrm{fin}}_\pi := \bigcup_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_{p^2}} H^{2(n-1)}_{\mathrm{et}}\big(\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(n-1)\big)^{\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p/\mathbb{F}_q)}_\pi,$$

1. Strictly speaking, the moduli space $\mathcal{S}h(G)_K$ is $\#\ker^1(\mathbb{Q}, G)$-copies of the classical Shimura variety whose $\mathbb{C}$-points are given by the double coset $G(\mathbb{Q})\backslash G(\mathbb{A})/K_\infty \cdot K$, where $K_\infty \subseteq G(\mathbb{R})$ is the maximal compact subgroup modulo center. See [Ko92a, page 400] for details.

where $\mathbb{F}_q$ runs through all the finite extensions of $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$. By projecting to the π^K-isotypic component, we have an ℓ-adic cycle class map:

$$\mathrm{cl}^{n-1}_{\pi} : A^{n-1}(\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \to H^{2(n-1)}_{\mathrm{et}}\big(\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(n-1)\big)^{\mathrm{fin}}_{\pi}, \tag{1.0.1}$$

where $A^{n-1}(\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p})$ is the abelian group of codimension $(n-1)$ algebraic cycles on $\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}$. Then the Tate conjecture for $\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}$ predicts that the above map is surjective. Our main result confirms exactly this statement under some "genericity" assumptions on π.

From now on, we assume that π satisfies Hypothesis 2.5 to ensure the non-triviality of π-isotypical component of the cohomomology groups. In particular, π is the finite part of an automorphic cuspidal representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$, and $H^{2(n-1)}_{\mathrm{et}}\big(\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(n-1)\big)_{\pi} \neq 0$. Let π_p denote the p-component of π. Since $G(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \times \mathrm{GL}_n(E_{\mathfrak{p}})$, we write $\pi_p = \pi_{p,0} \otimes \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}$, where $\pi_{p,0}$ is a character of $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ and $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is an irreducible admissible representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(E_{\mathfrak{p}})$.

Our main theorem is the following

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that π is the finite part of an automorphic representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$ that admits a cuspidal base change to $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_E) \times \mathbb{A}_{E_0}^\times$, and that the Satake parameters of $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ are distinct modulo roots of unity. Then $H^{2(n-1)}_{\mathrm{et}}\big(\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(n-1)\big)^{\mathrm{fin}}_{\pi}$ is generated by the cohomological classes of the irreducible components of the supersingular locus $\mathrm{Sh}^{\mathrm{ss}}_{1,n-1}$; in particular, the cycle class map* (1.0.1) *is surjective.*

This Theorem will be restated in a more precise form in Theorem 4.21. Here, the first assumption on π is technical, and the main geometric results of this paper is actually independent of this assumption. It is imposed here to ensure certain equalities on the automorphic multiplicity on π (See Remark 4.22). The method of our paper can be certainly applied to more general representations π, if we have more knowledge on the multiplicity of automorphic forms on unitary groups. On the other hand, the assumption that the Satake parameters of $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ are distinct modulo roots of unity is crucial for our method, and it is reformulated in Theorem 4.21 in terms of the Frobenius eigenvalues of certain Galois representation attached to $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ via the unramified local Langlands correspondence.

Actually, what we will prove is more precise than stated in Theorem 1.1. We need another unitary group $G' = G_{0,n}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ for E/F as in Lemma 2.9, which is the unique inner form of G such that $G'(\mathbb{A}^\infty) \cong G(\mathbb{A}^\infty)$ and $G(\mathbb{R}) \cong G(U(0,n) \times U(n,0))$. Let $\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}$ denote the (zero-dimensional) Shimura variety over $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ associated to G'. We will show in Proposition 4.16 that , the supersingular locus $\mathrm{Sh}^{\mathrm{ss}}_{1,n-1}$ is a union of n closed subvarieties Y_i with $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that each of Y_i admits a fibration over $\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}$ of the same level $K \subseteq G(\mathbb{A}^\infty) \cong G'(\mathbb{A}^\infty)$ with fibers isomorphic to a certain proper and smooth closed subvariety in a product of Grassmannians. In other words, each Y_i is an algebraic correspondence between $\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}$ and $\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}$. This can be viewed as a geometric realization of the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence between G and G' in the sense of [He10]. To prove Theorem 1.1, it suffices to show that, when the Satake parameters of $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ are distinct modulo roots of unity, $H^{2(n-1)}_{\mathrm{et}}\big(\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(n-1)\big)^{\mathrm{fin}}_{\pi}$ is generated by the cohomology classes of the irreducible components of Y_i's. The key point is to show that the π-projection of the intersection matrix of Y_j is non-degenerate under the assumption above on $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

We briefly describe the structure of this paper. In Section 2, we consider a more general setup of unitary Shimura varieties, and propose a general conjecture, which roughly predicts the existence of certain algebraic correspondence between the special fibers of Shimura varieties with hyperspecial level at p associated to unitary groups with different signature at infinity (Conjecture 2.11). Theorem 1.1 is a special case of this Conjecture. We believe that our conjecture will provide a new perspective to understand of the special fibers of Shimura varieties. In Section 3, we review some Dieudonné theory and Grothendieck-Messing deformation theory that will be frequently used

in later sections. Section 4 is devoted to the study of the supersingular locus $\mathrm{Sh}^{\mathrm{ss}}_{1,n-1}$, and construct the sub-varieties Y_i's mentioned above. In Section 5, we compute certain intersection number on products of Grassmannian varieties. Those numbers will play a fundamental role in our later computation of the intersection matrix of the Y_i's. In Section 6, we will compute explicitly the intersection matrix of Y_i's (Theorem 6.8), and show that its π-projection of the intersection matrix is non-degenerate as long as the Satake parameters of $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ are distinct (no need to be distinct modulo roots of unity). Then an easy cohomological computation allows us to conclude the proof of our main theorem. In Section 7, we will generalize the construction of the cycles Y_i's to the Shimura variety associated to unitary group for E/F of signature $(r,s)\times(r,s)$ at infinity. In this case, we only obtain some partial results on these cycles predicted by Conjecture 2.11: we only show that the union of these cycles is exactly the supersingular locus of the unitary Shimura variety in question (Theorem 7.8).

Acknowledgements. We thank Xinwen Zhu for sharing his insight into Conjecture 2.11 and allowing us to include them in this current paper. We also thank Gaëtan Chenevier, Matthew Emerton, Robert Kottwitz, Sug Woo Shin and Jacques Tilouine for extremely helpful discussions. We thank Fields Institute for hosting the thematic program, during which this collaboration starts. D.H. thanks University of Chicago and University of California at Irvine for hospitality when he visited. Y.T. thanks the hospitality of Institut de Hautes Études Scientifiques where part of this work was written. Y.T. thanks University of California at Irvine and University of Connecticut at Storrs for hospitality when he visited. D.H. was supported by NSF grant DMS-1161582. Y.T. was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11321101). L.X. was partially supported by Simons Collaboration Grant #278433 and CORCL grant from University of California, Irvine.

2. The conjecture on the special cycles

We will only discuss certain unitary Shimura varieties so that the description becomes explicit; it should then be clear to experts how to reformulate the conjecture in other or general settings.

2.1. **Notation.** We fix a prime number p throughout this paper. We fix an isomorphism $\iota_p:\mathbb{C}\xrightarrow{\sim}\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$. Let $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\mathrm{ur}}$ be the maximal unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ inside $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$.

Let F be a totally real field of degree f in which p is inert. We label all real embeddings of F, or equivalently all p-adic embeddings of F (into $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\mathrm{ur}}$) by $\tau_1,\dots,\tau_f$ so that post-composition by the Frobenius map takes τ_i to τ_{i+1}, with the convention that the subindices are taken modulo f. Let E_0 be an imaginary quadratic extension of $\mathbb{Q}$, in which p splits. Put $E=E_0F$. Denote by v and $\bar{v}$ the two p-adic places of E_0. Then p splits into two primes $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$ in E, where $\mathfrak{p}$ (resp. $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$) is the p-adic place above v (resp. $\bar{v}$). Let q_i denote the embedding $E\to E_{\mathfrak{p}}\cong F_p\xrightarrow{\tau_i}\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ and $\bar{q}_i$ the analogous embedding which factors through $E_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}$ instead. Composing with ι_p^{-1}, we regard q_i and $\bar{q}_i$ as complex embeddings of E, and we put $\Sigma_{\infty,E}=\{q_1,\dots q_f,\bar{q}_1,\dots,\bar{q}_f\}$.

2.2. **Shimura Data.** Let D be a division algebra of n^2-dimensional over its center E, equipped with a positive involution $*$ which restricts to the complex conjugation c on E. We assume that $D^{\mathrm{opp}}\cong D\otimes_{E,c}E$, and D splits at p so that we fix an isomorphism

$$D\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{Q}_p\cong \mathrm{M}_n(E_{\mathfrak{p}})\times\mathrm{M}_n(E_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}})\cong \mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{Q}_{p^f})\times\mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{Q}_{p^f}),$$

where $*$ switches the two direct factors. We use $\mathfrak{e}$ to denote the element of $D\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{Q}_p$ corresponding to the $(1,1)$-elementary matrix[2] in the first factor. Let $a_\bullet=(a_i)_{1\le i\le f}$ be a tuple of f numbers with $a_i\in\{0,\dots,n\}$. We choose $\beta_{a_\bullet}\in(D^\times)^{*=-1}$ such that the following condition is satisfied:

2. By a $(1,1)$-elementary matrix, we mean an $n\times n$-matrix whose $(1,1)$-entry is 1 and whose other entries are zero.

– Let $G_{a_\bullet}$ the algebraic group over $\mathbb{Q}$ such that $G_{a_\bullet}(R)$ for a $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra R consists of elements $g \in (D^{\mathrm{opp}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} R)^\times$ with $g\beta_{a_\bullet} g^* = c(g)\beta_{a_\bullet}$ for some $c(g) \in R^\times$. If $G^1_{a_\bullet}$ denotes the kernel of the similitude character $c : G_{a_\bullet} \to \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{Q}}$, then there exists an isomorphism

$$G^1_{a_\bullet}(\mathbb{R}) \cong \prod_{i=1}^{f} U(a_i, n - a_i),$$

where the i-th factor corresponds to the real embedding $\tau_i : F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Note that the assumption on D at p implies that

$$G_{a_\bullet}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \times \mathrm{GL}_n(E_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cong \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \times \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_{p^f}).$$

We put $V_{a_\bullet} = D$ and view it as a left D-module. Let $\langle -, - \rangle_{a_\bullet} : V_{a_\bullet} \times V_{a_\bullet} \to \mathbb{Q}$ be the perfect alternating pairing given by

$$\langle x, y \rangle_{a_\bullet} = (\mathrm{Tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}} \circ \mathrm{Tr}_{D/F})(x\beta_{a_\bullet} y^*), \quad \text{for } x, y \in V_{a_\bullet}.$$

Then $G_{a_\bullet}$ is identified with the similitude group associated to $(V_{a_\bullet}, \langle -, - \rangle_{a_\bullet})$, i.e. for all $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra R, we have

$$G_{a_\bullet}(R) = \left\{ g \in \mathrm{End}_{D \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} R}(V_{a_\bullet} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} R) \;\middle|\; \langle gx, gy \rangle_{a_\bullet} = c(g)\langle x, y \rangle_{a_\bullet} \text{ for some } c(g) \in R^\times \right\}.$$

Consider the homomorphism of $\mathbb{R}$-algebraic groups $h : \mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{G}_m) \to G_{a_\bullet, \mathbb{R}}$ given by

$$h(z) = \prod_{i=1}^{f} \mathrm{diag}(\underbrace{z, \ldots, z}_{a_i}, \underbrace{\bar{z}, \ldots, \bar{z}}_{n - a_i}), \quad \text{for } z = x + \sqrt{-1}y. \tag{2.2.1}$$

Let $\mu_h : \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{C}} \to G_{a_\bullet, \mathbb{C}}$ be the composite of $h_{\mathbb{C}}$ with the map $\mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{C}} \to \mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{G}_m)_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \mathbb{C}^\times \times \mathbb{C}^\times$ given by $z \mapsto (z, 1)$. Here, the first copy of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ in $\mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{G}_m)_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the one indexed by the identify element in $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{C})$.

Let E_h be the reflex field of μ_h, i.e. the minimal subfield of $\mathbb{C}$ where the conjugacy class of μ_h is defined. It has the following explicit description. The group $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{C})$ acts naturally on $\Sigma_{\infty,E}$, and hence on the functions on $\Sigma_{\infty,E}$. Then E_h is the subfield of $\mathbb{C}$ fixed by the stabilizer of the $\mathbb{Z}$-valued function a on $\Sigma_{\infty,E}$ defined by $a(q_i) = a_i$ and $a(\bar{q}_i) = n - a_i$. The isomorphism ι_p defines a p-adic place $\wp$ of E_h. By our hypothesis on E, the local field $E_{h,\wp}$ is an unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ contained in $\mathbb{Q}_{p^f}$, the unique unramified extension over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ of degree f.

2.3. **Unitary Shimura varieties of PEL-type.** Let $\mathcal{O}_D$ be a $*$-stable order of D and $\Lambda_{a_\bullet}$ an $\mathcal{O}_D$-lattice of $V_{a_\bullet}$ such that $\langle \Lambda_{a_\bullet}, \Lambda_{a_\bullet} \rangle_{a_\bullet} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ and $\Lambda_{a_\bullet} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p$ is self-dual under the alternating pairing induced by $\langle -, - \rangle_{a_\bullet}$. We put $K_p \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \times \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_{E_{\mathfrak{p}}}) \subseteq G_{a_\bullet}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, and fix an open compact subgroup $K^p \subseteq G_{a_\bullet}(\mathbb{A}^{\infty,p})$ such that $K = K^p K_p$ is neat, i.e. $G_{a_\bullet}(\mathbb{Q}) \cap gKg^{-1}$ is torsion free for any $g \in G_{a_\bullet}(\mathbb{A}^\infty)$.

Following [Ko92a], we have a unitary Shimura variety $\mathcal{S}h_{a_\bullet}$ defined over $\mathbb{Z}_{p^f}$;[3] it represents the functor that takes a locally noetherian $\mathbb{Z}_{p^f}$-scheme S to the set of isomorphism classes of tuples (A, λ, η), where

(1) A is an fn^2-dimensional abelian variety over S equipped with an action of $\mathcal{O}_D$ such that the induced action on $\mathrm{Lie}(A/S)$ satisfies the *Kottwitz determinant condition*, that is, if we view the *reduced* relative de Rham homology $H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A/S)^\circ := \mathfrak{e} H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A/S)$ and its quotient $\mathrm{Lie}^\circ_{A/S} := \mathfrak{e} \cdot \mathrm{Lie}_{A/S}$ as a module over $F_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_S \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^f \mathcal{O}_S$, they, respectively, decompose into the direct sums of locally free $\mathcal{O}_S$-modules $H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A/S)^\circ_i$ of rank n and, their quotients, locally free $\mathcal{O}_S$-modules $\mathrm{Lie}^\circ_{A/S,i}$ of rank $n - a_i$;

3. Although one can descend $\mathcal{S}h_{a_\bullet}$ to the subring $\mathcal{O}_{E_{h,\wp}}$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^f}$, we ignore this minor improvement here.

(2) $\lambda : A \to A^\vee$ is a prime-to-p $\mathcal{O}_D$-equivariant polarization such that the Rosati involution induces the involution $*$ on $\mathcal{O}_D$;

(3) η is a collection of, for each connected component S_j of S with a geometric point $\bar{s}_j$, $\pi_1(S_j, \bar{s}_j)$-invariant K^p-orbits of isomorphisms $\eta_j : \Lambda_{a_\bullet} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(p)} \cong T^{(p)}(A_{\bar{s}_j})$ such that the following diagram commutes for an isomorphism $\nu(\eta_j) \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^{(p)}, \mathbb{Z}^{(p)}(1))$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda_{a_\bullet} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(p)} \times \Lambda_{a_\bullet} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(p)} & \xrightarrow{\langle -,- \rangle} & \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(p)} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \eta_j \times \eta_j} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \nu(\eta_j)} \\ T^{(p)} A_{\bar{s}_j} \times T^{(p)} A_{\bar{s}_j} & \xrightarrow{\text{Weil pairing}} & \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(p)}(1), \end{array}$$

where $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(p)} = \prod_{l \neq p} \mathbb{Z}_l$ and $T^{(p)}(A_{\bar{s}_j})$ denotes the product of the l-adic Tate modules of $A_{\bar{s}_j}$ for all $l \neq p$.

The Shimura variety $\mathcal{S}h_{a_\bullet}$ is smooth and projective over $\mathbb{Z}_{p^f}$ of relative dimension $d(a_\bullet) := \sum_{i=1}^f a_i(n - a_i)$. Note that if $a_i \in \{0, n\}$ for all i, then $\mathcal{S}h_{a_\bullet}$ is of relative dimension zero.

We denote by $\mathcal{S}h_{a_\bullet}(\mathbb{C})$ the complex points of $\mathcal{S}h_{a_\bullet}$ via the embedding $\mathbb{Z}_{p^f} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p \xrightarrow{\iota_p^{-1}} \mathbb{C}$. Let $K_\infty \subseteq G_{a_\bullet}(\mathbb{R})$ be the stabilizer of h (2.2.1) for the conjugation action, and let X_∞ denote the $G_{a_\bullet}(\mathbb{R})$-conjugacy class of h. Then K_∞ is a maximal compact-modulo-center subgroup of $G_{a_\bullet}(\mathbb{R})$. According to [Ko92a, page 400], the complex manifold $\mathcal{S}h_{a_\bullet}(\mathbb{C})$ is the disjoint union of $\#\mathrm{Ker}^1(\mathbb{Q}, G_{a_\bullet})$ copies of

$$G_{a_\bullet}(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \big(G_{a_\bullet}(\mathbb{A}^\infty) \times X_\infty \big) / K \cong G_{a_\bullet}(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G_{a_\bullet}(\mathbb{A}) / K \times K_\infty. \tag{2.3.1}$$

Here, if n is even, then $\ker^1(\mathbb{Q}, G_{a_\bullet}) = (0)$, while if n is odd then

$$\ker^1(\mathbb{Q}, G_{a_\bullet}) = \mathrm{Ker}\Big(F^\times / \mathbb{Q}^\times N_{E/F}(E^\times) \to \mathbb{A}_F^\times / \mathbb{A}^\times N_{E/F}(\mathbb{A}_E^\times) \Big).$$

Note that $\ker^1(\mathbb{Q}, G_{a_\bullet})$ depends only on the CM extension E/F and the parity of n.

2.4. **ℓ-adic cohomology.** We fix a prime number $\ell \neq p$, and an isomorphism $\iota_\ell : \mathbb{C} \simeq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. Let ξ be an algebraic representation of $G_{a_\bullet}$ over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$, and $\xi_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the base change via ι_ℓ^{-1}. The theory of automorphic sheaves allows us to attach to ξ a lisse $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-sheaf $\mathcal{L}_\xi$ over $\mathcal{S}h_{a_\bullet}$. For example, if ξ is the representation of $G_{a_\bullet}$ on the vector space $V_{a_\bullet}$ (Section 2.2), the corresponding ℓ-adic local system is given by the rational ℓ-adic Tate module (tensored with $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$) of the universal abelian scheme over $\mathcal{S}h_{a_\bullet}$.

We assume that ξ is irreducible. Let $\mathrm{Sh}_{a_\bullet} := \mathcal{S}h_{a_\bullet} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p^f}} \mathbb{F}_{p^f}$ denote the special fiber of $\mathcal{S}h_{a_\bullet}$. Let $\mathscr{H}_K$ be the Hecke algebra of compactly supported K-bi-invariant $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-valued functions on $G_{a_\bullet}(\mathbb{A}^\infty)$. The étale cohomology group $H^{d(a_\bullet)}_{\mathrm{et}}(\mathrm{Sh}_{a_\bullet, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \mathcal{L}_\xi)$ are equipped with a natural action of $\mathscr{H}_K \times \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p / \mathbb{F}_{p^f})$. Since $\mathrm{Sh}_{a_\bullet}$ is proper and smooth, there is no continuous spectrum and we have a canonical decomposition of $\mathscr{H}_K \times \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p / \mathbb{F}_{p^f})$-modules:

$$H^{d(a_\bullet)}_{\mathrm{et}}(\mathrm{Sh}_{a_\bullet, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \mathcal{L}_\xi) = \bigoplus_{\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G_{a_\bullet}(\mathbb{A}^\infty))} \iota_\ell(\pi^K) \otimes R_{a_\bullet, \ell}(\pi), \tag{2.4.1}$$

where $\mathrm{Irr}(G_{a_\bullet}(\mathbb{A}^\infty))$ denotes the set of irreducible admissible representations of $G_{a_\bullet}(\mathbb{A}^\infty)$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}$, π^K is the K-invariant subspace of $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G_{a_\bullet}(\mathbb{A}^\infty))$, and $R_{a_\bullet, \ell}(\pi)$ is a certain ℓ-adic representation of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p / \mathbb{F}_{p^f})$ which we specify below. We make the following assumption on π:

Hypothesis 2.5. (1) We have $\pi^K \neq 0$.

(2) There exists an admissible irreducible representation π_∞ of $G_{a_\bullet}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\pi \otimes \pi_\infty$ is an automorphic representation of $G_{a_\bullet}(\mathbb{A})$ and π_∞ is *cohomological in degree* $d(a_\bullet)$ *for* ξ in the sense that

$$H^{d(a_\bullet)}\big(\mathrm{Lie}(G_{a_\bullet}), K_\infty, \pi_\infty \otimes \xi_{\mathbb{C}}\big) \neq 0; \text{ [4]} \tag{2.5.1}$$

This hypothesis ensures that $R_{a_\bullet,\ell}(\pi)$ is non-trivial. Note that the p-component π_p is unramified by Hypothesis 2.5(1). We recall a more transparent description, due to Kottwitz [Ko92b], of the Galois action on $R_{a_\bullet,\ell}(\pi)$. As $G_{a_\bullet}(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \times \mathrm{GL}_n(E_\mathfrak{p})$, we may write $\pi_p = \pi_{p,0} \otimes \pi_\mathfrak{p}$, where $\pi_{p,0}$ is a character of $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ trivial on $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, and $\pi_\mathfrak{p}$ is an irreducible admissible representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(E_\mathfrak{p})$ such that $\pi_\mathfrak{p}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_{E_\mathfrak{p}})} \neq 0$. Choose a square root $\sqrt{p}$ of p in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Depending on this choice of $\sqrt{p}$, one has an (unramified) local Langlands parameter attached to π_p:

$$\varphi_{\pi_p} = (\varphi_{\pi_{p,0}}, \varphi_{\pi_\mathfrak{p}})\colon W_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \longrightarrow {}^L(G_{a_\bullet,\mathbb{Q}_p}) \cong \mathbb{C}^\times \times \big(\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})^{\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z}} \rtimes \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)\big).$$

Here, $W_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ denotes the Weil group of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, and $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ permutes cyclically the f copies of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ though the quotient $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_{p^f}/\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong \mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z}$. The image of $\varphi_{\pi_p}|_{W_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^f}}}$ lies in $({}^LG_{a_\bullet})^\circ := \mathbb{C}^\times \times \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})^{\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z}}$. The cocharacter $\mu_h : \mathbb{G}_{m,E_h} \to G_{a_\bullet,E_h}$ induces a character $\check{\mu}$ of $({}^LG_{a_\bullet})^\circ$ over E_h. Let r_{μ_h} denote the algebraic representation of $({}^LG_{a_\bullet})^\circ$ with extreme weight $\check{\mu}_h$. Denote by Frob_{p^f} a geometric Frobenius element in $W_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^f}}$. Let $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l(\frac{1}{2})$ denote the unramified representation of $W_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^f}}$ which sends Frob_{p^f} to $(\sqrt{p})^{-f}$.Then $R_{a_\bullet,\ell}(\pi)$ can be described in terms of φ_{π_p} as follows.

Theorem 2.6 ([Ko92b, Theorem 1])**.** *Under the above hypothesis and notation, we have an equality in the Grothendieck group of* $W_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^f}}$*-modules:*

$$[R_{a_\bullet,\ell}(\pi)] = \#\ker^1(\mathbb{Q}, G_{a_\bullet})\; m_{a_\bullet}(\pi)\; \big[\iota_\ell(r_{\mu_h} \circ \varphi_{\pi_p}) \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l(-\tfrac{d(a_\bullet)}{2})\big],$$

where $m_{a_\bullet}(\pi)$ *is a certain integer related to the automorphic multiplicities of automorphic representations of* $G_{a_\bullet}$ *with finite part* π*.*

In our case, one can make Kottwitz's Theorem more transparent. Define an ℓ-adic representation

$$\rho_{\pi_\mathfrak{p}} = \iota_\ell(\varphi_{\pi_\mathfrak{p}}^{(1),\vee}) \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l(\tfrac{1-n}{2})\colon W_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^f}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell), \tag{2.6.1}$$

where $\varphi_{\pi_\mathfrak{p}}^{(1),\vee} : W_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^f}} \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ denotes the *contragredient* of the projection to the first (or any) copy of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Both $\varphi_{\pi_\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l(\frac{1}{2})$ depends on the choice of $\sqrt{p}$, but $\rho_{\pi_\mathfrak{p}}$ does not. Explicitly, $\rho_{\pi_\mathfrak{p}}(\mathrm{Frob}_{p^f})$ is semi-simple with the characteristic polynomial given by [Gr98, (6.7)]:

$$X^n + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^i (N\mathfrak{p})^{i(i-1)/2} a_\mathfrak{p}^{(i)} X^{n-i}, \tag{2.6.2}$$

where $a_\mathfrak{p}^{(i)}$ is the eigenvalue on $\pi_\mathfrak{p}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_{E_\mathfrak{p}})}$ of the Hecke operator

$$T_\mathfrak{p}^{(i)} = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_{E_\mathfrak{p}}) \cdot \mathrm{diag}(\underbrace{p, \dots, p}_{i}, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n-i}) \cdot \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_{E_\mathfrak{p}}).$$

An easy computation shows that $r_{\mu_h} = \mathrm{Std}_{\mathbb{Q}_p^\times}^{-1} \otimes \bigotimes_{i=1}^f (\wedge^{a_i}\mathrm{Std}^\vee)$. Since the projection of $\varphi_{\pi_p}|_{W_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^f}}}$ to each copy of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is conjugate to each other, Theorem 2.6 is equivalent to

$$[R_{a_\bullet,\ell}(\pi)] = \#\ker^1(\mathbb{Q}, G_{a_\bullet})\; m_{a_\bullet}(\pi)\; \big[\rho_{a_\bullet}(\pi_\mathfrak{p}) \otimes \chi_{\pi_{p,0}}^{-1} \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(\textstyle\sum_i \frac{a_i(a_i-1)}{2})\big], \tag{2.6.3}$$

4. This automatically implies that π_∞ has the same central and infinitesimal characters as the *contragradient* of $\xi_{\mathbb{C}}$.

where $\rho_{a_\bullet}(\pi_\mathfrak{p}) = r_{a_\bullet} \circ \rho_{\pi_\mathfrak{p}}$ with $r_{a_\bullet} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{f} \wedge^{a_i}\mathrm{Std}$, and $\chi_{\pi_{p,0}}$ denotes the character of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p/\mathbb{F}_{p^f})$ sending Frob_{p^f} to $\iota_\ell(\pi_{p,0}(p^f))$.

Remark 2.7. The reason why we normalize the Galois representation as above is the following: if π is the finite part of an automorphic representation of $G_{a_\bullet}(\mathbb{A})$ which admits a base change to a cuspidal automorphic representation $\Pi \otimes \chi$ of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_E) \times \mathbb{A}_{E_0}^\times$, then $\rho_{\pi_\mathfrak{p}}$ is the restriction to $W_{E_\mathfrak{p}}$ of the representation of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/E)$ associated to Π.

2.8. **Tate Conjecture.** We recall first the Tate conjecture over finite fields. Let X be a projective smooth variety over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ of characteristic p. For each prime $\ell \neq p$ and integer $r \leq \dim(X)$, we have a cycle class map

$$\mathrm{cl}_X^r : A^r(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \longrightarrow H_{\mathrm{et}}^{2r}\big(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(r)\big)^{\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p/\mathbb{F}_q)},$$

where $A^r(X)$ denotes the abelian group of codimension r algebraic cycles in X defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Then the Tate conjecture predicts that this map is surjective. One has a geometric variant of the Tate conjecture, which claims that the geometric cycle class map:

$$\mathrm{cl}_{X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}}^r : A^r(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \longrightarrow H_{\mathrm{et}}^{2r}\big(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(r)\big)^{\mathrm{fin}} := \bigcup_{m\geq 1} H_{\mathrm{et}}^{2r}\big(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(r)\big)^{\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p/\mathbb{F}_{q^m})}$$

is surjective. Here, the superscript "fin" means the subspace on which $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p/\mathbb{F}_q)$ acts through a finite quotient. Note that the surjectivity of $\mathrm{cl}_{X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}}^r$ implies that of cl_X^r by taking the $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p/\mathbb{F}_q)$-invariant subspace.

Consider the case $X = \mathrm{Sh}_{a_\bullet}$ with $d(a_\bullet)$ even. Let π be an irreducible admissible representation of $G_{a_\bullet}(\mathbb{A}^\infty)$ as in Theorem 2.6. By Theorem 2.6, the π-isotypic component of $H_{\mathrm{et}}^{d(a_\bullet)}\big(\mathrm{Sh}_{a_\bullet,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(\frac{d(a_\bullet)}{2})\big)^{\mathrm{fin}}$ is, up to Frobenius semi-simplification [5], isomorphic to $\dim(\pi^K) \cdot \#\ker^1(\mathbb{Q}, G_{a_\bullet}) \cdot m_{a_\bullet}(\pi)$ copies of

$$\big(\rho_{a_\bullet}(\pi_\mathfrak{p}) \otimes \chi_{\pi_{p,0}}^{-1} \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(\tfrac{(n-1)}{2}\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^f a_i)\big)^{\mathrm{fin}}. \tag{2.8.1}$$

Note that $\chi_{\pi_{p,0}}(\mathrm{Frob}_{p^f}) = \pi_{p,0}(p^f)$ is a root of unity. Hence, the dimension of (2.8.1) is equal to the sum of the dimensions of the Frob_{p^f}-eigenspaces of $\rho_{a_\bullet}(\pi_\mathfrak{p})$ with eigenvalues $(p^f)^{\frac{(n-1)}{2}\sum_i a_i}\zeta$ for some root of unity ζ. In quite many examples, this space is known to be non-zero.

For instance, when $f = 2$, $a_1 = r$ and $a_2 = n-r$ for some $1 \leq r \leq n-1$, we have $d(a_\bullet) = 2r(n-r)$ and

$$\rho_{a_\bullet}(\pi_\mathfrak{p}) = (\wedge^r \rho_{\pi_\mathfrak{p}} \otimes \wedge^{n-r} \rho_{\pi_\mathfrak{p}}).$$

If $\rho_{\pi_\mathfrak{p}}(\mathrm{Frob}_{p^f})$ has distinct eigenvalues $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$, then the eigenvalues of Frob_{p^f} on $V_{\pi,a_\bullet}$ are given by $\alpha_{i_1} \cdots \alpha_{i_r} \cdot \alpha_{j_1} \cdots \alpha_{j_{n-r}}$, for distinct numbers $i_1, \ldots, i_r$ and distinct numbers $j_1, \ldots, j_{n-r}$. This product is exactly $(p^f)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} a_\mathfrak{p}^{(n)}$ (note that $a_\mathfrak{p}^{(n)}$ is a root of unity) if the set $\{i_1, \ldots, i_r\}$ and the set $\{j_1, \ldots, j_{n-r}\}$ are the complement of each other as subsets of $\{1, \cdots, n\}$. This condition is also necessary when the eigenvalues are sufficiently "generic" in the sense of avoiding the zeros of some polynomial in $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$. In other words, the dimension of (2.8.1) is generically equal to $\binom{n}{r}$. As Tate conjecture predicts, these cohomology classes should come from algebraic cycles. Our main conjecture addresses exactly this heuristic, and it predicts that those desired "generic" algebraic cycles are birationally equivalent to certain fiber bundles over the special fiber of some other Shimura varieties associated to inner forms of $G_{a_\bullet}$. To make this precise, we need the following lemma. Since the proof is well-known to experts, we leave it to Appendix A.

5. Conjecturally, the Frobenius action on the étale ℓ-adic cohomology groups of a projective smooth variety over a finite field is alway semi-simple.

Lemma 2.9. *For any tuple $b_\bullet = (b_i)_{1\le i\le f}$ such that $b_i \in \{0,\dots,n\}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^f b_i \equiv \sum_{i=1}^f a_i \pmod 2$, there exists $\beta_{b_\bullet} \in (D^\times)^{*=-1}$ such that if $G_{b_\bullet}$ denotes the corresponding algebraic group over $\mathbb{Q}$ defined in the similar way with $\beta_{a_\bullet}$ replaced by $\beta_{b_\bullet}$, then $G_{b_\bullet}(\mathbb{A}^\infty) \simeq G_{a_\bullet}(\mathbb{A}^\infty)$ and*

$$G^1_{b_\bullet}(\mathbb{R}) \simeq \prod_{i=1}^f U(b_i, n-b_i).$$

Note that, for each tuple $b_\bullet$ as in Lemma 2.9, even though there is no canonical choice for $\beta_{b_\bullet} \in (D^\times)^{*=-1}$, the group $G_{b_\bullet}$ is (up to isomorphism) independent of the choices of $\beta_{b_\bullet}$. In the sequel, we always fix a choice of $\beta_{b_\bullet}$, and as well as an isomorphism $G_{a_\bullet}(\mathbb{A}^\infty) \cong G_{b_\bullet}(\mathbb{A}^\infty)$. This determines an isomorphism $\gamma_{a_\bullet,b_\bullet} : V_{a_\bullet} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^\infty \xrightarrow{\sim} V_{b_\bullet} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^\infty$ compatible with the alternating pairings on both sides. Recall that we have chosen a lattice $\Lambda_{a_\bullet} \subseteq V_{a_\bullet}$ to define the moduli problem for $\mathcal{S}h_{a_\bullet}$. We put $\Lambda_{b_\bullet} := V_{b_\bullet} \cap \gamma_{a_\bullet,b_\bullet}(\Lambda_{a_\bullet} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$. Then applying the construction of Subsection 2.3 to the lattice $\Lambda_{b_\bullet} \subseteq V_{b_\bullet}$ and the open compact subgroup $K^p \subseteq G_{a_\bullet}(\mathbb{A}^{\infty,p}) \cong G_{b_\bullet}(\mathbb{A}^{\infty,p})$, we get a Shimura variety $\mathcal{S}h_{b_\bullet}$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{p^f}$ of level K^p as well as its special fiber $\mathrm{Sh}_{b_\bullet}$. Moreover, an algebraic representation ξ of $G_{a_\bullet}$ over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ corresponds, via the fixed isomorphism $G_{a_\bullet}(\mathbb{A}^\infty) \cong G_{b_\bullet}(\mathbb{A}^\infty)$, to an algebraic representation of $G_{b_\bullet}$ over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. We use the same notation $\mathcal{L}_\xi$ to denote the étale sheaf on $\mathcal{S}h_{a_\bullet}$ and $\mathcal{S}h_{b_\bullet}$ defined by ξ.

2.10. **Gysin/trace maps.** Before stating the main conjecture of this paper, we recall the general definition of Gysin maps. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a proper morphism of smooth varieties over an algebraically closed field k. Let d_X and d_Y be the dimension of X and Y respectively. Recall that the derived direct image Rf_* on the derived category of constructible ℓ-adic étale sheaves has a left adjoint $f^!$. Since both X and Y are smooth, the ℓ-adic dualizing complex of X (resp. Y) is $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(d_X)[2d_X]$ (resp. $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(d_Y)[2d_Y]$). Therefore, one has

$$f^!\big(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(d_X)[2d_X]\big) = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(d_Y)[2d_Y]$$

The adjunction map $Rf_*f^!\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ induces thus a canonical morphism

$$\mathrm{Tr}_f \colon Rf_*\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(d_X - d_Y)[2(d_X - d_Y)].$$

More generally, if $\mathcal{L}$ is a lisse $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-sheaf on X, it induces a Gysin/trace map

$$Rf_*(f^*\mathcal{L}) \cong \mathcal{L} \otimes Rf_*(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \xrightarrow{1\otimes \mathrm{Tr}_f} \mathcal{L}(d_X - d_Y)[2(d_X - d_Y)],$$

where the first isomorphism is the projection formula [SGA4, XVII 5.2.9]. When f is flat with equi-dimensional fibres of dimension $d_Y - d_X$, this is the trace map as defined in [SGA4, XVIII 2.9]; when f is a closed immersion of codimension $r = d_X - d_Y$, it is the usual Gysin map. For any integer q, the Gysin/trace map induces a morphism on cohomology groups:

$$f_! \colon H^q_{\mathrm{et}}(Y, f^*\mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow H^{q+2(d_X-d_Y)}_{\mathrm{et}}\big(X, \mathcal{L}(d_X - d_Y)\big). \tag{2.10.1}$$

Conjecture 2.11. *Let $\mathrm{Sh}_{a_\bullet}$ and $\mathcal{L}_\xi$ be as in Subsection 2.4. Let λ be a dominant weight (with respect to a fixed the diagonal torus T and the standard upper triangular Borel of GL_n) appearing in the representation $r_{a_\bullet} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^f \wedge^{a_i}\mathrm{Std}$ of GL_n, with multiplicity $m_\lambda(a_\bullet)$. Write λ as a sum of minuscule weights*[6] *and therefore realize it as the highest weight of the representation $r_{b_\bullet} = \otimes_{i=1}^f \wedge^{b_i}\mathrm{Std}$ of GL_n for some set of numbers $b_\bullet$ in $\{0,\dots,n\}$. Note that $\sum_i a_i = \sum_i b_i$ so that the Shimura variety $\mathrm{Sh}_{b_\bullet}$ makes sense, and the étale sheaf $\mathcal{L}_\xi$ is also well defined on $\mathrm{Sh}_{b_\bullet}$.*

6. Here, by minuscule weights, we meant weights of GL_n for which the diagonal matrix $\mathrm{Diag}(x_1,\dots,x_n)$ is sent to $x_1\dots x_i$ for some $i \in \{0,\dots,n\}$. In particular, we do not allow extra powers of determinants.

Then there exist varieties $Y_1, \dots, Y_{m_\lambda(a_\bullet)}$ *of dimension* $\frac{d(a_\bullet)+d(b_\bullet)}{2}$ *over* $\mathbb{F}_{p^f}$*, equipped with natural action of prime-to-p Hecke correspondences, such that each* Y_j *fits into a diagram*



satisfying the following properties.

(1) *Each* $b_\bullet^{(j)}$ *is a reordering of* $b_\bullet$*, and both* $\mathrm{pr}_{a_\bullet}$ *and* $\mathrm{pr}_{b_\bullet^{(j)}}$ *are equivariant under the prime-to-p Hecke correspondences.*

(2) *The morphism* $\mathrm{pr}_{a_\bullet}$ *is a proper morphism and is birational onto the image; the morphism* $\mathrm{pr}_{b_\bullet^{(j)}}$*, up to some radicial morphism, is proper and generically smooth of dimension* $\frac{d(a_\bullet)-d(b_\bullet)}{2}$ *(note that* $d(b_\bullet) \equiv d(a_\bullet) \pmod 2$ *since* $\sum_i a_i = \sum_i b_i$*).*

(3) *There exists a p-isogeny of abelian schemes over* Y_j

$$\phi_{b_\bullet^{(j)},a_\bullet} \colon \mathrm{pr}_{b_\bullet^{(j)}}^*(\mathcal{A}_{b_\bullet^{(j)}}) \longleftarrow \mathrm{pr}_{a_\bullet}^*(\mathcal{A}_{a_\bullet}),$$

where $\mathcal{A}_{a_\bullet}$ *and* $\mathcal{A}_{b_\bullet^{(j)}}$ *denote respectively the universal abelian scheme on* $\mathrm{Sh}_{a_\bullet}$ *and* $\mathrm{Sh}_{b_\bullet^{(j)}}$*. Let*

$$\phi_{b_\bullet^{(j)},a_\bullet,*} \colon \mathrm{pr}_{b_\bullet^{(j)}}^* \mathcal{L}_\xi \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{pr}_{a_\bullet}^* \mathcal{L}_\xi.$$

be the isomorphism of the ℓ*-adic sheaves induced by* $\phi_{b_\bullet^{(j)},a_\bullet}$*.*

(4) *Let* π *be an irreducible admissible representation of* $G_{a_\bullet}(\mathbb{A}^\infty) \cong G_{b_\bullet^{(j)}}(\mathbb{A}^\infty)$ *satisfying Hypothesis 2.5, and assume that* $m_{a_\bullet}(\pi) = m_{b_\bullet^{(j)}}(\pi)$ *for all* j [7]*. If the eigenvalues of* $\rho_{\pi_\mathfrak{p}}(\mathrm{Frob}_{p^f})$ *is "sufficiently generic" in the sense that they avoid the zeros of some polynomials in* n *variable, then the natural homomorphism of* π*-isotypical components of the cohomology groups*

$$\begin{aligned}\bigoplus_{j=1}^{m_\lambda(a_\bullet)} H_{\mathrm{et}}^{d(b_\bullet)}\Big(\mathrm{Sh}_{b_\bullet^{(j)},\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \mathcal{L}_\xi\big(\frac{d(b_\bullet)}{2}\big)\Big)_\pi^{\mathrm{Frob}_{p^f}=\lambda} &\xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_{b_\bullet^{(j)}}^*} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m_\lambda(a_\bullet)} H_{\mathrm{et}}^{d(b_\bullet)}\Big(Y_{j,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \mathrm{pr}_{b_\bullet^{(j)}}^* \mathcal{L}_\xi\big(\frac{d(b_\bullet)}{2}\big)\Big)_\pi^{\mathrm{Frob}_{p^f}=\lambda} \\ &\xrightarrow{\phi_{b_\bullet^{(j)},a_\bullet,*}} H_{\mathrm{et}}^{d(b_\bullet)}\Big(Y_{j,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \mathrm{pr}_{a_\bullet}^* \mathcal{L}_\xi\big(\frac{d(b_\bullet)}{2}\big)\Big)_\pi^{\mathrm{Frob}_{p^f}=\lambda} \\ &\xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_{a_\bullet,!}} H_{\mathrm{et}}^{d(a_\bullet)}\Big(\mathrm{Sh}_{a_\bullet,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \mathcal{L}_\xi\big(\frac{d(a_\bullet)}{2}\big)\Big)_\pi^{\mathrm{Frob}_{p^f}=\lambda}\end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism, where $\mathrm{pr}_{a_\bullet,!}$ *is the Gysin map* (2.10.1) *and the superscript* $\mathrm{Frob}_{p^f} = \lambda$ *means taking the (direct sum of) generalized* Frob_{p^f}*-eigenspace with eigenvalues in the Weyl group orbit*

$$\lambda \circ \rho_{\pi_\mathfrak{p}}(\mathrm{Frob}_{p^f}) \cdot \chi_{\pi_{p,0}}^{-1}(p^f)(\sqrt{p})^{-f(n-1)\sum_i b_i}$$

Here, since the semi-simple conjugacy classes of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ *is in natural bijection with the orbits of* $T(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ *under the Weyl group of* GL_n*, it makes sense to evaluate a dominant weight of* T *on* $\rho_\pi(\mathrm{Frob}_{p^f})$ *to get an orbit under the action of the Weyl group of* GL_2*; hence the notation* $\lambda \circ \rho_{\pi_\mathfrak{p}}(\mathrm{Frob}_{p^f})$*.*

7. This assumption is satisfied when π is the finite part of an automorphic cuspidal representation of $G_{a_\bullet}(\mathbb{A})$, which admits a base change to a cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_E) \times \mathbb{A}_E^\times$. Indeed, in this case, White proved that $m_{a_\bullet}(\pi) = m_{b_\bullet^{(j)}}(\pi) = 1$ [Wh12, Theorem E]

In particular, when ξ is the trivial representation and the weight λ is a power of determinant (so automatically, $\sum_i a_i$ is divisible by n, and $d(a_\bullet)$ is even), the cycles given by the images of $Y_1, \dots, Y_{m_\lambda(a_\bullet)}$ parametrized by the discrete *Shimura variety $\mathrm{Sh}_{b_\bullet^{(j)}}$, generate the Tate classes of $H_{\mathrm{et}}^{d(a_\bullet)}\big(\mathrm{Sh}_{a_\bullet,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(\frac{d(a_\bullet)}{2})\big)_\pi$ when $\rho_{\pi_\mathfrak{p}}(\mathrm{Frob}_{p^f})$ is "sufficiently generic".*

Remark 2.12. We immediately make a few remarks, starting with a couple of crucial ones and then moving to discussions on assumptions imposed in our setup and possible generalizations.

(1) A key feature of this Conjecture is that the codimension of the cycle map $\mathrm{pr}_{a_\bullet} : Y_j \to \mathrm{Sh}_{a_\bullet}$ is the same as the fiber dimension of $\mathrm{pr}_{b_\bullet^{(j)}} : Y_j \to \mathrm{Sh}_{b_\bullet^{(j)}}$.

(2) It seems that the fibers of $\mathrm{pr}_{b_\bullet^{(j)}} : Y_j \to \mathrm{Sh}_{b_\bullet^{(j)}}$ are likely to be, up to partial Frobenius twist and birational transform, certain "iterated Deligne-Lusztig" varieties, that is, a tower of fiberations, where the fibers at each step are certain Deligne-Lusztig varieties. (This phenomenon could be because we are essentially working with the group GL_n.)

(3) Zhu pointed out to us that the union of the images of $Y_1, \dots, Y_{m_\lambda(a_\bullet)}$ on $\mathrm{Sh}_{a_\bullet}$ is expected to be exactly the closure of the Newton strata with slopes given by λ. In fact, this is not that surprising because the generic Newton polygon of $\mathrm{Sh}_{b_\bullet^{(j)}}$ is just given by λ; so the universal abelian variety over the images of Y_j should have Newton polygon above λ.

When λ is central, the Conjecture should read: *irreducible components of the superbasic locus of the special fiber of a Shimura variety, generically, contribute to all Tate cycles in the cohomology.* Implicitly, this means that the dimension of the superbasic locus is half of the dimension of the Shimura variety if and only if the Galois representations of the Shimura variety has generically non-trivial Tate classes.

(4) Zhu further pointed out that the "correct way" to understand these varieties Y_j's is that they are part of the Hecke correspondence at p *between the special fiber of two different Shimura varieties* $\mathrm{Sh}_{a_\bullet}$ and $\mathrm{Sh}_{b_\bullet^{(j)}}$. We hope that the Conjecture will bring interests into the study of such Hecke correspondences.

(5) The assumption on the decomposition of the place p in $E/\mathbb{Q}$ is just to simplify our presentation. We certainly expect the validity of analogous conjecture for the special fibers of general Shimura varieties of abelian type. Zhu pointed out to us that even if p is ramified, we should expect Conjecture 2.11 continue to hold for (the special fiber of) the "splitting models" of Pappas and Rapoport [PR05]. Some evidence of this has already appeared in the case of Hilbert modular varieties; see [RTW14, RX14+].

(6) The assumption that D is a division algebra ensures that the Shimura variety will be compact. It would be certainly an interesting future question to study the case involving Eisenstein series, as well as the case when the representations come from endoscopy transfers.

(7) One can certainly formulate the conjecture for more general Shimura varieties of PEL type (e.g. of type C), or more generally of abelian type (using the integral model of M. Kisin [Ki10]), with one principle: the existence of special cycles are predicted by the Galois representation appearing on the Shimura variety; and with one caveat: the conjecture seems to be restricted to those λ which are sum of *minuscule* weights (as required by Shimura varieties). It would certainly be an interesting future question to explore the non-minuscule weights case.

(8) Conjecture 2.11(1)–(3) may have a degenerate situation: when $\sum_i a_i$ is not divisible by n, the representation $V_{a_\bullet}$ does not contain a weight corresponding to an (integral) power of the determinant. In this case, the supersingular locus may still have a good description as,

birationally, a union of fiber bundles over the special fiber of some other Shimura varieties for reductive groups which are *not* quasi-split at p. The first such example is given by Serre and Deuring [Se96] in the case of modular curves, and more such examples are given in [TX13] and [VW11].

2.13. **Known cases of Conjecture 2.11.** Conjecture 2.11 is largely inspired by the work of second and the third authors [TX13] and [TX14], where they proved the analogous conjecture for (the special fibers of) the Hilbert modular varieties (assuming that p is inert in the totally real field).

Another strong evidence for Conjecture 2.11 is the work of Vollaard and Wedhorn [VW11], where they considered certain stratification of the supersingular locus of the Shimura variety for $GU(1,s)$ for $s \in \mathbb{N}$ at an inert prime p. They proved that the supersingular locus is the union of copies of some Deligne-Lusztig varieties; however, they were looking at a very different way to parametrize these Deligne-Lusztig varieties. The method of this paper should be applicable to their situation to verify the analogous Conjecture 2.11. In fact, in their case, there will be only one collection of cycles, but the computation of the intersection matrix (only essentially one entry in this case) of them needs some non-trivial Schubert calculus similar to Section 5.

The aim of the rest of paper is to provide evidences for Conjecture 2.11 for some large rank groups. In particular, we will construct cycles in the case of the unitary group $G(U(r,s)\times U(s,r))$ for $s, r \in \mathbb{N}$ (Section 7); we expect these cycles to verify Conjecture 2.11; but we do not know how to compute the "intersection matrix" in general. Nonetheless, when $r = 1$, we are able to make the computation and prove Conjecture 2.11 (with trivial coefficients for the sake of simple presentation) in this case; see Section 4–6. We point out that our method should be applicable to quite many other examples, and even in general reduce Conjecture 2.11 to a question of combinatorial nature. Unfortunately, it is not clear to us how one can get around these combinatorial difficulties. In the Hilbert case [TX14], the combinatorial object is the so-called periodic semi-meander (for GL_2). The generalization of the usual (as opposed to periodic) semi-meander to other groups has been introduced; see [FKK13] for the corresponding references. The straightforward generalization to the periodic case does seem to agree with some of our computations with small groups. Nonetheless, the corresponding Gram determinant formula seems to be extremely difficult; even in the non-periodic case, we only know it for a special case; see [dF97].

We also mention that one can formulate a version of Conjecture 2.11 in the geometric Langlands setup. There has been some progress in this direction, which will appear in a forthcoming work of Zhu and the third author [XZ++].

3. Preliminaries on Dieudonné modules and Deformation Theory

We first introduce the basic tools that we will use in this paper.

3.1. **Notation.** Recall that we have an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}_D \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{p^f} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{f} \big(\mathcal{O}_D \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E, q_i} \mathbb{Z}_{p^f} \oplus \mathcal{O}_D \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E, \bar{q}_i} \mathbb{Z}_{p^f}\big) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{f} \big(\mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{Z}_{p^f}) \oplus \mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{Z}_{p^f})\big).$$

Let S be a locally noetherian $\mathbb{Z}_{p^f}$-scheme. An $\mathcal{O}_D \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_S$-module M admits a canonical decomposition

$$M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{f} \big(M_{q_i} \oplus M_{\bar{q}_i}\big),$$

where M_{q_i} (resp. $M_{\bar{q}_i}$) is the direct summand of M on which $\mathcal{O}_E$ acts via q_i (resp. via $\bar{q}_i$). Then each M_{q_i} has a natural action by $\mathrm{M}_n(\mathcal{O}_S)$. Let $\mathfrak{e}$ denote the element of $\mathrm{M}_n(\mathcal{O}_S)$ whose $(1,1)$-entry is 1 and other entries are 0. We put $M_i^\circ := \mathfrak{e}M_{q_i}$, and call it the *reduced part* of M_{q_i}.

Let A be an fn^2-dimensional abelian variety over an $\mathbb{F}_{p^f}$-scheme S, equipped with an $\mathcal{O}_D$-action. The de Rham homology $H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A/S)$ has a Hodge filtration

$$0 \to \omega_{A^\vee/S} \to H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A/S) \to \mathrm{Lie}_{A/S} \to 0,$$

compatible with the natural action of $\mathcal{O}_D \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_S$ on $H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A/S)$. We call $H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A/S)_i^\circ$ and $\omega^\circ_{A^\vee/S,i}$ respectively the *reduced de Rham homology* of A/S and the *reduced invariant* 1*-forms* of $A^\vee/S$ (at q_i). In particular, the former is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_S$-module of rank n and the latter is a subbundle of the former; when $A \to S$ satisfies the moduli problem in Subsection 2.3, $\omega^\circ_{A^\vee/S,i}$ is locally free of rank a_i.

The Frobenius map $A \to A^{(p)}$ induces a natural morphism

$$V : H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A/S)_i^\circ \longrightarrow H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A/S)_{i-1}^{\circ,(p)},$$

where the index i is considered as an element of $\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z}$, and the superscript "(p)" means the pull-back via the absolute Frobenius of S. The image of V is exactly $\omega^{\circ,(p)}_{A^\vee/S,i-1}$. Similarly, the Verschiebung map $A^{(p)} \to A$ induces a natural morphism

$$F : H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A/S)_{i-1}^{\circ,(p)} \longrightarrow H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A/S)_i^\circ.$$

We have $\mathrm{Ker}(F) = \mathrm{Im}(V)$ and $\mathrm{Ker}(V) = \mathrm{Im}(F)$.

When $S = \mathrm{Spec}(k)$ with k a perfect field containing $\mathbb{F}_{p^f}$, let $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)$ denote the (covariant) Dieudonné module associated to the p-divisible group of A. This is a free $W(k)$-module of rank $2fn^2$ equipped with a Frob-linear action of F and a Frob^{-1}-linear action of V such that $FV = VF = p$. The $\mathcal{O}_D$-action on A induces a natural action of $\mathcal{O}_D$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)$ that commutes with F and V. Moreover, there is a canonical isomorphism $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)/p\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A) \simeq H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A/k)$ compatible with all structures on both sides. For each $i \in \mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z}$, we have the reduced part $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)_i^\circ = \mathfrak{e}\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)_{q_i}$. The Verschiebung and the Frobenius induce natural maps

$$V : \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)_i^\circ \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)_{i-1}^\circ, \quad F : \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)_i^\circ \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)_{i+1}^\circ.$$

Note that $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)_{q_i} = (\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)_i^\circ)^{\oplus n}$, and $\oplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z}}\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)_{q_i}$ is the covariant Dieudonné module of the p-divisible group $A[\mathfrak{p}^\infty]$.

For any fn^2-dimensional abelian variety A' over k equipped with an $\mathcal{O}_D$-action, an $\mathcal{O}_D$-equivariant isogeny $A' \to A$ induces a morphism $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A')_i^\circ \to \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)_i^\circ$ compatible with the action of F and V. Conversely, we have the following

Proposition 3.2. *Let A be an abelian variety of dimension fn^2 over a characteristic p prefect field k, equipped with an $\mathcal{O}_D$-action and an $\mathcal{O}_D$-compatible prime-to-p polarization λ. Suppose given an integer $m \geq 1$ and a $W(k)$-submodule $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_i \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)_i^\circ$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z}$ such that*

$$p^m\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)_i^\circ \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_i, \quad F(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_i) \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{i+1}, \quad \text{and} \quad V(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_i) \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{i-1}. \tag{3.2.1}$$

Then there exists a unique abelian variety A' over k (depending on m) equipped with an $\mathcal{O}_D$-action, a prime-to-p polarization λ', and an $\mathcal{O}_D$-equivariant p-isogeny $\phi : A' \to A$ such that the natural inclusion $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_i \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)_i^\circ$ is naturally identified with the map $\phi_{*,i}\colon \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A')_i^\circ \to \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)_i^\circ$ induced by ϕ and such that $\phi^\vee \circ \lambda \circ \phi = p^m\lambda'$. Moreover, we have

(1) *If $\dim \omega^\circ_{A^\vee/k,i} = a_i$ and $\mathrm{length}_{W(k)}\big(\tilde{D}(A)_i^\circ/\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_i\big) = \ell_i$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z}$, then*

$$\dim \omega^\circ_{A'^\vee/k,i} = a_i + \ell_i - \ell_{i+1}. \tag{3.2.2}$$

(2) *If A is equipped with a prime-to-p level structure η, then there exists a unique prime-to-p level structure η' on A' such that $\eta = \phi \circ \eta'$.*

Proof. By the Dieudonné theory, the Dieudonné submodule

$$\bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z}} (\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_i/p^m\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)^\circ_i)^{\oplus n} \subseteq \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z}} \big(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)^\circ_i/p^m\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)^\circ_i\big)^{\oplus n}$$

corresponds to a closed subgroup scheme $H_\mathfrak{p} \subseteq A[\mathfrak{p}^m]$. The prime-to-$p$ polarization λ induces a perfect pairing

$$\langle\bullet,\bullet\rangle_\lambda \colon A[\mathfrak{p}^m] \times A[\bar{\mathfrak{p}}^m] \longrightarrow \mu_{p^m}.$$

Let $H_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}} = H_\mathfrak{p}^\perp \subseteq A[\bar{\mathfrak{p}}^m]$ denote the orthogonal complement of $H_\mathfrak{p}$. Put $H_p = H_\mathfrak{p} \oplus H_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}$. Let $\psi : A \to A'$ be the canonical quotient with kernel H_p, and $\phi : A' \to A$ be the quotient with kernel $\psi(A[\mathfrak{p}^m])$ so that $\psi\circ\phi = p^m\mathrm{id}_{A'}$ and $\phi\circ\psi = p^m\mathrm{id}_A$. By construction, $H_p \subseteq A[p^m]$ is a maximal totally isotropic subgroup. By [Mu74, §23, Theorem 2], there is a prime-to-p polarization λ' on A' such that $p^m\lambda = \psi^\vee\circ\lambda'\circ\psi$. It follows also that $p^m\lambda' = \phi^\vee\circ\lambda\circ\phi$. The fact that $\phi_{*,i} : \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A')^\circ_i \to \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)^\circ_i$ is identified with the natural inclusion $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_i \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)^\circ_i$ follows from the construction. The existence and uniqueness of tame level structure is clear. The dimension of the differential forms can be computed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\dim\omega^\circ_{A'^\vee/k,i} &= \dim\frac{V(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A')^\circ_{i+1})}{p\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A')^\circ_i} = \dim\frac{V(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{i+1})}{p\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_i}\\ &= \dim\frac{V(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)^\circ_{i+1})}{p\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)^\circ_i} - \mathrm{length}_{W(k)}\frac{V(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)^\circ_{i+1})}{V(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{i+1})} + \mathrm{length}_{W(k)}\frac{p\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)^\circ_i}{p\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_i} = a_i - \ell_{i+1} + \ell_i.\end{aligned}$$

□

3.3. **Deformation theory.** We shall frequently use Grothendieck-Messing deformation theory to compare the tangent spaces of moduli spaces. We make this explicit in our setup.

Let $\hat{R}$ be a noetherian $\mathbb{F}_{p^f}$-algebra and $\hat{I} \subset \hat{R}$ an ideal such that $\hat{I}^2 = 0$. Put $R = \hat{R}/\hat{I}$. Let $\mathscr{C}_{\hat{R}}$ denote the category of tuples $(\hat{A}, \hat{\lambda}, \hat{\eta})$, where $\hat{A}$ is an fn^2-dimensional abelian variety over $\hat{R}$ equipped with an $\mathcal{O}_D$-action, $\hat{\lambda}$ is a polarization on $\hat{A}$ such that the Rosati involution induces the $*$-involution on $\mathcal{O}_D$, and $\hat{\eta}$ is a level structure as in Subsection 2.3(3). We define $\mathscr{C}_R$ in the same way. For an object (A, λ, η) in the category $\mathscr{C}_R$, let $H_1^{\mathrm{cris}}(A/\hat{R})$ be the evaluation of the first relative crystalline homology (i.e. dual crystal of the first crystalline cohomology) of A/R at the divided power thickening $\hat{R} \to R$, and $H_1^{\mathrm{cris}}(A/\hat{R})^\circ_i := \mathfrak{e}H_1^{\mathrm{cris}}(A/\hat{R})_{q_i}$ be the i-th reduced part. We denote by $\mathscr{D}\mathrm{ef}(R, \hat{R})$ the category of tuples $(A, \lambda, \eta, (\hat{\omega}^\circ_i)_{i=1,\dots,f})$, where (A, λ, η) is an object in $\mathscr{C}_R$, and $\hat{\omega}^\circ_i \subseteq H_1^{\mathrm{cris}}(A/\hat{R})^\circ_i$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z}$ is a sub-bundle that lifts $\omega^\circ_{A^\vee/R,i} \subseteq H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A/R)^\circ_i$. The following is a combination of Serre-Tate and Grothendieck-Messing deformation theory.

Theorem 3.4 (Serre-Tate, Grothendieck-Messing)**.** *The functor $(\hat{A}, \hat{\lambda}, \hat{\eta}) \mapsto (\hat{A}\otimes_{\hat{R}} R, \lambda, \eta, \omega^\circ_{\hat{A}^\vee/\hat{R},i})$, where λ and η are the natural induced polarization and level structure on $\hat{A}\otimes_{\hat{R}} R$, is an equivalence of categories between $\mathscr{C}_{\hat{R}}$ and $\mathscr{D}\mathrm{ef}(R, \hat{R})$.*

Proof. The main theorem of the crystalline deformation theory (cf. [Gr74, pp. 116–118], [MM90, Chap. II §1]) says that the category $\mathscr{C}_{\hat{R}}$ is equivalent to the category of objects (A, λ, η) in $\mathscr{C}_R$ together with a lift of $\omega_{A^\vee/R} \subseteq H_1^{\mathrm{cris}}(A/R)$ to a subbundle $\hat{\omega}$ of $H_1^{\mathrm{cris}}(A/\hat{R})$, such that $\hat{\omega}$ is stable under the induced $\mathcal{O}_D$-action and is isotropic for the pairing on $H_1^{\mathrm{cris}}(A/\hat{R})$ induced by the polarization λ. But the additional information $\hat{\omega}$ is clearly equivalent to the subbundle $\hat{\omega}^\circ_i \subseteq H_1^{\mathrm{cris}}(A/\hat{R})^\circ_i$ lifting $\omega^\circ_{A^\vee/R,i}$. □

Corollary 3.5. *If $\mathcal{A}_{a_\bullet}$ denotes the universal abelian variety over $\mathrm{Sh}_{a_\bullet}$, then the tangent space $T_{\mathrm{Sh}_{a_\bullet}}$ of $\mathrm{Sh}_{a_\bullet}$ is*

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{f} \mathrm{Lie}^{\circ}_{\mathcal{A}^\vee_{a_\bullet}/\mathrm{Sh}_{a_\bullet},i} \otimes \mathrm{Lie}^{\circ}_{\mathcal{A}_{a_\bullet}/\mathrm{Sh}_{a_\bullet},i}.$$

Proof. Let $\hat{R}$ be a noetherian $\mathbb{F}_{p^f}$-algebra and $\hat{I} \subset \hat{R}$ an ideal such that $\hat{I}^2 = 0$; put $R = \hat{R}/\hat{I}$. By Theorem 3.4, to lift an R-point (A, λ, η) of $\mathrm{Sh}_{a_\bullet}$ to an $\hat{R}$-point, it suffices to lift, for $i = 1, \ldots, f$, the differentials $\omega^{\circ}_{A^\vee,i} \subseteq H^{\mathrm{cris}}_1(A/R)^{\circ}_i$ to a subbundle $\hat{\omega}_i \subseteq H^{\mathrm{cris}}_1(A/\hat{R})^{\circ}_i$. Such lifts form a torsor for the group

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R\left(\omega^{\circ}_{A^\vee/R,i}, \mathrm{Lie}^{\circ}_{A/R,i}\right) \otimes_R \hat{I}.$$

It follows from this

$$T_{\mathrm{Sh}_{a_\bullet}} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{f} \mathcal{H}om\left(\omega^{\circ}_{\mathcal{A}^\vee_{a_\bullet}/\mathrm{Sh}_{a_\bullet},i}, \mathrm{Lie}^{\circ}_{\mathcal{A}_{a_\bullet}/\mathrm{Sh}_{a_\bullet},i}\right) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{f} \mathrm{Lie}^{\circ}_{\mathcal{A}^\vee_{a_\bullet}/\mathrm{Sh}_{a_\bullet},i} \otimes \mathrm{Lie}^{\circ}_{\mathcal{A}_{a_\bullet}/\mathrm{Sh}_{a_\bullet},i}.$$

Note that this proof also shows that $\mathrm{Sh}_{a_\bullet}$ is smooth. □

3.6. **Notation in the real quadratic case.** For the rest of the paper, we assume $f = 2$ so that F is a real quadratic field in which p is inert. For non-negative integers $r \leq s$ such that $n = r + s$, we denote by $G_{r,s}$ the algebraic group previously denoted by $G_{a_\bullet}$ with $a_1 = r$ and $a_2 = s$; in particular, $G_{r,s}(\mathbb{R}) = G(U(r,s) \times U(s,r))$. If r', s' is another pairs of non-negative integers such that $n = r' + s'$ and $r' \leq s'$, Lemma 2.9 gives an isomorphism $G_{r,s}(\mathbb{A}^\infty) \cong G_{r',s'}(\mathbb{A}^\infty)$.

Let $\mathcal{S}h_{r,s}$ be the Shimura variety over $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ attached to $G_{r,s}$ defined in Subsection 2.3 of some fixed sufficiently small prime-to-p level $K^p \subseteq G_{r,s}(\mathbb{A}^{\infty,p})$. Let $\mathrm{Sh}_{r,s}$ denote its special fiber over $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$. Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{r,s}$ denote the universal abelian variety over $\mathrm{Sh}_{r,s}$; it is an $2n^2$-dimensional abelian variety, equipped with an action of $\mathcal{O}_D$ and a prime-to-p polarization $\lambda_{\mathcal{A}}$. Moreover, $\omega^{\circ}_{\mathcal{A}^\vee/\mathrm{Sh}_{r,s},1}$ (resp. $\omega^{\circ}_{\mathcal{A}^\vee/\mathrm{Sh}_{r,s},2}$) is a locally free module over $\mathrm{Sh}_{r,s}$ of rank r (resp. rank s).

4. The case of $G(U(1, n-1) \times U(n-1, 1))$

We will verify Conjecture 2.11 for $\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}$, namely the existence of some cycles Y_j having morphisms to both $\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}$ and $\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}$ and generating Tate classes of $\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}$ under certain genericity hypothesis on the Satake parameters. We always fix an isomorphism $G_{1,n-1}(\mathbb{A}^\infty) \cong G_{0,n}(\mathbb{A}^\infty)$, and write $G(\mathbb{A}^\infty)$ for either group.

Notation 4.1. For a smooth variety X over $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$, we denote by T_X the tangent bundle of X, and for a locally free $\mathcal{O}_X$-module M, we put $M^* = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(M, \mathcal{O}_X)$.

4.2. **Cycles on $\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}$.** For each integer j with $1 \leq j \leq n$, we have a moduli space Y_j over $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ that associates to each locally noetherian $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$-scheme S, the set of isomorphism classes of tuples $(A, \lambda, \eta, B, \lambda', \eta', \phi)$, where

- (A, λ, η) is an S-point of $\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}$,
- (B, λ', η') is an S-point of $\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}$, and
- $\phi : B \to A$ is an isogeny with kernel contained in $B[p]$,

such that

- $p\lambda' = \phi^\vee \circ \lambda \circ \phi$,
- $\phi \circ \eta' = \eta$, and
- the cokernel of the maps

$$\phi_{*,1} : H^{\mathrm{dR}}_1(B/S)^{\circ}_1 \to H^{\mathrm{dR}}_1(A/S)^{\circ}_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_{*,2} : H^{\mathrm{dR}}_1(B/S)^{\circ}_2 \to H^{\mathrm{dR}}_1(A/S)^{\circ}_2$$

are locally free $\mathcal{O}_S$-modules of rank $j-1$ and j, respectively.

There is a unique isogeny $\psi : A \to B$ such that $\psi \circ \phi = p \cdot \mathrm{id}_B$ and $\phi \circ \psi = p \cdot \mathrm{id}_A$. We have

$$\mathrm{Ker}(\phi_{*,i}) = \mathrm{Im}(\psi_{*,i}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Ker}(\phi_{*,i}) = \mathrm{Im}(\psi_{*,i}),$$

where $\psi_{*,i}$ for $i = 1, 2$ is the induced morphism on the reduced de Rham homology in the evident sense. We have a diagram of morphisms:

(4.2.1)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Y_j & \\ {\scriptstyle \mathrm{pr}_j}\swarrow & & \searrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{pr}'_j} \\ \mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1} & & \mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}, \end{array}$$

where pr_j and pr'_j send a tuple $(A, \lambda, \eta, B, \lambda', \eta', \phi)$ to (A, λ, η) and to (B, λ', η'), respectively. Letting K^p vary, we see easily that both pr_j and pr'_j are equivariant under prime-to-p Hecke actions given by the double cosets $K^p \backslash G(\mathbb{A}^{\infty,p})/K^p$.

4.3. **Some auxiliary moduli spaces.** The moduli problem for Y_j is slightly complicated. We will introduce a more explicit moduli space Y'_j below and then show they are isomorphic.

Consider the functor $\underline{Y}'_j$ which associates to each locally noetherian $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$-scheme S, the set of isomorphism classes of tuples $(B, \lambda', \eta', H_1, H_2)$, where

- (B, λ', η') is an S-valued point of $\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}$;
- $H_1 \subset H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(B/S)^\circ_1$ and $H_2 \subset H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(B/S)^\circ_2$ are $\mathcal{O}_S$-subbundles of rank j and $j-1$ respectively such that

(4.3.1)
$$V^{-1}(H_2^{(p)}) \subseteq H_1, \quad H_2 \subseteq F(H_1^{(p)}),$$

  and the quotients $H_1/V^{-1}(H_2^{(p)})$ and $F(H_1^{(p)})/H_2$ are both locally free $\mathcal{O}_S$-modules (of rank one). Here, $F : H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(B/S)^{\circ,(p)}_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(B/S)^\circ_2$ and $V : H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(B/S)^\circ_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(B/S)^{\circ,(p)}_2$ are respectively the Frobenius and Verschiebung homomorphisms, which are actually isomorphisms because of the signature condition.

There is a natural projection $\pi'_j \colon \underline{Y}'_j \to \mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}$ given by $(B, \lambda', \eta', H_1, H_2) \mapsto (B, \lambda', \eta')$.

Proposition 4.4. *The functor $\underline{Y}'_j$ is representable by a scheme Y'_j smooth and projective over $\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}$ of dimension $n-1$. Moreover, if $(\mathcal{B}, \lambda', \eta', \mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ denotes the universal object of Y'_j, then the tangent bundle of Y'_j is*

$$T_{Y'_j} \cong \big((\mathcal{H}_1/V^{-1}(\mathcal{H}_2^{(p)}))\big)^* \otimes \big(H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{B}/\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n})^\circ_1/\mathcal{H}_1\big) \oplus \big(\mathcal{H}_2^* \otimes F(\mathcal{H}_1^{(p)})/\mathcal{H}_2\big).$$

Proof. For each integer m with $0 \le m \le n$ and $i = 1, 2$, let $\mathbf{Gr}(H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{B}/\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n})^\circ_i, m)$ be the Grassmannian scheme over $\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}$ that parametrizes subbundles of the unviersal de Rham homology $H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{B}/\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n})^\circ_i$ of rank m. Then $\underline{Y}'_j$ is a closed subfunctor of the product of the Grassmannian schemes

$$\mathbf{Gr}\big(H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{B}/\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n})^\circ_1, j\big) \times \mathbf{Gr}\big(H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{B}/\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n})^\circ_2, j-1\big).$$

The representability of $\underline{Y}'_j$ follows. Moreover, Y'_j is projective.

We show now that the structural map $\pi'_j : Y'_j \to \mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}$ is smooth of relative dimension $n-1$. Let $S_0 \hookrightarrow S$ be an immersion of locally noetherian $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$-schemes with ideal I satisfying $I^2 = 0$. Suppose we are given a commuative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_0 & \xrightarrow{g_0} & Y'_j \\ \downarrow & \overset{g}{\nearrow} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi'_j} \\ S & \xrightarrow{h} & \mathrm{Sh}_{0,n} \end{array}$$

with solid arrows. We have to show that, locally for Zariski topology on S_0, there is a morphism g as the dotted arrow that makes the whole diagram commute. Let B be the abelian scheme over S given by h, and B_0 be the base change to S_0. The morphism g_0 gives rises to subbundles $\overline{H}_1 \subset H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(B_0/S_0)_1^\circ$ and $\overline{H}_2 \subset H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(B_0/S_0)_2^\circ$ with

$$F(\overline{H}_1^{(p)}) \supset \overline{H}_2, \quad V^{-1}(\overline{H}_2^{(p)}) \subset \overline{H}_1.$$

Finding g is equivalent to finding subbundles $H_i \subset H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(B/S)_i^\circ$ for $i = 1, 2$ which lift $\overline{H}_i$'s and satisfy (4.3.1); this is certainly possible when passing to small enough affine open subsets of S_0. Thus $\pi'_j : Y'_j \to \mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}$ is formally smooth, and hence smooth. We note that $F_S^* : \mathcal{O}_S \to \mathcal{O}_S$ factors through $\mathcal{O}_{S_0}$. Hence $V^{-1}(H_2^{(p)})$ and $F(H_1^{(p)})$ actually depend only on $\overline{H}_1, \overline{H}_2$, but not on the lifts H_1 and H_2. Therefore, the possible lifts H_2 form a torsor under the group

$$\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{S_0}}\big(\overline{H}_2, F(\overline{H}_1^{(p)})/\overline{H}_2\big) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_0}} I,$$

and similarly the possible lifts H_1 form a torsor under the group

$$\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{S_0}}\big(\overline{H}_1/V^{-1}(\overline{H}_2^{(p)}), H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(B_0/S_0)_1^\circ/\overline{H}_1\big) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_0}} I.$$

To compute the tangent bundle $T_{Y'_j}$, we take $S = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{S_0}[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2)$ and $I = \epsilon\mathcal{O}_S$. The morphism $g_0 : S_0 \to Y'_j$ corresponds to an S_0-valued point of Y'_j, say y_0. Then the possible liftings g form the tangent space $T_{Y'_j}$ at y_0, denote by $T_{Y'_j, y_0}$. The discussion above shows that

$$T_{Y'_j, y_0} \cong \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{S_0}}\big(\overline{H}_2, F(\overline{H}_1^{(p)})/\overline{H}_2\big) \oplus \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{S_0}}\big(\overline{H}_1/V^{-1}(\overline{H}_2^{(p)}), H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(B_0/S_0)_1^\circ/\overline{H}_1\big),$$

which is certainly a vector bundle over S_0 of rank $j - 1 + (n - j) = n - 1$. Applying this to the universal case when $g_0 : S_0 \to Y'_j$ is the identity morphism, the formula of the tangent bundle follows. □

Remark 4.5. Let $(B, \lambda', \eta', H_1, H_2)$ be an S-point of Y'_j.

(a) If $j = n$, H_1 has to be $H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(B/S)_1^\circ$, and H_2 is a hyperplane of $H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(B/S)_2^\circ$. Condition (4.3.1) is trivial. In this case, Y'_n is the projective space over $\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}$ associated to $H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{B}/\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n})_2^\circ$, where $\mathcal{B}$ is the universal abelian scheme over $\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}$. So it is geometrically a union of copies of $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}$.

(b) If $j = 1$, then H_1 is a line in $H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(B/S)_1^\circ$ and $H_2 = 0$. So Y'_1 is the projective space over $\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}$ associated to $(H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{B}/\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n})_1^\circ)^*$.

(c) If $j = 2$, $H_2 \subseteq H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(B/S)_2^\circ$ is a line, and $H_1 \subseteq H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(B/S)_1^\circ$ is sub-bundle of rank 2 such that $F(H_1^{(p)})$ contains both H_2 and $F\big(V^{-1}(H_2^{(p)})^{(p)}\big)$. Therefore, if $H_2 \neq F(V^{-1}(H_2^{(p)}))^{(p)}$, H_1 is determined up to Frobenius pull-back. If $H_2 = F\big(V^{-1}(H_2^{(p)})^{(p)}\big)$, then H_1 could be any rank 2 sub-bundle containing $V^{-1}(H_2^{(p)})$.

We fix a geometric point $z = (B, \lambda', \eta') \in \mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$. It is possible to find good basis for $H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(B/\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)_1^\circ$ and $H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(B/\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)_2^\circ$ such that both $F, V : H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(B/\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)_1^\circ \to H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(B/\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)_2^\circ$ are given by the identity matrix. With these choices, we may identify the fiber $Y'_{2,z} = \pi'^{-1}(z)$ with a closed subvariety of

$$\mathbf{Gr}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p^n, 2) \times \mathbf{Gr}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p^n, 1).$$

Moreover, one may equip $\mathbf{Gr}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p^n, 1) \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-1}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}$ with an $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$-rational structure such that $H_2 = F\big(V^{-1}(H_2^{(p)})^{(p)}\big)$ if and only if $[H_2] \in \mathbb{P}^{n-1}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}$ is an $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$-rational point. So $Y'_{2,z}$ is isomorphic to a "Frobenius-twisted" blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}$ at all of its $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$-rational points. Here, "Frobenius-twisted"

means that each irreducible component of the exceptional divisor has multiplicity p. For instance, when $n = 3$, each $Y_{2,z}$ is isomorphic to the closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^2_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} \times \mathbb{P}^2_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}$ defined by

$$\begin{cases} a_1 b_1^p + a_2 b_2^p + a_3 b_3^p = 0 \\ a_1^p b_1 + a_2^p b_2 + a_3^p b_3 = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $(a_1 : a_2 : a_3)$ and $(b_1 : b_2 : b_3)$ are the homogeneous coordinates on the two copies of $\mathbb{P}^2$.

Lemma 4.6. *Let $(A, \lambda, \eta, B, \lambda', \eta', \phi)$ be an S-point of Y_j. Then the image of $\phi_{*,1}$ contains both $\omega^\circ_{A^\vee/S,1}$ and $F\big(H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A/S)_2^{\circ,(p)}\big)$, and the image of $\phi_{*,2}$ is contained in both $\omega^\circ_{A^\vee/S,2}$ and $F\big(H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A/S)_1^{\circ,(p)}\big)$.*

Proof. By the functoriality, $\phi_{2,*}$ sends $\omega^\circ_{B^\vee/S,2}$ to $\omega^\circ_{A^\vee/S,2}$. Since $\omega^\circ_{B^\vee/S,2} = H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(B/S)^\circ_2$ by the Kottwitz's determinant condition, it follows that $\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{*,2})$ in contained in $\omega^\circ_{A^\vee/S,2}$. Similar arguments by considering $\psi_{*,1}$ shows that $\omega^\circ_{A^\vee/S,1} \subseteq \mathrm{Ker}(\psi_{*,1}) = \mathrm{Im}(\phi_{*,1})$. The fact that $\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{*,2})$ is contained in $F\big(H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A/S)_1^{\circ,(p)}\big)$ follows from the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^{\mathrm{dR}}(B/S)_1^{\circ,(p)} & \xrightarrow{\phi_{*,1}^{(p)}} & H^{\mathrm{dR}}(A/S)_1^{\circ,(p)} \\ {\scriptstyle F}\big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \cong} & & \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle F} \\ H^{\mathrm{dR}}(B/S)^\circ_2 & \xrightarrow{\phi_{*,2}} & H^{\mathrm{dR}}(A/S)^\circ_2, \end{array} \tag{4.6.1}$$

and the fact that the left vertical arrow is an isomorphism. The inclusion $F\big(H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A/S)_2^{\circ,(p)}\big) \subseteq \mathrm{Im}(\phi_{*,1}) = \mathrm{Ker}(\psi_{*,1})$ can be proved similarly using the functoriality of the Verschiebung homomorphism. □

4.7. **Morphism from Y_j to Y'_j.** There is a natural morphism $\alpha : Y_j \to Y'_j$ for $1 \le j \le n$ defined as follows. For a locally noetherian $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$-scheme S and an S-point $(A, \lambda, \eta, B, \lambda', \eta', \phi)$ of Y_j, we define

$$H_1 := \phi_{*,1}^{-1}(\omega^\circ_{A^\vee/S,1}) \subseteq H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(B/S)^\circ_1, \quad \text{and} \quad H_2 := \psi_{*,2}(\omega^\circ_{A^\vee/S,2}) \subseteq H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(B/S)^\circ_2. \tag{4.7.1}$$

In particular, H_1 and H_2 are locally free $\mathcal{O}_S$-modules of rank j and $j-1$, respectively. Also, there is a canonical isomorphism $\omega^\circ_{A^\vee/S,2}/\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{*,2}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_2$. From the commutative diagram (4.6.1), it is easy to see that $F(H_1^{(p)}) = \mathrm{Ker}(\phi_{*,2}) = \mathrm{Im}(\psi_{*,2})$, hence $H_2 \subseteq F(H_1^{(p)})$. Similarly, $V^{-1}(H_2^{(p)})$ is identified with $\mathrm{Im}(\psi_{*,1}) = \mathrm{Ker}(\phi_{*,1})$, hence $V^{-1}(H_2^{(p)}) \subseteq H_1$. Note that we have canonical isomorphisms:

$$H_1/V^{-1}(H_2^{(p)}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \omega^\circ_{A^\vee/S,1}, \quad \text{and} \quad F(H_1^{(p)})/H_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A/S)^\circ_2/\omega^\circ_{A^\vee/S,2} \cong \mathrm{Lie}^\circ_{A/S,2}. \tag{4.7.2}$$

Therefore, we have a well-defined map $\alpha\colon Y_j \to Y'_j$ given by

$$\alpha\colon (A, \lambda, \eta, B, \lambda', \eta', \phi) \mapsto (B, \lambda', \eta', H_1, H_2).$$

Moreover, it is clear from the definition that $\pi'_j \circ \alpha = \mathrm{pr}'_j$.

Proposition 4.8. *The morphism α is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Let k be a perfect field containing $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$. We first prove that α induces a bijection of points $\alpha : Y_j(k) \xrightarrow{\sim} Y'_j(k)$. It suffices to show that there exists a morphism of sets $\beta : Y'_j(k) \to Y_j(k)$ inverse to α. Let $y = (B, \lambda', \eta', H_1, H_2) \in Y'_j(k)$. We define $\beta(y) = (A, \lambda, \eta, B, \lambda', \eta', \phi)$ as follows. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1 \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(B)^\circ_1$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2 \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(B)^\circ_2$ be respectively the inverse image of $V^{-1}(H_2^{(p)}) \subseteq H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(B/k)^\circ_1$ and $F(H_1^{(p)}) \subseteq H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(B/k)^\circ_2$ via the natural reduction maps

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(B)^\circ_i \to H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(B/k)^\circ \cong \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(B)^\circ_i/p\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(B)^\circ_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2.$$

Applying Proposition 3.2 with $m = 1$, we get a triple (A, λ, η) and an isogeny $\psi : A \to B$, where A is an abelian variety over k with an action of $\mathcal{O}_D$, λ is a prime-to-p polarization on A, and η is a prime-to-p level structure on A. By construction, ψ is $\mathcal{O}_D$-equivariant such that $\psi^\vee \circ \lambda' \circ \psi = p\lambda$, and such that $\psi_{*,i} : \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)_i^\circ \to \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(B)_i^\circ$ is naturally identified with the inclusion $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_i \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(B)_i^\circ$ for $i = 1, 2$. Moreover, (3.2.2) says that $\omega^\circ_{A^\vee/k,1}$ has rank 1, and $\omega^\circ_{A^\vee/k,2}$ has rank $n-1$. Therefore, (A, λ, η) is a point of $\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}$. Finally, we take $\phi : B \to A$ to be the unique isogeny such that $\phi \circ \psi = p \cdot \mathrm{id}_A$ and $\psi \circ \phi = p \cdot \mathrm{id}_B$. This finishes the construction of $\beta(y)$. It is direct to check that β is the set theoretic inverse to $\alpha : Y_j(k) \to Y_j'(k)$.

We show now that α induces an isomorphism on the tangent spaces at each closed point; then it would follow that α is an isomorphism. Let $x = (A, \lambda, \eta, B, \lambda', \eta', \phi) \in Y_j(k)$ be a closed point. Consider the infinitesimal deformation over $k[\epsilon] = k[t]/t^2$. Note that (B, λ', η') has a unique deformation $(\hat{B}, \hat{\lambda}', \hat{\eta}')$ to $k[\epsilon]$, namely the trivial deformation. By the Grothendieck-Messing deformation theory (cf. Theorem 3.4), giving a deformation $(\hat{A}, \hat{\lambda}, \hat{\eta})$ of (A, λ, η) to $k[\epsilon]$ is equivalent to giving free $k[\epsilon]$-submodules $\hat{\omega}^\circ_{A^\vee,i} \subseteq H_1^{\mathrm{cris}}(A/k[\epsilon])_i^\circ$ for $i = 1, 2$ which lift $\omega^\circ_{A^\vee/k,i}$. The isogeny ϕ and the polarization λ deform to an isogeny $\hat{\phi} : \hat{B} \to \hat{A}$ and a polarization $\hat{\lambda} : \hat{A}^\vee \to \hat{A}$ (satisfying $p\hat{\lambda}' = \hat{\phi}^\vee \circ \hat{\lambda} \circ \hat{\phi}$), necessarily unique if they exist, if and only if

$$\hat{\omega}^\circ_{A^\vee,2} \supseteq \phi_{*,2}(H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A/k)_2^\circ) \otimes_k k[\epsilon] \quad \text{and} \quad \big(\phi_{*,1}(H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(B/k)_1^\circ) \otimes_k k[\epsilon]\big)^\vee \subseteq (\hat{\omega}^\circ_{A^\vee,1})^\vee,$$

where the second inclusion comes from the consideration at the embedding $\bar{q}_2$ by taking duality using the polarization λ, and is equivalent to $\hat{\omega}^\circ_{A^\vee,1} \subseteq \phi_{*,1}\big(H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(B/k)_1^\circ\big) \otimes_k k[\epsilon]$.

As discussed before Proposition 4.8, we have $\mathrm{Ker}(\phi_{*,1}) = V^{-1}(H_2^{(p)})$ and $F(H_1^{(p)}) = \mathrm{Ker}(\phi_{*,2}) = \mathrm{Im}(\psi_{*,2})$. Then according to the relation between $\omega^\circ_{A^\vee/k,i}$ and H_1 in (4.7.1), giving such $\hat{\omega}^\circ_{A^\vee,i}$ for $i = 1, 2$ is equivalent to lifting H_i to free $k[\epsilon]$-submodules $\hat{H}_i \subseteq H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(B/k)_i^\circ \otimes_k k[\epsilon]$ for $i = 1, 2$ such that $\hat{H}_1 \supseteq V^{-1}(H_2^{(p)}) \otimes_k k[\epsilon]$ and $\hat{H}_2 \subseteq F(H_1^{(p)}) \otimes_k k[\epsilon]$. This is exactly the description of the tangent space of Y_j' at $\alpha(x)$. This concludes the proof. □

In the sequel, we will always identify Y_j with Y_j' and pr_j' with π_j'. Before proceeding, we prove some results on the structure of $\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$.

4.9. **Automorphisms of a point on $\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}$.** Let $(V_{0,n} = D, \langle -, - \rangle_{0,n})$ be the left D-module together with its alternating D-Hermitian pairing as in the definition of $\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}$. Fix a point $z = (B, \lambda, \eta) \in \mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$. Put $C = \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}_D}(B)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, and denote by $\dagger$ the Rosati involution on C induced by λ. Let I be the algebraic group over $\mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$I(R) = \{x \in C \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} R \mid xx^\dagger \in R^\times\}, \quad \text{for all } \mathbb{Q}\text{-algebras } R. \tag{4.9.1}$$

Proposition 4.10. *We have an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Q}$-algebraic groups: $I \xrightarrow{\sim} G_{0,n}$.*

Proof. We choose a finite field $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2r}}$ such that $z \in \mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}(\mathbb{F}_{p^{2r}})$ and all the elements of C are already defined over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2r}}$. Since $\mathcal{S}h_{0,n}$ is smooth (hence étale) over $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$, there exists a point $\tilde{z} = (\tilde{B}, \tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\eta}) \in \mathcal{S}h_{0,n}(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{2r}})$ which reduces to z modulo p. Put $\tilde{B}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p} = \tilde{B} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p^{2r}}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$, and $\tilde{B}_{\mathbb{C}}$ the base change to $\mathbb{C}$ via $\iota_p^{-1} : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$. Then we have an injection of endomorphism rings

$$\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}_D}(\tilde{B}_{\mathbb{C}})_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}_D}(\tilde{B}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p})_{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}_D}(B)_{\mathbb{Q}} = C.$$

Put $H = H_1(\tilde{B}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Q})$. It is a left D-module of rank 1 equipped with an alternating D-Hermitian pairing $\langle -, - \rangle_{\tilde{\lambda}}$ induced by the polarization $\tilde{\lambda}$. By results of Kottwitz [Ko92a, §8], for every place

v of $\mathbb{Q}$, the skew-Hermitian $D_{\mathbb{Q}_v}$-modules $H_{\mathbb{Q}_v}$ and $V_{0,n,\mathbb{Q}_v}$ are isomorphic.[8] Then $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}_D}(\tilde{B}_{\mathbb{C}})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ consists of the elements of $D^{\mathrm{opp}} = \mathrm{End}_D(H)$ that preserves the complex structure on $H_{1,\mathbb{R}} \cong V_{0,n,\mathbb{R}}$ induced by $h : \mathbb{C}^\times \to G_{0,n}(\mathbb{R})$. Since in our case $h(i)$ is necessarily central (because $G^1_{0,n}$ is compact), it follows that $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}_D}(\tilde{B}_{\mathbb{C}})_{\mathbb{Q}} = D^{\mathrm{opp}}$. Hence, the Rosati involution on $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}_D}(\tilde{B}_{\mathbb{C}})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ corresponds to the involution

$$b \mapsto b^{\sharp_{\beta_{0,n}}} = \beta_{0,n} b^* \beta_{0,n}^{-1}$$

on D^{opp}, where $\beta_{0,n}$ is the element in the definition of $\langle -, - \rangle_{0,n}$. Therefore, we have an inclusion of semi-simple $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras with involution: $(D^{\mathrm{opp}}, \sharp^{\beta_{0,n}}) \subseteq (C, \dagger)$. If we can show that this is actually an equality, the proof of the Proposition will be finished. It suffices to show that $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} C \leq \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} D^{\mathrm{opp}}$. We choose a prime number $l \neq p$. Let $V_l(B)$ be the $\mathbb{Q}_l$-Tate module. Then one has an injection

$$C \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_l \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}_{D_{\mathbb{Q}_l}}(V_l(B)) \cong D^{\mathrm{opp}}_{\mathbb{Q}_l}.$$

In particular, we get

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} C \leq \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_l} D^{\mathrm{opp}}_{\mathbb{Q}_l} = \dim D^{\mathrm{opp}}.$$

□

Remark 4.11. With the notation of the proof above, if π_B denotes the Frobenius endomorphism of B relative to $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2r}}$, then Tate [Ta66] shows that $C \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_l$ is exactly isomorphic to the centralizer of the image of π_B in $\mathrm{End}_{D_{\mathbb{Q}_l}}(V_l(B))$. But we have seen in the proof that $C \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_l \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{End}_{D_{\mathbb{Q}_l}}(V_l(B))$ is bijective. Hence, it follows that π_B is central in $\mathrm{End}_{D_{\mathbb{Q}_l}}(V_l(B)) \cong D^{\mathrm{opp}}_{\mathbb{Q}_l}$. Actually, using the fact that the Newton polygon of the p-divisible group of B has only slope $1/2$, one can show that $\pi_B = p^r$ if $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2r}}$ is sufficiently large.

In particular, there exists an isomorphism

$$I(\mathbb{Q}_p) \xrightarrow{\sim} G_{0,n}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \times \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}) \tag{4.11.1}$$

which is necessarily unique up to conjugation, because all automorphisms of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_{p^2})$ are inner. This conjugacy class of isomorphisms can be explicitly described as follows. We put

$$\mathbb{L}_z = \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(B)_1^{\circ, F^2 = p} = \{v \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(B)_1^\circ : F^2(v) = pv\}. \tag{4.11.2}$$

This is a free $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$-module of rank n, and we have $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(B)_1^\circ \simeq \mathbb{L}_z \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}} W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$. Put $\mathbb{L}_z[1/p] = \mathbb{L}_z \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}} \mathbb{Q}_{p^2}$. An element $x \in I(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ will induce an automorphism $x_{\mathbb{L}} \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}}(\mathbb{L}_z[1/p]) \cong \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_{p^2})$. Up to conjugation, the isomorphism (4.11.1) is given as $x \mapsto (xx^\dagger, x_{\mathbb{L}})$ for $x \in I(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

4.12. **Isogenous classes.** Let $\mathrm{Isog}(z) \subseteq \mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ denote the subset of points $z' = (B', \lambda', \eta')$ such that there exists an $\mathcal{O}_D$-equivariant quasi-isogeny $\phi : B' \to B$ such that $\phi^\vee \circ \lambda \circ \phi = c_0 \lambda'$ for some $c_0 \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$; we denote such a quasi-isogeny by $\phi : z' \to z$ for simplicity. We will give a group theoretic interpretation of $\mathrm{Isog}(z)$.

Put $V^{(p)}(B) = T^{(p)}(B) \otimes_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(p)}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty,p}$. Then the prime-to-$p$ level structure η determines an isomorphism

$$\tilde{\eta} : V^{(p)}_{0,n} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty,p} \xrightarrow{\sim} V^{(p)}(B),$$

unique up to right translation by elements of K^p. For any $z' = (B', \lambda', \eta') \in \mathrm{Isog}(z)$ and a choice of $\phi : z' \to z$ as above. The quasi-isogeny ϕ induces an isomorphism $\phi_* : V^{(p)}(B') \xrightarrow{\sim} V^{(p)}(B)$. Then there exists a $g \in G_{0,n}(\mathbb{A}^{\infty,p})$, unique up to right multiplication by elements of K^p, such that the

8. Note that the two skew-Hermitian forms $(H, \langle -, - \rangle)_{\tilde{\lambda}}$ and $(V_{0,n}, \langle -, - \rangle_{0,n})$ are not necessarily isomorphic. However, they differ only by a scalar in F, hence define the same similitude unitary group. See [Ko92a, p. 400] for details.

K^p-orbit of $\phi_*^{-1}\circ\tilde{\eta}\circ g$ gives η'. If one replaces ϕ by $\gamma\phi$ with $\gamma\in I(\mathbb{Q})\cong G_{0,n}(\mathbb{Q})$, then g is replaced by γg.

Similarly, ϕ induces an isomorphism $\phi_*:\mathbb{L}_{z'}[1/p]\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathbb{L}_z[1/p]$. Fix a $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$-basis for $\mathbb{L}_z$. Then there exists a $g_{\mathbb{L}}\in\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_{p^2})$ such that $\phi_*(\mathbb{L}_{z'})=g_{\mathbb{L}}(\mathbb{L}_z)$, and the right coset $g_{\mathbb{L}}\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_{p^2})$ is independent of the choice of such a basis. We put $g_p=(c_0,g_{\mathbb{L}})\in\mathbb{Q}_p^\times\times\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_{p^2})\cong G_{0,n}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. If one replaces ϕ by $\gamma\phi$ with $\gamma\in I(\mathbb{Q})\cong G_{0,n}(\mathbb{Q})$, then $(c_0,g_{\mathbb{L}})$ is replaced by $\gamma g_p=(\gamma\gamma^\dagger c_0,\gamma g_{\mathbb{L}})$.

To sum up, we obtain a well-defined map

$$\Theta_z\colon \mathrm{Isog}(z)\longrightarrow G_{0,n}(\mathbb{Q})\backslash\big(G_{0,n}(\mathbb{A}^{\infty,p})\times G_{0,n}(\mathbb{Q}_p)\big)/K \tag{4.12.1}$$

with $K=K^p\times K_p$, which attaches to z' the class of pairs (g,g_p).

Proposition 4.13. *The map Θ_z is bijective.*

Proof. We show first the injectivity of Θ_z. Given $z_1=(B_1,\lambda_1,\eta_1),z_2=(B_2,\lambda_2,\eta_2)\in\mathrm{Isog}(z)$ that correspond to the same double coset, we have to prove that they are isomorphic. Choose quasi-isogenies $\phi_1:B_1\to B$ and $\phi_2:B_2\to B$, and let $(g_1,g_{p,1}),(g_2,g_{p,2})\in G_{0,n}(\mathbb{A}^{\infty,p})\times G_{0,n}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ denote the corresponding pairs. Then there exists $\gamma\in G_{0,n}(\mathbb{Q})$ and $(k^p,k_p)\in K^p\times K_p$ such that $\gamma(g_1,g_{p,1})=(g_2k^p,g_{p,2}k_p)$. Consider the quasi-isogeny

$$\phi=\phi_2^{-1}\circ\gamma\circ\phi_1:B_1\to B_2.$$

Then ϕ induces isomorphisms $T^{(p)}(B_1)\xrightarrow{\sim}T^{(p)}(B_2)$, and $\mathbb{L}_{z_1}\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathbb{L}_{z_2}$. Since $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(B_i)_1^\circ\oplus\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(B_i)_2^\circ\simeq\big(\mathbb{L}_{z_i}\oplus F(\mathbb{L}_{z_i})\big)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}}W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, it follows that $\phi_*:\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(B_1)\xrightarrow{\sim}\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(B_2)$ is an isomorphism. Hence, ϕ is an isomorphism of abelian varieties, and it is easily seen to be compatible with all structures. This shows the injectivity of Θ_z.

We now prove the surjectivity of Θ_z. Suppose that we are given $(g,g_p)\in G_{0,n}(\mathbb{A}^{\infty,p})\times G_{0,n}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. We need to show that (g,g_p) comes from some $z'\in\mathrm{Isog}(z)$. Write $g_p=(p^mu,g_{\mathbb{L}})\in G_{0,n}(\mathbb{Q}_p)\cong\mathbb{Q}_p^\times\times\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_{p^2})$ with $u\in\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$. Up to multiplying (g,g_p) by an integer (viewed in $\mathbb{Q}^\times\in G_{0,n}(\mathbb{Q})$), we may assume that $g(\Lambda_{0,n}\otimes\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(p)})\subseteq\Lambda_{0,n}\otimes\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(p)}$, $m\geq 0$ and $p^m\mathbb{L}_z\subseteq g_{\mathbb{L}}(\mathbb{L}_z)\subseteq\mathbb{L}_z$. Here, $\Lambda_{0,n}\subseteq V_{0,n}$ denotes the $\mathcal{O}_D$-stable lattice used in the definition of $\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}$. Then $T^{(p)}_{z'}:=\tilde{\eta}\circ g(\Lambda_{0,n}\otimes\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(p)})$ is an $\mathcal{O}_D$-stable sublattice of $T^{(p)}(B)=\tilde{\eta}(\Lambda_{0,n}\otimes\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{(p)})$. Choose an integer $N\geq 1$ coprime to p such that $NT^{(p)}(B)\subseteq T^{(p)}_{z'}$ with quotient $H^{(p)}$. It is a subgroup of $B[N]$ stable under $\mathcal{O}_D$.

Similarly, choose an integer $m\geq 0$ such that $p^m\mathbb{L}_z\subseteq g_{\mathbb{L}}(\mathbb{L}_z)\subseteq\mathbb{L}_z$. Put $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1=g_{\mathbb{L}}(\mathbb{L}_z)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}}W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2=F(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1)$. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1\oplus\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2$ is a Dieudonné submodule of $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(B)^\circ$, and the quotient

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{2}\big(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_i/p^m\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(B)_i^\circ\big)^{\oplus n}$$

corresponds to a subgroup scheme $H_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of $B[\mathfrak{p}^m]$ stable under the action of $\mathcal{O}_D$. Denote by $H_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}\subseteq B[\mathfrak{p}^m]$ the orthogonal complement of $H_{\mathfrak{p}}$ under the perfect pairing $B[\mathfrak{p}^m]\times B[\bar{\mathfrak{p}}^m]\to\mu_{p^m}$. Put $H_p=H_{\mathfrak{p}}\oplus H_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}$, and $H=H^{(p)}\oplus H_p\subseteq B[Np^m]$. Let $\psi:B\to B'$ be the canonical isogeny with kernel H, and $\phi:B'\to B$ be the isogeny such that $\phi\circ\psi=Np^m\mathrm{Id}_B$. Then B' is an abelian scheme equipped with an induced $\mathcal{O}_D$-action. The induced map $\phi_*:T^{(p)}(B')\to T^{(p)}(B)$ is naturally identified with the inclusion $T^{(p)}_{z'}\subseteq T^{(p)}(B)$, and $\phi_*:\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(B')_i^\circ\to\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(B)_i^\circ$ is identified with the inclusion $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_i\hookrightarrow\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(B)_i^\circ$ for $i=1,2$. This implies in particular that $\mathrm{Lie}^\circ_{B',i}$ is of dimension n for $i=1$ and dimension 0 for $i=2$. By [Mu74, §23, Theorem 2], there is a prime-to-p polarization λ' on B' such that $p^mN\lambda'=\phi^\vee\circ\lambda\circ\phi$. Finally, the orbit of $\phi_*^{-1}\circ\tilde{\eta}\circ g$ under K^p defines a K^p-level structure on B'. Therefore $z'=(B',\lambda',\eta')$ defines a point of $\mathrm{Isog}(z)\subseteq\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, and its image under Θ_z is the class of (g,g_p). This finishes the proof of the surjectivity of Θ_z. □

Remark 4.14. It follows from this Proposition and the description of $\mathcal{S}h_{0,n}(\mathbb{C})$ as in Subsection 2.3 that $\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ consists of $\#\ker^1(\mathbb{Q}, G_{0,n})$ isogenous classes of abelian varieties.

Lemma 4.15. *Let N be a fixed non-negative integer. Up to replacing K^p by an open compact subgroup of itself, the Shimura variety $\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}$ satisfies the following property: if (B, λ, η) is an $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$-point of $\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}$ and $f : B \to B$ is an $\mathcal{O}_D$-quasi-isogeny such that*
- *$p^N f \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}_D}(B)$,*
- *$f^\vee \circ \lambda \circ f = \lambda$,*
- *$f \circ \eta = \eta$,*

then $f = \mathrm{id}$.

Proof. It suffices to prove the Lemma for (B, λ, η) in a fixed isogenous class $\mathrm{Isog}(z)$ of $\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$. We write $G_{0,n}(\mathbb{A}^{\infty,p}) = \coprod_{i\in I} G_{0,n}(\mathbb{Q}) g_i K$ with $K = K^p K_p$, where g_i runs through a finite set of representatives of the double coset

$$G_{0,n}(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G_{0,n}(\mathbb{A}^{\infty,p}) / K.$$

Let (B, λ, η) be a point of $\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}$ corresponding to $G_{0,n}(\mathbb{Q}) g_i K$ for some $i \in I$, and f be an $\mathcal{O}_D$-quasi-isogeny of B as in the statement. Then f is given by an element of $G^1_{0,n}(\mathbb{Q})$. The condition that $f \circ \eta = \eta$ is equivalent to that f maps to $g_i K^p {g_i}^{-1} \subseteq G_{0,n}(\mathbb{A}^{\infty,p})$. Moreover, $p^N f \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}_D}(B)$ implies that the image of f in $G_{0,n}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ belongs to $\coprod_\delta g_i K_p \delta K_p g_i^{-1}$, where δ runs through the set

$$\big\{(1, \mathrm{diag}(p^{a_1}, p^{a_2}, \dots, p^{a_n})) \in G_{0,n}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \times \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}) \;\big|\; N \geq a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \cdots \geq a_n \geq 0\big\}.$$

Write $\coprod_\delta K_p \delta K_p = \coprod_{j\in J} h_j K_p$ for some finite set J. Hence, it suffices to show that there exists an open compact subgroup $K'^p \subseteq K^p$ such that, for all g_i, $G^1_{0,n}(\mathbb{Q}) \cap g_i (K'^p \cdot h_j K_p) g_i^{-1}$ is equal to $\{1\}$ if $h_j K_p = K_p$, and it is empty otherwise. Since K is neat, we have $G^1_{0,n}(\mathbb{Q}) \cap g_i (K'^p K_p) g_i^{-1} = \{1\}$ for any g_i and any $K'^p \subseteq K^p$. Note that this implies that $G^1_{0,n}(\mathbb{Q}) \cap g_i (K^p \cdot h_j K_p) g_i^{-1}$ contains at most one element (because if it contains both x and y, then $x^{-1}y \in G^1_{0,n}(\mathbb{Q}) \cap g_i K g_i^{-1} = \{1\}$). Let $S \subset I \times J$ be the subset consisting of (i, j) such that $h_j K_p \neq K_p$ and $G^1_{0,n}(\mathbb{Q}) \cap g_i (K^p \cdot h_j K_p) g_i^{-1}$ indeed contains one element, say $x_{i,j}$. Then $x_{i,j} \neq 1$ for all $(i, j) \in S$. Hence, one can choose a normal subgroup $K'^p \subseteq K^p$ so that $x_{i,j} \notin g_i K'^p g_i^{-1}$ for all i. We claim that this choice of K'^p will satisfy the desired property. Indeed, if $K^p = \coprod_l b_l K'^p$, then the double coset $G_{0,n}(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G_{0,n}(\mathbb{A}^{\infty,p}) / K'^p K_p$ has a set of representatives of the form $g_i b_l$. Then one has, for $h_j K_p \neq K_p$,

$$G^1_{0,n}(\mathbb{Q}) \cap g_i b_l (K'^p h_j K_p) b_l^{-1} g_i^{-1} = G^1_{0,n}(\mathbb{Q}) \cap g_i (K'^p h_j K_p) g_i^{-1} = \emptyset.$$

Here, the first equality uses the fact that K'^p is normal in K^p. This finishes the proof. □

We come back to the discussion on the cycles $Y_j \subseteq \mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$.

Proposition 4.16. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \lambda, \eta, \mathcal{B}, \lambda', \eta', \phi^{\mathrm{univ}})$ denote the universal object on Y_j for $1 \leq j \leq n$, and $\mathcal{H}_i \subset H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{B}/\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n})$ for $i = 1, 2$ be the universal sub-bundles on $Y'_j \cong Y_j$.*

(1) *The induced map $T_{Y_j} \to \mathrm{pr}_j^* T_{\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}}$ is universally injective, and we have canonical isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} N_{Y_j}(\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}) &:= \mathrm{pr}_j^* T_{\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}} / T_{Y_j} \\ &\simeq \big(\mathcal{H}_1 / V^{-1}(\mathcal{H}_2^{(p)})\big)^* \otimes V^{-1}(\mathcal{H}_2^{(p)}) \oplus \big(F(\mathcal{H}_1^{(p)})/\mathcal{H}_2\big) \otimes \big(H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{B}/\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n})^\circ_2 / F(\mathcal{H}_1^{(p)})\big)^* \\ &\simeq \mathrm{Lie}^\circ_{\mathcal{A}^\vee,1} \otimes \mathrm{Coker}(\phi^{\mathrm{univ}}_{*,1}) \oplus \mathrm{Lie}^\circ_{\mathcal{A},2} \otimes \mathrm{Im}(\phi^{\mathrm{univ}}_{*,2})^*. \end{aligned}$$

(2) *Assume that K^p is sufficiently small so that the consequences of Lemma 4.15 hold for $N = 1$. For each fixed closed point $z \in \mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}$, the map $\mathrm{pr}_{j,z} := \mathrm{pr}_j|_{Y_{j,z}} : Y_{j,z} \to \mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}$ is a closed*

immersion, or equivalently, the morphism $(\mathrm{pr}_1, \mathrm{pr}_2) : Y_j \to \mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1} \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})} \mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}$ *is a closed immersion.*

(3) *The union of the images of* pr_j *for all* $1 \leq j \leq n$ *is the supersingular locus of* $\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}$*, i.e. the reduced closed subscheme of* $\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}$ *where all the slopes of the Newton polygon of the* p*-divisible group* $\mathcal{A}[\mathfrak{p}^\infty]$ *are* $1/2$*.*

Proof. (1) Let S be an affine noetherian $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$-scheme and let $y = (A, \lambda, \eta, B, \lambda', \eta', \phi)$ be an S-point of Y_j. Put $\hat{S} = S \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})} \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_{p^2}[t]/t^2)$. Then we have a natural bijection

$$\mathscr{D}\mathrm{ef}(y, \hat{S}) \cong \Gamma(S, y^* T_{Y_j}),$$

where $\mathscr{D}\mathrm{ef}(y, \hat{S})$ is the set of deformations of y to $\hat{S}$. Similarly, we have $\mathscr{D}\mathrm{ef}(\mathrm{pr}_j \circ y, \hat{S}) \cong \Gamma(S, y^* \mathrm{pr}_j^* T_{\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}})$. To prove the universal injectivity of $T_{Y_j} \to \mathrm{pr}_j^* T_{\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}}$, it suffices to show that the natural map $\mathscr{D}\mathrm{ef}(y, \hat{S}) \to \mathscr{D}\mathrm{ef}(\mathrm{pr}_j \circ y, \hat{S})$ is injective. By crystalline deformation theory (Theorem 3.4), giving a point of $\mathscr{D}\mathrm{ef}(y, \hat{S})$ is equivalent to giving $\mathcal{O}_{\hat{S}}$-subbundles $\hat{\omega}^\circ_{A^\vee,i} \subseteq H_1^{\mathrm{cris}}(A/\hat{S})^\circ_i$ over $\hat{S}$ such that

– $\hat{\omega}^\circ_{A^\vee,i}$ lifts $\omega^\circ_{A^\vee/S,i}$;

– $\hat{\omega}^\circ_{A^\vee,1} \subseteq \mathrm{Im}(\phi_{*,1}) \otimes \mathbb{F}_{p^2}[t]/t^2$ and $\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{*,2}) \otimes \mathbb{F}_{p^2}[t]/t^2 \subseteq \hat{\omega}^\circ_{A^\vee,2}$ are locally direct factors.

Hence, one sees easily that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{D}\mathrm{ef}(y, S) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_S}\left(\omega^\circ_{A^\vee/S,1}, \mathrm{Im}(\phi_{*,1})/\omega^\circ_{A/S,1}\right) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_S}\left(\omega^\circ_{A^\vee/S,2}/\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{*,2}), H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A/S)^\circ_2/\omega^\circ_{A^\vee/S,2}\right) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Lie}^\circ_{A^\vee/S,1} \otimes \left(\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{*,1})/\omega^\circ_{A/S,1}\right) \oplus \left(\omega^\circ_{A^\vee/S,2}/\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{*,2})\right)^* \otimes \mathrm{Lie}^\circ_{A^\vee/S,2}.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\mathscr{D}\mathrm{ef}(y, \hat{S})$ is given by the lifts of $\omega^\circ_{A^\vee/S,i}$ for $i = 1, 2$ to $\hat{S}$. These lifts are classified by $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_S}\left(\omega^\circ_{A^\vee/S,i}, H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A/S)^\circ_i/\omega^\circ_{A^\vee/S,i}\right) \cong \mathrm{Lie}^\circ_{A^\vee/S,i} \otimes_k \mathrm{Lie}^\circ_{A/S,i}$. Hence, $\mathscr{D}\mathrm{ef}(y, \hat{S})$ is canonically isomorphic to

$$\mathrm{Lie}^\circ_{A^\vee/S,1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \mathrm{Lie}^\circ_{A/S,1} \oplus \mathrm{Lie}^\circ_{A^\vee/S,2} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \mathrm{Lie}^\circ_{A/S,2}.$$

The natural map $\mathscr{D}\mathrm{ef}(y, \hat{S}) \to \mathscr{D}\mathrm{ef}(\mathrm{pr}_j \circ y, \hat{S})$ is induced by the natural maps

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{*,1})/\omega^\circ_{A^\vee/S,1} &\hookrightarrow H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A/S)^\circ_1/\omega^\circ_{A^\vee/S,1} \cong \mathrm{Lie}^\circ_{A/S,1}, \\ \left(\omega^\circ_{A^\vee/S,2}/\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{*,2})\right)^* &\hookrightarrow \omega^{\circ,*}_{A^\vee/S,2} \cong \mathrm{Lie}^\circ_{A^\vee/S,2}.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\mathscr{D}\mathrm{ef}(y, \hat{S}) \to \mathscr{D}\mathrm{ef}(\mathrm{pr}_j \circ y, \hat{S})$ is injective. To prove the formula for $N_{Y_j}(\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1})$, we apply the arguments above to affine open subsets of Y_j. We see easily that

$$\begin{aligned}N_{Y_j}(\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}) &\cong \mathrm{Lie}^\circ_{\mathcal{A}^\vee,1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Y_j}} \mathrm{Coker}(\phi^{\mathrm{univ}}_{*,1}) \oplus \mathrm{Lie}^\circ_{\mathcal{A},2} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Y_j}} \mathrm{Im}(\phi^{\mathrm{univ}}_{*,2})^* \\ &\cong \left(\mathcal{H}_1/V^{-1}(\mathcal{H}_2^{(p)})\right)^* \otimes V^{-1}(\mathcal{H}_2^{(p)}) \oplus \left(F(\mathcal{H}_1^{(p)})/\mathcal{H}_2\right) \otimes \left(H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{B}/Y_j)^\circ_2/F(\mathcal{H}_1^{(p)})\right)^*.\end{aligned}$$

Here, the last step uses (4.7.2) and the isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Im}(\phi^{\mathrm{univ}}_{*,2}) \cong H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{B}/Y_j)^\circ_2/\mathrm{Ker}(\phi^{\mathrm{univ}}_{*,2}) \cong H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{B}/Y_j)^\circ_2/F(\mathcal{H}_1^{(p)}).$$

(2) By statement (1), $\mathrm{pr}_{j,z}$ induces an injection of tangent spaces at each closed points of $Y_{j,z}$. To complete the proof, it suffices to prove that $\pi_{j,z}$ induces injections on the closed points. Write $z = (B, \lambda', \eta') \in \mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$. Assume y_1 and y_2 are two closed points of $Y_{j,z}$ with $\pi_j(y_1) = \pi_j(y_2) = (A, \lambda, \eta)$. Let $\phi_1, \phi_2 : B \to A$ be the isogenies given by y_1 and y_2. Then the quasi-isogeny $\phi_{1,2} = \phi_2^{-1}\phi_1 \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(B)$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 4.15 for $N = 1$. Hence, we get $\phi_{1,2} = \mathrm{id}_B$, which is equivalent to $y_1 = y_2$. This proves that $\pi_{j,z}$ is injective on closed points.

(3) Since all the points of $\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ are supersingular, it is clear that the image of each pr_j lies in the supersingular locus of $\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}$. Suppose now given a supersingular point $x = (A, \lambda, \eta) \in \mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$.

We have to show that there exists $(B, \lambda', \eta') \in \mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}$ and an isogeny $\phi : B \to A$ such that $(A, \lambda, \eta, \lambda', \eta'; \phi)$ lies in Y_j for some $1 \leq j \leq n$. Consider

$$\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \big(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)^\circ_1[1/p]\big)^{F^2=p} = \big\{a \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)^\circ_1[1/p] \;\big|\; F^2(a) = pa\big\}.$$

Since x is supersingular, $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}$-vector space of dimension n by Dieudonné-Manin's classification, and we have $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}} W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)[1/p] = \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)^\circ_1[1/p]$. We put $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\circ_1 = \big(\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{Q}} \cap \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)^\circ_1\big) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}} W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, and $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\circ_2 = F(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\circ_1) \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)^\circ_2$. Thus $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\circ = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\circ_1 \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\circ_2$ is a Dieudonné submodule of $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)^\circ$. Suppose that $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\circ$ contains $p\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)^\circ$ as a submodule. Then applying Proposition 3.2 with $m = 1$, we get an $\mathcal{O}_D$-abelian variety (B, λ', η') together with an $\mathcal{O}_D$-isogeny $\phi : B \to A$ with $\phi^\vee \lambda \circ \phi = p\lambda$. It is easy to see in this case that $(A, \lambda, \eta, B, \lambda', \eta', \phi)$ defines a point in Y_j with $j = \dim_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)^\circ_2/\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\circ_2)$.

It then suffices to show that it is impossible to have $p\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)^\circ \not\subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{E}}$, or equivalently $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)^\circ \not\subseteq \frac{1}{p}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$. Suppose the contrary. Consider $M_i := \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)^\circ_i/\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\circ_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. For any integer $\alpha \geq 0$, its p^α-torsion submodule is

$$M_i[p^\alpha] = \big(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)^\circ_i \cap \frac{1}{p^\alpha}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\circ_i\big)/\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\circ_i.$$

It follows easily that

$$M_i[p^{\alpha+1}]/M_i[p^\alpha] \cong \Big(\tfrac{1}{p^{\alpha+1}}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\circ_1 \cap \big(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)^\circ_1 + \tfrac{1}{p^\alpha}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\circ_1\big)\Big)\big/\tfrac{1}{p^\alpha}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\circ_1.$$

On the other hand, the Kottwitz signature condition implies that both F and $V : \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)^\circ_1 \to \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)^\circ_2$ have cokernel isomorphic to $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. Therefore, the two induced *injective* morphisms

$$F \text{ and } V : M_1 \to M_2$$

have both cokernel isomorphic to $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. Then the induced map on graded pieces

$$F \text{ and } V : \Big(\tfrac{1}{p^{\alpha+1}}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\circ_1 \cap \big(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)^\circ_1 + \tfrac{1}{p^\alpha}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\circ_1\big)\Big)\big/\tfrac{1}{p^\alpha}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\circ_1 \longrightarrow \Big(\tfrac{1}{p^{\alpha+1}}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\circ_2 \cap \big(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)^\circ_2 + \tfrac{1}{p^\alpha}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\circ_2\big)\Big)\big/\tfrac{1}{p^\alpha}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\circ_2. \tag{4.16.1}$$

is an isomorphism for all $\alpha \geq 0$ except for one. The assumption $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)^\circ \not\subseteq \frac{1}{p}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ implies that there are at least two $\alpha \geq 0$ for which the right hand side above is non-zero. So there exists $\alpha \geq 0$ such that (4.16.1) are isomorphisms of *non-zero* $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$*-vector spaces*. Multiplication by p^α gives isomorphisms:

$$F \text{ and } V : \Big(\tfrac{1}{p}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\circ_1 \cap \big(p^\alpha\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)^\circ_1 + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\circ_1\big)\Big) \longrightarrow \Big(\tfrac{1}{p}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\circ_2 \cap \big(p^\alpha\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)^\circ_2 + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\circ_2\big)\Big).$$

Now, Hilbert 90 implies that $\mathbb{L}' = \Big(\tfrac{1}{p}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\circ_1 \cap \big(p^\alpha\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)^\circ_1 + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\circ_1\big)\Big)^{F^2=p}$ in fact generates the entire module. On the other hand, it is obvious that $\mathbb{L}' \subset \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $\mathbb{L}' \subseteq p^\alpha\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)^\circ_1 + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\circ_1 \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)^\circ_1$. This means that $\mathbb{L}'$, and hence $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{Q}} \cap \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)^\circ_1$, generates the entire $\frac{1}{p}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\circ_1 \cap \big(p^\alpha\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)^\circ_1 + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\circ_1\big)$, i.e. one has $\frac{1}{p}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\circ_1 \cap \big(p^\alpha\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)^\circ_1 + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\circ_1\big) = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\circ_1$. But this contradicts with the non-triviality of the vector spaces in (4.16.1) by our choice of α. Now the Proposition is proved. □

Corollary 4.17. *The morphism* pr_1 *(resp.* pr_n*) is a closed immersion, and it identifies* Y_1 *(resp.* Y_n*) with the closed subscheme of* $\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}$ *defined by the vanishing of* $V : \omega^\circ_{\mathcal{A}^\vee,2} \to \omega^{\circ,(p)}_{\mathcal{A}^\vee,1}$ *(resp.* $V : \omega^\circ_{\mathcal{A}^\vee,1} \to \omega^{\circ,(p)}_{\mathcal{A}^\vee,2}$*).*

Proof. We just prove the statement for pr_1, and the case of pr_n is similar. Let Z_1 be the closed subscheme of $\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}$ defined by the condition that $V : \omega^\circ_{\mathcal{A}^\vee,2} \to \omega^{\circ,(p)}_{\mathcal{A}^\vee,1}$ vanishes. We show first that $\mathrm{pr}_1 : Y_1 \to \mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}$ factors through the natural inclusion $Z_1 \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}$. Let $y =$

$(A,\lambda,\eta,B,\lambda',\eta',\phi)$ be an S-valued point of Y_1. By Lemma 4.6, $\mathrm{Im}(\phi_{2,*})$ has rank $n-1$ and contains both $\omega^{\circ}_{A^\vee,2}$ and $F\big(H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A/S)_1^{\circ,(p)}\big)$, which are both $\mathcal{O}_S$-subbundles of rank $n-1$. This forces $\omega^{\circ}_{A^\vee,2} = F\big(H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A/S)_1^{\circ,(p)}\big)$, and therefore $V : \omega^{\circ}_{A^\vee,2} \to \omega^{\circ,(p)}_{A^\vee,1}$ vanishes. This shows that $\mathrm{pr}_1(y) \in Z_1$.

To prove that $\mathrm{pr}_1 : Y_1 \to Z_1$ is an isomorphism, it suffices to show that it induces a bijection between closed points and tangent spaces of Y_1 and Z_1. For any perfect field k containing $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$, one constructs a map $\theta : Z_1(k) \to Y_1(k)$ inverse to $\mathrm{pr}_1 : Y_1(k) \to Z_1(k)$ as follows. Given $x = (A,\lambda,\eta) \in Z_1(k)$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1^{\circ} = \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)_1^{\circ}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2^{\circ} \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)_2^{\circ}$ be the inverse image of $\omega^{\circ}_{A^\vee/k,2} \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)_2^{\circ}/p\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)_2^{\circ}$. Then the condition that $y \in Z_1$ implies that $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1^{\circ} \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2^{\circ}$ is stable under F and V. Applying Proposition 3.2 with $m=1$, we get a tuple (B,λ',η',ϕ) such that $y = (A,\lambda,\eta,B,\lambda',\eta',\phi) \in Y_1(k)$. It is immediate to check that $x \mapsto y$ and pr_1 is the set theoretic inverse of each other. It remains to show that pr_1 induces a bijection between $T_{Y_1,y}$ and $T_{Z_1,x}$. Proposition 4.16 already implies that we have an inclusion $T_{Y_1,y} \hookrightarrow T_{Z_1,x} \hookrightarrow T_{\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1},x}$; it suffices to check that $\dim T_{Z_1,x} = n-1$. The tangent space $T_{Z_1,x}$ is the space of deformations $(\hat{A},\hat{\lambda},\hat{\eta})$ over $k[\epsilon]$ of (A,λ,η) such that $V : \omega^{\circ}_{\hat{A}^\vee/k[\epsilon],2} \to \omega^{\circ,(p)}_{\hat{A}^\vee/k[\epsilon],1} = \omega^{\circ,(p)}_{A^\vee/k,1} \otimes_k k[\epsilon]$ vanishes. This uniquely determines the lift $\hat{\omega}^{\circ}_{A^\vee,2} = \omega^{\circ}_{\hat{A}^\vee/k[\epsilon],2}$. So by the deformation theory (Theorem 3.4), the tangent space $T_{Z_1,x}$ is determined by the liftings $\hat{\omega}^{\circ}_{A^\vee,1} = \omega^{\circ}_{\hat{A}^\vee/k[\epsilon],1}$ of $\omega^{\circ}_{A^\vee,1}$; so it has dimension $n-1$. This concludes the proof of the corollary. □

4.18. **Geometric Jacquet-Langlands morphism.** Let $\ell \neq p$ be a prime number. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, the diagram (4.2.1) gives rise to a natural morphism

$$\mathcal{JL}_i \colon H^0_{\mathrm{et}}\big(\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p},\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell\big) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_i'^{*}} H^0_{\mathrm{et}}\big(Y_{i,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p},\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell\big) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_{i,!}} H^{2(n-1)}_{\mathrm{et}}\big(\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p},\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(n-1)\big), \tag{4.18.1}$$

where $\mathrm{pr}_{i,!}$ is (2.10.1), whose restriction to each $H^0_{\mathrm{et}}(Y_{i,z},\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ for $z \in \mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ is the Gysin map associated to the closed immersion $Y_{i,z} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}$. It is clear that the image of $\mathcal{JL}_i$ is the subspace generated by the cycle classes of $[Y_{i,z}] \in A^{n-1}(\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p})$ with $z \in \mathrm{Sh}_{0,n,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}$. According to [He10], $\mathcal{JL}_i$ should be considered as a certain geometric realization of the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence between unitary groups $G_{1,n-1}$ and $G_{0,n}$. Putting all $\mathcal{JL}_i$ together, we get a morphism

$$\mathcal{JL} = \sum_i \mathcal{JL}_i \colon \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} H^0_{\mathrm{et}}\big(\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p},\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell\big) \to H^{2(n-1)}_{\mathrm{et}}\big(\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p},\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(n-1)\big). \tag{4.18.2}$$

Recall that we have fixed an isomorphism $G_{1,n-1}(\mathbb{A}^\infty) \cong G_{0,n}(\mathbb{A}^\infty)$, which we write uniformly as $G(\mathbb{A}^\infty)$. Denote by $\mathscr{H}(K^p,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[K^p\backslash G(\mathbb{A}^{\infty,p})/K^p]$ the prime-to-p Hecke algebra. Then the morphism (4.18.2) is $\mathscr{H}(K^p,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$-equivariant.

4.19. **Automorphic representations.** Let $\mathscr{A}_K$ be the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible admissible representations π of $G(\mathbb{A}^\infty)$ satisfying Hypothesis 2.5 with $a_\bullet = (1,n-1)$. In particular, each $\pi \in \mathscr{A}_K$ is the finite part of an automorphic cuspidal representation of $G_{1,n-1}(\mathbb{A})$. For $\pi \in \mathscr{A}_K$, we write $\pi = \pi^p \otimes \pi_p$, where π^p (resp. π_p) is the prime-to-p part (resp. the p-component) of π.

Lemma 4.20. *Let π_1 and π_2 be two representations in $\mathscr{A}_K$. If π_1^p and π_2^p are isomorphic, then we have $\pi_{1,p} \cong \pi_{2,p}$.*

Proof. Let $\pi_{\infty,i}$ for $i=1,2$ be an admissible irreducible representation of $G_{0,n}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\iota_\ell(\pi_i) \otimes \pi_{\infty,i}$ is automorphic. By assumption, each $\iota_\ell(\pi_i) \otimes \pi_{\infty,i}$ base changes to an automorphic representation (Π_i,ψ_i) of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_E) \times \mathbb{A}_{E_0}^\times$ such that

– Π_i is cuspidal and conjugate self-dual,

– for every unramified rational prime x, the x-component of (Π_i, ψ_i) depends only on the x-component of π_i, and
– if one writes $\pi_{i,p} = \pi_{i,0} \otimes \pi_{i,\mathfrak{p}}$ as representation of $G(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \times \mathrm{GL}_n(E_\mathfrak{p})$, then $\Pi_{i,p} = (\pi_{i,\mathfrak{p}} \otimes \check{\pi}_{i,\mathfrak{p}}^c)$ as representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(E \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p) \cong \mathrm{GL}_n(E_\mathfrak{p}) \times \mathrm{GL}_n(E_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}})$, and $\psi_{i,p} = \pi_{i,0} \otimes \pi_{i,0}^{-1}$ as representation of $(E_0 \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p)^\times = \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$. Here, $\check{\pi}_{i,\mathfrak{p}}^c$ denotes the complex conjugate of the contragredient of $\pi_{i,\mathfrak{p}}$.

Then (Π_1, ψ_1) and (Π_2, ψ_2) are isomorphic at almost all finite places. By the strong multiplicity one theorem for GL_n, we get $(\Pi_1, \psi_1) \cong (\Pi_2, \psi_2)$. By the description of $(\Pi_{i,p}, \psi_{i,p})$, it follows immediately that $\pi_{1,p} \cong \pi_{2,p}$. □

We fix an representation π in $\mathscr{A}_K$. Lemma 4.20 implies that π is completely determined by its prime-to-p part. Thus taking the $(\pi^p)^{K^p}$-isotypical component of (4.18.2) is the same thing as taking the $\pi^K = (\pi^p)^{K^p} \otimes (\pi_p)^{K_p}$-isotypical part. Let

$$\mathcal{JL}_\pi \colon H^0_{\mathrm{et}}\big(\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell\big)_\pi \to H^{2(n-1)}_{\mathrm{et}}\big(\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(n-1)\big)_\pi$$

denote the morphism on the π^K-isotypical components induced by $\mathcal{JL}$. Recall that the image of $\mathcal{JL}_\pi$ is included in $H^{2(n-1)}_{\mathrm{et}}\big(\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(n-1)\big)^{\mathrm{fin}}_\pi$, which is the maximal subspace of $H^{2(n-1)}_{\mathrm{et}}\big(\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(n-1)\big)_\pi$ where the action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p/\mathbb{F}_{p^2})$ factors through a finite quotient. Then our main result claims that this inclusion is actually an equality under certain genericity condition conditions on π_p. To make this precise, write $\pi_p = \pi_{p,0} \otimes \pi_\mathfrak{p}$ as representation of $G(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \times \mathrm{GL}_n(E_\mathfrak{p})$. Let

$$\rho_{\pi_\mathfrak{p}} : W_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}} \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$$

be the unramified representation of the Weil group of $\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}$ defined in (2.6.1). It induces a continuous ℓ-adic representation of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p/\mathbb{F}_{p^2})$, which we denote by the same notation. Then $\rho_{\pi_\mathfrak{p}}(\mathrm{Frob}_{p^2})$ is semi-simple with characteristic polynomial (2.6.2). Then we have an explicit description of $H^{2(n-1)}_{\mathrm{et}}\big(\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(n-1)\big)$ and $H^0(\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ in terms of $\rho_{\pi_\mathfrak{p}}$ by (2.4.1) and (2.6.3).

We can now state our main theorem.

Theorem 4.21. *Fix an element π in $\mathscr{A}_K$, and let $\alpha_{\pi_\mathfrak{p},1}, \dots, \alpha_{\pi_\mathfrak{p},n}$ denote the eigenvalues of $\rho_{\pi_\mathfrak{p}}(\mathrm{Frob}_{p^2})$.*

(1) *If $\alpha_{\pi_\mathfrak{p},1}, \dots, \alpha_{\pi_\mathfrak{p},n}$ are distinct, then the map $\mathcal{JL}_\pi$ is injective,*
(2) *Let $m_{1,n-1}(\pi)$ (resp. $m_{0,n}(\pi)$) denote the multiplicity for π appearing in Theorem 2.6 for $a_\bullet = (1, n-1)$ (resp. for $a_\bullet = (0, n)$). Assume that $m_{1,n-1}(\pi) = m_{0,n}(\pi)$ and that $\alpha_{\pi_\mathfrak{p},i}/\alpha_{\pi_\mathfrak{p},j}$ is not a root of unity for all $1 \le i, j \le n$, $\mathcal{JL}_\pi$ is an isomorphism; in other words, $H^{2(n-1)}_{\mathrm{et}}\big(\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(n-1)\big)^{\mathrm{fin}}_\pi$ is generated by the cycle classes of the irreducible components of Y_j for $1 \le j \le n$.*

The proof of this theorem will be given at the end of Section 6.

Remark 4.22. The assumption that $m_{1,n-1}(\pi) = m_{0,n}(\pi)$ is conjectured to be always true according to Arthur's formula about the automorphic multiplicities of unitary groups. When π is the finite part of an automorphic representation of $G_{1,n-1}(\mathbb{A})$ which admits a base change to a cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_E) \times \mathbb{A}_{E_0}^\times$.

5. Fundamental intersection number

In this section, we will compute some intersection numbers on certain Deligne-Lusztig varieties. These numbers will play a key role in the computation in the next section of the intersection matrix of the cycles Y_j on $\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}$.

Notation 5.1. Let X be an algebraic variety of pure dimension N over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. For an integer $r \geq 0$, let $A^r(X)$ (resp. $A_r(X)$) denote the group of algebraic cycles on X of codimension r (resp. of dimension r) modulo rational equivalence. If $Y \subseteq X$ is a sub-scheme equidimensional of codimension r, we denote by $[Y] \in A^r(X)$ the class of Y. We put $A^\star(X) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^N A^i(X)$. For a zero-dimensional cycle $\eta \in A^N(X)$, we denote by

$$\deg(\eta) = \int_X \eta$$

the *degree* of η. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a vector bundle over X. We denote by $c_i(\mathcal{V}) \in A^i(X)$ the i-th Chern class of $\mathcal{V}$ for $0 \leq r \leq N$, and put $c(\mathcal{V}) = \sum_{i=0}^N c_i(\mathcal{V}) t^i$ in the free variable t.

5.2. **A special Deligne-Lusztig variety.** We fix an integer $n \geq 1$. For an integer $0 \leq k \leq n$, we denote by $\mathbf{Gr}(n,k)$ the Grassmannian variety over $\mathbb{F}_p$ classifying k-dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_p^{\oplus n}$. Given an integer k with $1 \leq k \leq n$, let $Z_k^{\langle n \rangle}$ be the sub-scheme of $\mathbf{Gr}(n,k) \times \mathbf{Gr}(n,k-1)$ whose S-valued points are isomorphism classes of pairs (L_1, L_2), where L_1 and L_2 are respectively subbundles of $\mathcal{O}_S^{\oplus n}$ of rank k and $k-1$ satisfying $L_2 \subseteq L_1^{(p)}$ and $L_2^{(p)} \subseteq L_1$ (with locally free quotients). The same arguments as in Proposition 4.4 show that $Z_k^{\langle n \rangle}$ is a smooth variety over $\mathbb{F}_p$ of dimension $n-1$. We denote the natural closed immersion by

$$i_k : Z_k^{\langle n \rangle} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Gr}(n,k) \times \mathbf{Gr}(n,k-1).$$

Let $\mathcal{L}_1$ and $\mathcal{L}_2$ denote the universal sub-bundles on $\mathbf{Gr}(n,k) \times \mathbf{Gr}(n,k-1)$ coming from the two factors, and $\mathcal{Q}_1$ and $\mathcal{Q}_2$ the universal quotients, respectively. When there is no confusion, we still use $\mathcal{L}_i$ and $\mathcal{Q}_i$ for $i = 1, 2$ to denote their restrictions to $Z_k^{\langle n \rangle}$. We put

$$\mathcal{E}_k = (\mathcal{L}_1/\mathcal{L}_2^{(p)})^* \otimes \mathcal{L}_2^{(p)} \oplus (\mathcal{L}_1^{(p)}/\mathcal{L}_2) \otimes \mathcal{Q}_1^{*,(p)}, \tag{5.2.1}$$

which is a vector bundle of rank $n-1$ on $Z_k^{\langle n \rangle}$. (This vector bundle is modeled on the description of the normal bundle $N_{Y_j}(\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1})$ in Proposition 4.16(1), which is how our computation will be used in the next section; see Proposition 6.4.) We have the top Chern class $c_{n-1}(\mathcal{E}_k) \in A^{n-1}(Z_k^{\langle n \rangle})$. We define the *fundamental intersection number* on $Z_k^{\langle n \rangle}$ as

$$N(n,k) := \int_{Z_k^{\langle n \rangle}} c_{n-1}(\mathcal{E}_k). \tag{5.2.2}$$

The main theorem we prove in this section is the following.

Theorem 5.3. *For integers n, r with $0 \leq r \leq n$, let*

$$\binom{n}{r}_q = \frac{(q^n-1)(q^{n-1}-1)\cdots(q^{n-r+1}-1)}{(q-1)(q^2-1)\cdots(q^r-1)}$$

be the Gaussian binomial coefficients, and let $d(n,k) = (2k-1)n - 2k(k-1) - 1$ denote the dimension of $\mathbf{Gr}(n,k) \times \mathbf{Gr}(n,k-1)$. Then, for $1 \leq k \leq n$, we have

$$N(n,k) = (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{\delta=0}^{\min\{k-1, n-k\}} (n-2\delta) p^{d(n-2\delta, k-\delta)} \binom{n}{\delta}_{p^2}. \tag{5.3.1}$$

Remark 5.4. We point out that this theorem seems to be more than a technical result. It is at the heart of the understanding of these cycles we constructed.

Proof. We first claim that $N(n,k) = N(n,n+1-k)$ for $1 \le k \le n$. Let (L_1, L_2) be an S-valued point of $\mathbf{Gr}(n,k) \times \mathbf{Gr}(n,k-1)$, and $Q_i = \mathcal{O}_S^{\oplus n}/L_i$ for $i = 1,2$ be the corresponding quotient bundle. Then $(L_1, L_2) \mapsto (Q_2^*, Q_1^*)$ defines a duality isomorphism

$$\theta\colon \mathbf{Gr}(n,k) \times \mathbf{Gr}(n,k-1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Gr}(n,n+1-k) \times \mathbf{Gr}(n,n-k).$$

Since $L_2^{(p)} \subseteq L_1$ (resp. $L_2 \subseteq L_1^{(p)}$) is equivalent to $Q_1^* \subseteq Q_2^{*,(p)}$ (resp. to $Q_1^{*,(p)} \subseteq Q_2^*$), θ induces an isomorphism between $Z_k^{\langle n\rangle}$ and $Z_{n+1-k}^{\langle n\rangle}$. It is also direct to check that $\mathcal{E}_k = \theta^*(\mathcal{E}_{n+1-k})$. This verifies the claim. Now since the right hand side of (5.3.1) is also invariant under replacing k by $n+1-k$, it suffices to prove the Theorem when $k \le \frac{n+1}{2}$.

We reduce the proof of the theorem to an analogous situation where the twists are given on one of L_i's. Let $\tilde{Z}_k^{\langle n\rangle}$ be the subscheme of $\mathbf{Gr}(n,k) \times \mathbf{Gr}(n,k-1)$ whose S-valued points are the isomorphism classes of pairs $(\tilde{L}_1, \tilde{L}_2)$, where $\tilde{L}_1$ and $\tilde{L}_2$ are respectively subbundles of $\mathcal{O}_S^{\oplus n}$ of rank k and $k-1$ satisfying $\tilde{L}_2 \subseteq \tilde{L}_1$ and $\tilde{L}_2^{(p^2)} \subseteq \tilde{L}_1$. The relative Frobenius morhpisms on the two Grassmanian factors induce two morphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Z_k^{\langle n\rangle} & \xrightarrow{\ \varphi\ } & \tilde{Z}_k^{\langle n\rangle} & \xrightarrow{\ \hat{\varphi}\ } & (Z_k^{\langle n\rangle})^{(p)} \\ (L_1, L_2) & \longmapsto & (L_1^{(p)}, L_2) & & \\ & & (\tilde{L}_1, \tilde{L}_2) & \longmapsto & (\tilde{L}_1, \tilde{L}_2^{(p)}), \end{array}$$

such that the composition is the relative Frobenius on $\tilde{Z}_k^{\langle n\rangle}$. Using a simple deformation computation, we see that φ has degree p^{n-k} and $\hat{\varphi}$ has degree p^{k-1}. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2$ denote the universal sub-bundles on $\mathbf{Gr}(n,k) \times \mathbf{Gr}(n,k-1)$ when restricted to $\tilde{Z}_k^{\langle n\rangle}$; let $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_1$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_2$ denote the universal quotients, respectively. We put

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k = (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2^{(p^2)})^* \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2^{(p^2)} \oplus (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2) \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_1^*, \tag{5.4.1}$$

which is a vector bundle of rank $n-1$ on $\tilde{Z}_k^{\langle n\rangle}$.

Note that

$$\varphi^*(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k) = (\mathcal{L}_1^{(p)}/\mathcal{L}_2^{(p^2)})^* \otimes \mathcal{L}_2^{(p^2)} \oplus (\mathcal{L}_1^{(p)}/\mathcal{L}_2) \otimes \mathcal{Q}_1^{*,(p)}.$$

Comparing with $\mathcal{E}_k$, we see that $c_{n-1}(\varphi^*(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k)) = p^{k-1} c_{n-1}(\mathcal{E}_k)$, where the factor p^{k-1} comes from the Frobenius twist on the first factor. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\tilde{Z}_k^{\langle n\rangle}} c_{n-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k) &= (\deg \varphi)^{-1} \int_{Z_k^{\langle n\rangle}} c_{n-1}(\varphi^*(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k)) \\ &= p^{k-n} \int_{Z_k^{\langle n\rangle}} p^{k-1} c_{n-1}(\mathcal{E}_k) = p^{2k-n-1} N(n,k). \end{aligned} \tag{5.4.2}$$

Since $d(n-\delta, k-\delta) + 2k - n - 1 = 2(k-\delta-1)(n-k-\delta+1)$, the Theorem is in fact equivalent to the following (for each fixed k). □

Proposition 5.5. *For $1 \le k \le \frac{n+1}{2}$, we have*

$$\int_{\tilde{Z}_k^{\langle n\rangle}} c_{n-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k) = (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{\delta=0}^{k-1} (n-2\delta) p^{2(k-\delta-1)(n-k-\delta+1)} \binom{n}{\delta}_{p^2}. \tag{5.5.1}$$

Remark 5.6. Before giving the proof of this proposition, we point out a variant of the construction of $\tilde{Z}_k^{\langle n\rangle}$. Let $\tilde{Z}_k'^{\langle n\rangle}$ be the subscheme of $\mathbf{Gr}(n,k) \times \mathbf{Gr}(n,k-1)$ whose S-valued points are the isomorphism classes of pairs $(\tilde{L}_1', \tilde{L}_2')$, where $\tilde{L}_1'$ and $\tilde{L}_2'$ are respectively subbundles of $\mathcal{O}_S^{\oplus n}$ of rank k and $k-1$ satisfying $\tilde{L}_2' \subseteq \tilde{L}_1'$ and $\tilde{L}_2' \subseteq \tilde{L}_1'^{(p^2)}$ (Note that the twist is on L_1' as opposed to be on

L'_2). This is again a certain partial-Frobenius twist of $Z_k^{\langle n\rangle}$; it is smooth of dimension $n-1$. Define the universal subbundles and quotient bundles $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}'_1$, $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}'_2$, $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}'_1$, and $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}'_2$ similarly. We put

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}'_k = (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}'_1/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}'_2)^* \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{L}}'_2 \oplus (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}'^{(p^2)}_1/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}'_2) \otimes (\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}'^*_1)^{(p^2)}.$$

Using the same argument as above, we see that, for every fixed k,

$$\int_{\tilde{Z}'^{\langle n\rangle}_k} c_{n-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}'_k) = p^{n+1-2k} N(n,k).$$

Note that the exponent is different from (5.4.2). So Proposition 5.5 for each fixed k is equivalent to

$$\int_{\tilde{Z}'^{\langle n\rangle}_k} c_{n-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}'_k) = (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{\delta=0}^{k-1} (n-2\delta) p^{2(k-\delta)(n-k-\delta)} \binom{n}{\delta}_{p^2},$$

as $(k-\delta)(n-k-\delta) - (k-\delta-1)(n-k-\delta+1) = n-2k+1$.

Proof of Proposition 5.5. We first prove it in the case of $k = 1, 2$ and then we explain an induction process to deal with the general case.

When $k=1$, $\tilde{Z}_1^{\langle n\rangle}$ classifies a line-subbundle $\tilde{L}_1$ in $\mathcal{O}_S^{\oplus n}$ with no additional condition (as $\tilde{L}_2$ is zero); so $\tilde{Z}_1^{\langle n\rangle} \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1 = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(-1)$. The vector bundle $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1$ is equal to $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1 \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_1^*$. It is straightforward to check that

$$c(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1) = \big(1 + c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(-1))\big)^n \quad \text{and hence} \quad \int_{\tilde{Z}_1^{\langle n\rangle}} c_{n-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1) = (-1)^{n-1} n;$$

the Proposition is proved in this case.

When $k=2$, we consider a forgetful morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \psi: \quad \tilde{Z}_2^{\langle n\rangle} &\longrightarrow \tilde{Z}_1^{\langle n\rangle} \\ (\tilde{L}_1, \tilde{L}_2) &\longmapsto \tilde{L}_2. \end{aligned}$$

This morphism is an isomorphism over the closed points $x \in \tilde{Z}_1^{\langle n\rangle}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ for which $\tilde{L}_{2,x} \neq \tilde{L}_{2,x}^{(p^2)}$, because in this case, $\tilde{L}_{1,x}$ is forced to be $\tilde{L}_{2,x} + \tilde{L}_{2,x}^{(p^2)}$. On the other hand, for a closed point $x \in \tilde{Z}_1^{\langle n\rangle}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ where $\tilde{L}_{2,x} = \tilde{L}_{2,x}^{(p^2)}$, i.e. for $x \in \tilde{Z}_1^{\langle n\rangle}(\mathbb{F}_{p^2}) \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})$, $\psi^{-1}(x)$ is the space classifying a line $\tilde{L}_1$ in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p^{\oplus n}/\tilde{L}_{2,x}$; so $\psi^{-1}(x) \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-2}$. A simple tangent space computation shows that ψ is the blow-up morphism of $\tilde{Z}_1^{\langle n\rangle} \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ at all of its $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$-points. We use E to denote the exceptional divisors, which is a disjoint union of $\binom{n}{1}_{p^2}$ copies of $\mathbb{P}^{n-2}$.

Note that the vanishing of the morphism $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2 \to \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2^{(p^2)}$ defines the divisor E (as we can see using deformation); so

$$\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Z}_2^{\langle n\rangle}}(E) \cong \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2^{(p^2)} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2^{-1}.$$

Put $\eta = c_1(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2) = \psi^* c_1\big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(-1)\big)$ and $\xi = c_1(E)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} c(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2) &= c\big((\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2^{(p^2)})^* \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2^{(p^2)}\big) \cdot c\big((\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2) \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_1^*\big) \\ &= (1 - \xi + (p^2-1)\eta) \cdot (1+\xi+p^2\eta)^n / (1+\xi+(p^2-1)\eta), \end{aligned} \tag{5.6.1}$$

where the computation of the second term comes from the following two exact sequences

$$0 \to (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2) \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_1^* \to (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2)^{\oplus n} \to (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2) \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1^* \to 0;$$

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Z}_2^{\langle n\rangle}} \to (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2) \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1^* \to (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2) \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2^* \to 0.$$

Note that $\int_{\tilde{Z}_2^{\langle n\rangle}} \xi^i\eta^j = 0$ unless $(i,j) = (n-1,0)$ or $(0,n-1)$, in which case we have

$$\int_{\tilde{Z}_2^{\langle n\rangle}} \eta^{n-1} = (-1)^{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\tilde{Z}_2^{\langle n\rangle}} \xi^{n-1} = (-1)^n \binom{n}{1}_{p^2}.$$

Here, to prove the last formula, we used the fact that the restriction of $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Z}_2^{\langle n\rangle}}(E)$ to each irreducible component $\mathbb{P}^{n-2}$ of E is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-2}}(-1)$. So it suffices to compute

- the ξ^{n-1}-coefficient of (5.6.1), which is the same as the ξ^{n-1}-coefficients of $(1-\xi)(1+\xi)^{n-1}$ and is equal to $2-n$; and
- the η^{n-1}-coefficient of (5.6.1), which is the same as the η^{n-1} coefficients of $(1+(p^2-1)\eta)(1+p^2\eta)^n/(1+(p^2-1)\eta) = (1+p^2\eta)^n$ and is equal to $np^{2(n-1)}$.

To sum up, we see that

$$\int_{\tilde{Z}_2^{\langle n\rangle}} c_{n-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2) = (-1)^{n-1}np^{2(n-1)} + (-1)^n(2-n)\binom{n}{1}_{p^2},$$

which is exactly (5.5.1) for $k=2$.

In general, we make an induction on k. Assume that the Proposition is proved for $k-1 \geq 1$ and we now prove the Proposition for k (assuming that $k \leq \frac{n+1}{2}$). By Remark 5.6, we get the similar intersection formula for $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}'_{k-1}$ on $\tilde{Z}'^{\langle n\rangle}_{k-1}$:

$$\int_{\tilde{Z}'^{\langle n\rangle}_{k-1}} c_{n-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}'_{k-1}) = (-1)^{n-1}\sum_{\delta=0}^{k-2}(n-2\delta)p^{2(k-\delta-1)(n-k-\delta+1)}\binom{n}{\delta}_{p^2}. \tag{5.6.2}$$

We consider the moduli space W over $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ whose S-points are tuples $(\tilde{L}_1, \tilde{L}_2 = \tilde{L}'_2, \tilde{L}'_3)$, where $\tilde{L}_1$, $\tilde{L}_2$, and $\tilde{L}'_3$ are respectively subbundles of $\mathcal{O}_S^{\oplus n}$ of rank k, $k-1$, and $k-2$ satisfying $\tilde{L}'_3 \subset \tilde{L}_2 \subset \tilde{L}_1$ and $\tilde{L}'_3 \subset \tilde{L}_2^{(p^2)} \subset \tilde{L}_1$. It is easy to use deformation theory to check that W is a smooth variety of dimension $n-1$. There are two natural morphisms



Let E denote the subspace of W whose closed points $x \in W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ are those such that $\tilde{L}_{2,x} = \tilde{L}_{2,x}^{(p^2)}$, i.e. $\tilde{L}_{2,x}$ is an $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$-rational subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}^{\oplus n}$ of dimension $k-1$. It is clear that E is a disjoint union of $\binom{n}{k-1}_{p^2}$ copies (corresponding to the choices of $\tilde{L}_2$) of $\mathbb{P}^{k-2} \times \mathbb{P}^{n-k}$ (corresponding to the choice of $\tilde{L}'_3$ and $\tilde{L}_1$ respectively). It gives rise to a smooth divisor on W.

For a point $x \in (W\backslash E)(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, we have $\tilde{L}_{2,x} \neq \tilde{L}_{2,x}^{(p^2)}$ and hence it uniquely determines both $\tilde{L}'_{3,x}$ and $\tilde{L}_{1,x}$; so ψ_{23} and ψ_{13} are isomorphisms restricted to $W\backslash E$. On the other hand, when restricted to E, ψ_{23} contracts each copy of $\mathbb{P}^{k-2} \times \mathbb{P}^{n-k}$ of E into the first factor $\mathbb{P}^{k-2}$; whereas ψ_{12} contracts each copy of $\mathbb{P}^{k-2} \times \mathbb{P}^{n-k}$ of E into the second factor $\mathbb{P}^{n-k}$. It is clear from this (with a bit help of deformation argument) that ψ_{23} is the blow-up of $\tilde{Z}'^{\langle n\rangle}_{k-1}$ along $\psi_{23}(E)$ and ψ_{12} is the blow-up of $\tilde{Z}_k^{\langle n\rangle}$ along $\psi_{12}(E)$; the divisor E is the exceptional divisor for both blow-ups.

A simple deformation theory shows that the normal bundle of E in W when restricted to each component $\mathbb{P}^{k-2} \times \mathbb{P}^{n-k}$ is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{k-2}}(-1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-k}}(-1)$. Moreover, we can characterize E as the zero

locus of either one of the following natural homomorphisms

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2^{(p^2)}/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_3' \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_3' \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2^{(p^2)}.$$

So as a line bundle over W, we have

$$\mathcal{O}_W(E) \cong (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2^{(p^2)}/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_3')^{-1} \otimes (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2) \cong (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_3')^{-1} \otimes (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2^{(p^2)}).$$

We want to compare

$$\int_{\tilde{Z}'^{\langle n\rangle}_{k-1}} c_{n-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}'_{k-1}) = \int_W c_{n-1}\big(\psi_{23}^*(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}'_{k-1})\big) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\tilde{Z}^{\langle n\rangle}_k} c_{n-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k) = \int_W c_{n-1}\big(\psi_{12}^*(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k)\big).$$

We will show that they differ by $(2k-n-2)(-1)^n\binom{n}{k-1}_{p^2}$ and this will conclude the proof of the Proposition by induction hypothesis (5.6.2). Indeed, we have

$$c\big(\psi_{23}^*(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}'_{k-1})\big) = c\big((\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_3')^* \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_3'\big) \cdot c\big((\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2^{(p^2)}/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_3') \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_2^{*,(p^2)}\big), \quad \text{and} \tag{5.6.3}$$

$$c\big(\psi_{12}^*(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k)\big) = c\big((\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2^{(p^2)})^* \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2^{(p^2)}\big) \cdot c\big((\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2) \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_1^*\big), \tag{5.6.4}$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_1$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_2$ are the universal quotient vector bundles. Consider the following two exact sequences where the two last terms are identified:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 & & & & \mathcal{O}_W(E) \otimes (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2^{(p^2)})^{-1} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2^{(p^2)} & & & & \\
 & & & & \updownarrow\cong & & & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_3')^{-1} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_3' & \longrightarrow & (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_3')^{-1} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2^{(p^2)} & \longrightarrow & (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_3')^{-1} \otimes (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2^{(p^2)}/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_3') & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & & & & & \updownarrow\cong & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2) \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_1^* & \longrightarrow & (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2) \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_2^{*,(p^2)} & \longrightarrow & (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2) \otimes (\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_2^{*,(p^2)}/\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_1^*) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & & & \updownarrow\cong & & & & \\
 & & & & \mathcal{O}_W(E) \otimes (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2^{(p^2)}/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_3') \otimes (\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_2^*)^{(p^2)} & & & &
\end{array}$$

Here the right vertical isomorphism is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2) \otimes (\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_2^{*,(p^2)}/\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_1^*) \cong (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2) \otimes (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2^{(p^2)})^{-1} \\
\cong&\big((\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2^{(p^2)}/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_3') \otimes \mathcal{O}_W(E)\big) \otimes \big((\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_3') \otimes \mathcal{O}_W(E)\big)^{-1} \cong (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_3')^{-1} \otimes (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2^{(p^2)}/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_3').
\end{aligned}$$

From these two exact sequences we see that

$$c\big((\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_3')^{-1}\otimes\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_3'\big)\cdot c\big(\mathcal{O}_W(E)\otimes(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2^{(p^2)}/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_3')\otimes\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_2^{*,(p^2)}\big) = c\big((\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2)\otimes\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_1^*\big)\cdot c\big(\mathcal{O}_W(E)\otimes(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2^{(p^2)})^{-1}\otimes\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2^{(p^2)}\big).$$

Comparing this with (5.6.3) and (5.6.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&c_{n-1}\big(\psi_{12}^*(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k)\big) - c_{n-1}\big(\psi_{23}^*(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}'_{k-1})\big) \\
&= \Big(c_{k-1}\big((\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2^{(p^2)})^{-1} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2^{(p^2)}\big) - c_{k-1}\big(\mathcal{O}_W(E) \otimes (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2^{(p^2)})^{-1} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2^{(p^2)}\big)\Big) \cdot c_{n-k}\big((\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2) \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_1^*\big) \\
&\quad - c_{k-2}\big((\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_3')^{-1} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_3'\big) \cdot \Big(c_{n-k+1}\big((\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2^{(p^2)}/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_3') \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_2^{*,(p^2)}\big) - c_{n-k+1}\big(\mathcal{O}_W(E) \otimes (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2^{(p^2)}/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_3') \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_2^{*,(p^2)}\big)\Big)
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that E is the exceptional divisor for the blow-up ψ_{23} centered at a disjoint union of $\mathbb{P}^{k-2}$; so $c_1(E)$ kills $\psi_{23}^*(A^i(\tilde{Z}'^{\langle n\rangle}_{k-1}))$ for $i \geq k-1$. Similarly, $c_1(E)$ kills $\psi_{12}^*(A^i(\tilde{Z}^{\langle n\rangle}_k))$ for $i \geq n-k+1$.

As a result, we can rewrite the above complicated formula as

$$\begin{aligned}
&c_{n-1}\big(\psi_{12}^*(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k)\big) - c_{n-1}\big(\psi_{23}^*(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}'_{k-1})\big)\\
=\ & -c_1(E)^{k-2}|_E \cdot c_{n-k}\big((\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2)\otimes\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_1^*\big) + c_{k-2}\big((\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}'_3)^{-1}\otimes\tilde{\mathcal{L}}'_3\big)\cdot c_1(E)^{n-k}|_E\\
=\ & (-1)^{k-1}c_{n-k}\big((\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2)\otimes\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_1^*\big)|_{\psi_{12}(E)} + (-1)^{n-k}c_{k-2}\big((\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}'_3)^{-1}\otimes\tilde{\mathcal{L}}'_3\big)|_{\psi_{23}(E)}
\end{aligned}$$

For the first term, over each $\mathbb{P}^{n-k}$ of $\psi_{12}(E)$, it is to take the top Chern class of the canonical subbundle of rank $n-k$ twisted by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-k}}(-1)$; it is $(-1)^{n-k}(n-k+1)$ on each $\mathbb{P}^{n-k}$. For the second term, over each $\mathbb{P}^{k-2}$, it is again the canonical subbundle of rank $k-2$ twisted by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{k-2}}(-1)$; it is $(-1)^{k-2}(k-1)$ on each $\mathbb{P}^{k-2}$. To sum up, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&c_{n-1}\big(\psi_{12}^*(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k)\big) - c_{n-1}\big(\psi_{23}^*(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}'_{k-1})\big)\\
=\ & (-1)^{k-1}(-1)^{n-k}(n-k+1)\tbinom{n}{k-1}_{p^2} + (-1)^{n-k}(-1)^{k-2}(k-1)\tbinom{n}{k-1}_{p^2}\\
=\ & (-1)^{n-1}(n-2k+2)\tbinom{n}{k-1}_{p^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of Proposition. □

6. Intersection matrix of supersingular cycles on $\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}$

Throughout this section, we fix an integer $n \geq 2$ and keep the notation of Section 4. We will study the intersection theory of cycles Y_j for $1 \leq j \leq n$ on $\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}$ considered in Section 4. For this, it does not hurt to assume the following.

Hypothesis 6.1. We assume that the tame level structure K^p is taken sufficiently small so that Proposition 4.16(2) holds.

6.2. **Hecke correspondence on $\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}$.** Recall that we have an isomorphism

$$G(\mathbb{Q}_p) \simeq \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \times \mathrm{GL}_n(E_\mathfrak{p}) \cong \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \times \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}).$$

Let $K_\mathfrak{p} = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_{E_\mathfrak{p}})$ and $K_p = \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \times K_\mathfrak{p}$. The Hecke algebra $\mathbb{Z}[K_\mathfrak{p}\backslash\mathrm{GL}_n(E_\mathfrak{p})/K_\mathfrak{p}]$ can be viewed as a sub-algebra of $\mathbb{Z}[K_p\backslash G(\mathbb{Q}_p)/K_p]$ (with trivial factor at the $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times$-component).

For $\gamma \in \mathrm{GL}_n(E_\mathfrak{p})$, the double coset $T_\mathfrak{p}(\gamma) := K_\mathfrak{p}\gamma K_\mathfrak{p}$ defines a Hecke correspondence on $\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}$. It induces a set theoretic Hecke correspondence

$$T_\mathfrak{p}(\gamma) : \mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)),$$

where $\mathcal{S}(\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p))$ denotes the set of subsets of $\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$. By Remark 4.14, $\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ is a union of $\#\ker^1(\mathbb{Q}, G_{0,n})$-isogenous classes of abelian varieties. Fix a base point $z_0 \in \mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$. Then by Propostion 4.13, there is a natural bijection

$$\Theta_{z_0} : \mathrm{Isog}(z_0) \xrightarrow{\sim} G_{0,n}(\mathbb{Q})\backslash\big(G(\mathbb{A}^{\infty,p}) \times G(\mathbb{Q}_p)\big)/K^p \times K_p.$$

Write $K_\mathfrak{p}\gamma K_\mathfrak{p} = \coprod_{i\in I}\gamma_i K_\mathfrak{p}$. If $z \in \mathrm{Isog}(z_0)$ corresponds to the class of $(g^p, g_p) \in G(\mathbb{A}^{\infty,p}) \times G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ with $g_p = (g_{p,0}, g_\mathfrak{p})$, then $T_\mathfrak{p}(\gamma)(z)$ consists of points in $\mathrm{Isog}(z_0)$ corresponding to the class of $(g^p, (g_{p,0}, g_\mathfrak{p}\gamma_i))$ for all $i \in I$.

By the definition of Θ_{z_0} in (4.12.1), $T_\mathfrak{p}(\gamma)$ has an alternative description as follows. Write $z = (A, \lambda, \eta)$, and let $\mathbb{L}_z$ denote the $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$-free module $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)_1^{\circ, F^2=p}$. Then a point $z' = (B, \lambda', \eta') \in \mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ belongs to $T_\mathfrak{p}(\gamma)(z)$ if and only if there exists an $\mathcal{O}_D$-equivariant p-quasi-isogeny $\phi : B' \to B$ (i.e. $p^m\phi$ is an isogeny of p-power order for some integer m) such that

(1) $\phi^\vee \circ \lambda \circ \phi = \lambda'$,

(2) $\phi \circ \eta' = \eta$,

(3) $\phi_*(\mathbb{L}_{z'})$ is a lattice of $\mathbb{L}_z[1/p] = \mathbb{L}_z \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}} \mathbb{Q}_{p^2}$ with the property: there exists a $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$-basis $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ for $\mathbb{L}_z$ such that $(\gamma e_1, \dots, \gamma e_n)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$-basis for $\phi_*(\mathbb{L}_{z'})$.

For given z, z' and γ, such a ϕ is necessarily unique if it exists, by Lemma 4.15. Therefore, $T_{\mathfrak{p}}(\gamma)(z)$ is in natural bijection with the set of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$-lattices $\mathbb{L}' \subseteq \mathbb{L}_z[1/p]$ satisfying the property of (3) above.

For each integer i with $0 \le i \le n$, we put

$$T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(i)} = T_{\mathfrak{p}}\big(\mathrm{diag}(\underbrace{p, \dots, p}_{i}, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n-i})\big).$$

By the discussion above, one has a natural bijection

$$T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(i)}(z) \xrightarrow{\sim} \big\{\mathbb{L}_{z'} \subseteq \mathbb{L}_z[1/p] \;\big|\; p\mathbb{L}_z \subseteq \mathbb{L}_{z'} \subseteq \mathbb{L}_z,\ \dim_{\mathbb{F}_{p^2}}(\mathbb{L}_z/\mathbb{L}_{z'}) = i\big\}$$

for $z \in \mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$. Note that $T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(0)} = \mathrm{id}$, and we put usually $S_{\mathfrak{p}} := T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(n)}$. Then Satake isomorphism implies $\mathbb{Z}[K_{\mathfrak{p}}\backslash \mathrm{GL}_n(E_{\mathfrak{p}})/K_{\mathfrak{p}}] \simeq \mathbb{Z}[T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(1)}, \dots, T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(n-1)}, S_{\mathfrak{p}}, S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}]$. More generally, for $0 \le a \le b \le n$, we put

$$R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(a,b)} = T_{\mathfrak{p}}\big(\mathrm{diag}(\underbrace{p^2, \dots, p^2}_{a}, \underbrace{p, \dots, p}_{b-a}, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n-b})\big).$$

We note that $R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(0,i)} = T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(i)}$, and $R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(a,b)} S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}$ is the Hecke operator $T_{\mathfrak{p}}\big(\mathrm{diag}(\underbrace{p, \dots, p}_{a}, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{b-a}, \underbrace{p^{-1}, \dots, p^{-1}}_{n-b})\big)$.

For the explicit relations between $R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(a,b)}$ and $T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(i)}$'s, see Proposition B.

6.3. **Refined Gysin homomorphism.** For an algebraic variety X over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ of pure dimension N and any integer $r \ge 0$, we write $A_r(X) = A^{N-r}(X)$ to denote the group of dimension r (codimension $N - r$) cycles in X modulo rational equivalence. Recall that the restriction of $\mathrm{pr}_j : Y_j \to \mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}$ to each $Y_{j,z}$ for $z \in \mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ and $1 \le j \le n$ is a regular closed immersion. There is a well-defined Gysin homomorphism

$$\mathrm{pr}_j^! : A_{n-1}(\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}) \longrightarrow A_0(Y_{j,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}) = \bigoplus_{z \in \mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)} A_0(Y_{j,z}), \tag{6.3.1}$$

whose composition with the natural projection $A_0(Y_{j,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}) \to A_0(Y_{j,z})$ is the refined Gysin map $(\mathrm{pr}_j|_{Y_{j,z}})^!$ defined in [Fu98, 6.2] for regular immersions. Let $X \subseteq \mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}$ be a closed sub-variety of dimension $n-1$. Consider the Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_{j,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} \times_{\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}} X & \xrightarrow{g_X} & X \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle g_j} & & \downarrow \\ Y_{j,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_j} & \mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}. \end{array}$$

Assume that the restriction of g_X to each $Y_{j,z} \times_{\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}} X$ with $z \in \mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ is a regular closed immersion as well. Then $\mathrm{pr}_j^!([X]) \in A_0(Y_{j,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p})$ can be described as follows. Put $N_{Y_{j,z}}(\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}) = \pi_j^*(T_{\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}})/T_{Y_{j,z}}$, and similarly for $N_{Y_{j,z}\times_{\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}}X}(X)$. We define the excess vector bundle as

$$\mathcal{E}(Y_{j,z}, X) := g_j^* N_{Y_{j,z}}(\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1})/N_{Y_{j,z}\times_{\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}}X}(X).$$

This is a vector bundle on $Y_{j,z} \times_{\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}} X$. Let r be its rank, which is equal to the dimension of $Y_{j,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} \times_{\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}} X$. Then the excess intersection formula [Fu98, 6.3] shows that

$$\mathrm{pr}_j^!([X]) = \sum_{z \in \mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)} c_r\big(\mathcal{E}(Y_{j,z}, X)\big) \cdot [Y_{j,z} \times_{\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}} X], \tag{6.3.2}$$

where $c_r(\mathcal{E}_z)$ is the top Chern class of $\mathcal{E}(Y_{j,z}, X)$, and $[Y_{j,z} \times_{\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}} X]$ is viewed as an element of $A_r(Y_{j,z})$.

Proposition 6.4. *Let i, j be integers with $1 \le i \le j \le n$ and $z, z' \in \mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$.*

(1) *The sub-varieties $Y_{i,z}$ and $Y_{j,z'}$ of $\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}$ have non-empty intersection if and only if there exists an integer δ with $0 \le \delta \le \min\{n-j, i-1\}$ such that $z' \in R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(j-i+\delta,n-\delta)} S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}(z)$, or equivalently $z \in R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(\delta,n+i-j-\delta)} S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}(z')$, where $R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(a,b)}$ and $S_{\mathfrak{p}}$ are the Hecke operators defined in Subsection 6.2.*

(2) *If the condition in* (1) *is satisfied for some δ, then $Y_{i,z} \times_{\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}} Y_{j,z'}$ is isomorphic to the variety $Z_{i-\delta}^{\langle n+i-j-2\delta\rangle}$ defined in Subsection 5.2. Moreover, the excess vector bundles $\mathcal{E}(Y_{i,z}, Y_{j,z'})$ and $\mathcal{E}(Y_{j,z'}, Y_{i,z})$ are both isomorphic to the vector bundle* (5.2.1) *on $Z_{i-\delta}^{\langle n+i-j-2\delta\rangle}$.*

Proof. Let $(\mathcal{B}_z, \lambda_z, \eta_z)$ and $(\mathcal{B}_{z'}, \lambda_{z'}, \eta_{z'})$ be the polarized abelian varieties at z and z' respectively. Then $Y_{i,z} \times_{\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}} Y_{j,z'}$ is the moduli space of triples $(A, \lambda, \eta, \phi, \phi')$ where $\phi : \mathcal{B}_z \to A$ and $\phi' : \mathcal{B}_{z'} \to A$ are isogenies such that $(A, \lambda, \eta, \mathcal{B}_z, \lambda_z, \eta_z, \phi)$ and $(A, \lambda, \eta, \mathcal{B}_{z'}, \eta_{z'}, \phi')$ are points of $Y_{i,z}$ and $Y_{j,z'}$ respectively.

Assume first that $Y_{i,z} \times_{\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}} Y_{j,z'}$ is non-empty, and let $(A, \lambda, \eta, \phi, \phi')$ be an $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$-valued point of it. Denote by $\tilde{\omega}^\circ_{A^\vee,k} \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)^\circ_k$ for $k = 1, 2$ the inverse image of $\omega^\circ_{A^\vee,k} \subseteq H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A/\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)^\circ_k \cong \mathcal{D}(A)^\circ_k$. We identify $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B}_z)^\circ_k$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B}_{z'})^\circ_k$ with their images in $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)^\circ_k$ via $\phi_{z,*,k}$ and $\phi_{z',*,k}$. Then we have a diagram of inclusions of $W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$-modules:

(6.4.1)



Here the numbers on the arrow indicate the $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$-dimensions of the cokernel of the corresponding inclusions, which we shall compute below. By the definition of Y_i and Y_j, we have

$$\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} \big(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)^\circ_1 / \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B}_z)^\circ_1\big) = \dim_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} \mathrm{Coker}(\phi_{*,1}) = i - 1,$$

and similarly, $\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} \big(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B}_{z'})^\circ_1 / \tilde{\omega}^\circ_{A^\vee,1}\big) = n - j$. Therefore, if we put

$$\delta = \dim_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} \big(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B}_z)^\circ_1 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B}_{z'})^\circ_1\big) / \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B}_z)^\circ_1 = \dim_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B}_{z'})^\circ_1 / \big(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B}_z)^\circ_1 \cap \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B}_{z'})^\circ_1\big),$$

we have $0 \le \delta \le \min\{i-1, n-j\}$. Moreover, the quasi-isogeny $\phi_{z,z'} = \phi^{-1} \circ \phi' : \mathcal{B}_{z'} \to \mathcal{B}_z$ makes $\mathcal{B}_{z'}$ an element of $\mathrm{Isog}(z)$ in the notation of Subsection 4.12. We identify $\mathbb{L}_{z'}$ defined in (4.11.2) with a $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$-lattice of $\mathbb{L}_z[1/p]$ via $\phi_{z',z,*,1}$. Then

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_{p^2}} (\mathbb{L}_z \cap \mathbb{L}_{z'})/p\mathbb{L}_z = \dim_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} (\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B}_z)^\circ_1 \cap \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B}_{z'})^\circ_1)/p\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B}_z)^\circ_1 = n + i - j - \delta.$$

Take a $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$-basis $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ of $\mathbb{L}_z$ such that the image of $(e_{j-i+\delta+1}, \dots, e_n)$ in $\mathbb{L}_z/p\mathbb{L}_z$ form a basis of $(\mathbb{L}_z \cap \mathbb{L}_{z'})/p\mathbb{L}_z$ and such that $p^{-1}e_{n-\delta+1}, \dots, p^{-1}e_n$ form a basis of $(\mathbb{L}_z + \mathbb{L}_{z'})/\mathbb{L}_z$. Then

$$(pe_1, \dots, pe_{j-i+\delta}, e_{j-i+\delta+1}, \dots, e_{n-\delta}, p^{-1}e_{n-\delta+1}, \dots, p^{-1}e_n) \tag{6.4.2}$$

is a basis of $\mathbb{L}_{z'}$, that is $z' \in R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(j-i+\delta,n-\delta)} S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}(z)$ according to the convention of Subsection 6.2.

Conversely, assume that there exists δ with $1 \leq \delta \leq \min\{i-1, n-j\}$ such that the point $z' \in R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(j-i+\delta,n-\delta)} S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}(z)$. We have to prove statement (2), then the non-emptyness of $Y_{i,z} \times_{\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}} Y_{j,z'}$ will follow automatically. Let $\phi_{z',z} : \mathcal{B}_{z'} \to \mathcal{B}_z$ be the unique quasi-isogeny which identifies $\mathbb{L}_{z'}$ with a $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$-lattice of $\mathbb{L}_z[1/p]$. By the definition of $R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(j-i+\delta,n-\delta)} S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}$, there exists a basis $(e_\ell)_{1\leq \ell \leq n}$ of $\mathbb{L}_z$ such that (6.4.2) is a basis of $\mathbb{L}_{z'}$. One checks easily that $p(\mathbb{L}_z + \mathbb{L}_{z'}) \subseteq \mathbb{L}_z \cap \mathbb{L}_{z'}$. We put

$$M_k = \big(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B}_z)^\circ_k \cap \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B}_{z'})^\circ_k\big)/p\big(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B}_z)^\circ_k + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B}_{z'})^\circ_k\big)$$

for $k = 1, 2$. Then one has

$$\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}(M_k) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_{p^2}}(\mathbb{L}_z \cap \mathbb{L}_{z'})/p(\mathbb{L}_z + \mathbb{L}_{z'}) = n + i - j - 2\delta.$$

The Frobenius and Verschiebung on $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B}_z)$ induce two bijective Frobenius semi-linear maps $F : M_1 \to M_2$ and $V^{-1} : M_2 \to M_1$; we denote their linearization by the same notation if no confusions arise. Let $Z_\delta(M_\bullet)$ be the moduli space which attaches to each locally noetherian $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$-scheme S the set of isomorphism classes of pairs (L_1, L_2), where $L_1 \subseteq M_1 \otimes_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} \mathcal{O}_S$ and $L_2 \subseteq M_2 \otimes_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} \mathcal{O}_S$ are subbundles of rank $i - \delta$ and $i - 1 - \delta$ respectively such that

$$L_2 \subseteq F(L_1^{(p)}), \quad V^{-1}(L_2^{(p)}) \subseteq L_1.$$

Note that there exists a basis $(\varepsilon_{k,1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{k,n+i-j-2\delta})$ of M_k for $k = 1, 2$ under which the matrices of F and V^{-1} are both identity. Indeed, by solving a system of equations of Artin-Schreier type, one can take a basis $(\varepsilon_{1,\ell})_{1\leq \ell \leq n+i-j-2\delta}$ for M_1 such that

$$V^{-1}(F(\varepsilon_{1,\ell})) = \varepsilon_{1,\ell} \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq \ell \leq n + i - j - 2\delta;$$

we put $\varepsilon_{2,\ell} = F(\varepsilon_{1,\ell})$. Using these bases to identify both M_1 and M_2 with $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p^{n+i-j-2\delta}$, it is clear that $Z_\delta(M_\bullet)$ is isomorphic to the variety $Z_{i-\delta}^{\langle n+i-j-2\delta\rangle}$ considered in Subsection 5.2.

We have to establish an isomorphism between $Z_\delta(M_\bullet)$ and $Y_{i,z} \times_{\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}} Y_{j,z'}$. Let (L_1, L_2) be an S-point of $Z_\delta(M_\bullet)$. Note that there is a natural surjection

$$\big((\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B}_z)^\circ_k \cap \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B}_{z'})^\circ_k)/p\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B}_z)^\circ\big) \otimes_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} \mathcal{O}_S \to M_k \otimes_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} \mathcal{O}_S;$$

we define $H_{z,k}$ for $k = 1, 2$ to be the inverse image of L_k under this surjection. Then $H_{z,k}$ can be naturally viewed as a subbundle of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}_z)^\circ_k \otimes_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} \mathcal{O}_S$ of rank $i + 1 - k$, and we have $H_{z,2} \subseteq F(H_{z,1}^{(p)})$ and $V^{-1}(H_{z,2}^{(p)}) \subseteq H_{z,1}$ since (L_1, L_2) verify similar properties. Therefore, $(L_1, L_2) \mapsto (\mathcal{B}_{z,S}, \lambda_{z,S}, \eta_{z,S}, H_{z,1}, H_{z,2})$ gives rise to a well-defined map $\varphi'_{i,z} : Z_\delta(M_\bullet) \to Y'_{i,z}$, where $(\mathcal{B}_{z,S}, \lambda_{z,S}, \eta_{z,S})$ is the base change of $(\mathcal{B}_z, \lambda_z, \eta_z)$ to S. Similarly, we have a morphism $\varphi'_{j,z'} : Z_\delta(M_\bullet) \to Y'_{j,z'}$ defined by $(L_1, L_2) \mapsto (\mathcal{B}_{z',S}, \lambda_{z',S}, \eta_{z',S}, H_{z',1}, H_{z',2})$, where $H_{z',k}$ is the inverse image of L_k under the natural surjection:

$$\big(\big(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B}_z)^\circ_k \cap \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B}_{z'})^\circ_k\big)/p\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B}_{z'})^\circ_k\big) \otimes_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} \mathcal{O}_S \to M_k \otimes_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} \mathcal{O}_S.$$

By Proposition 4.8, we get two maps

$$\varphi_{i,z} \colon Z_\delta(M_\bullet) \to Y_{i,z}, \qquad \varphi_{j,z'} \colon Z_\delta(M_\bullet) \to Y_{j,z'}.$$

We claim that $\mathrm{pr}_i \circ \varphi_{i,z} = \mathrm{pr}_j \circ \varphi_{j,z}$, so that $(\varphi_{i,z}, \varphi_{j,z'})$ defines a map

$$\varphi \colon Z_\delta(M_\bullet) \longrightarrow Y_{i,z} \times_{\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}} Y_{j,z'}.$$

Given an S-point (L_1, L_2) of $Z_\delta(M_\bullet)$, let $(A, \lambda, \eta, \mathcal{B}_{z,S}, \lambda_{z,S}, \eta_{z,S}, \phi)$ and $(A', \lambda', \eta', \mathcal{B}_{z',S}, \lambda_{z',S}, \eta'_{z',S}, \phi')$ be respectively the image of (L_1, L_2) under $\varphi_{i,z}$ and $\varphi_{j,z'}$. To prove the claim, we have to show that there is an isomorphism $(A, \lambda, \eta) \cong (A', \lambda', \eta')$ as objects of $\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}$ with values in S. Consider the p-quasi-isogeny

$$f : A' \xleftarrow{\phi'} \mathcal{B}_{z',S} \xrightarrow{\phi_{z',z}} \mathcal{B}_{z,S} \xrightarrow{\phi} A.$$

It is easy to see that $f^\vee \circ \lambda \circ f = \lambda'$ and $f \circ \eta' = \eta$. We need to show that f is an isomorphism of abelian varieties. It suffices to do this in the universal case, i.e. $S = Z_\delta(M_\bullet)$; in particular, S is integral. By Lemma 6.5 proved later, it suffices to check that, after base change from S to an algebraically closed field κ of characteristic p, f is an isomorphism. To simplify the notation, we still use $\mathcal{B}_z$, $\mathcal{B}_{z'}$ to denote their base changes to κ. We identify $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B}_{z'})$, $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)$, $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A')$ with $W(\kappa)$-lattices of $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B}_z)[1/p]$ via the quasi-isogenies $\phi_{z',z} : \mathcal{B}_{z'} \to \mathcal{B}_z$, $\phi^{-1} : A \to \mathcal{B}_z$ and $\phi_{z',z}^{-1} \circ \phi' : A' \to \mathcal{B}_z$. Then by the construction of A (cf. the proof of Proposition 4.8), $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)_1^\circ$ and $\tilde{\omega}^\circ_{A^\vee,1}$ fit into the diagram (6.4.1) such that there is a canonical isomorphism

$$L_1 \simeq \tilde{\omega}^\circ_{A^\vee,1}/p\big(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B}_z)_1^\circ + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B}_{z'})_1^\circ\big) \subseteq \big(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B}_z)_1^\circ \cap \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B}_{z'})_1^\circ\big)/p\big(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B}_z)_1^\circ + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B}_{z'})_1^\circ\big) = M_1; \tag{6.4.3}$$

similarly, we have

$$L_2 \simeq p\tilde{\omega}^\circ_{A^\vee,2}/p\big(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B}_z)_2^\circ + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B}_{z'})_2^\circ\big) \subseteq \big(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B}_z)_2^\circ \cap \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B}_{z'})_2^\circ\big)/p\big(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B}_z)_2^\circ + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B}_{z'})_2^\circ\big) = M_2. \tag{6.4.4}$$

It is easy to see that such relations determine $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)$ uniquely from (L_1, L_2). But the same argument shows that the same relations are satisfied with A replaced by A'. Hence, we see that the quasi-isogeny f induces an isomorphism between the Dieudonné modules of A and A'. As f is a p-quasi-isogeny, this implies immediately that f is an isomorphism of abelian varieties, proving the claim.

It remains to prove that $\varphi\colon Z_\delta(M_\bullet) \xrightarrow{\sim} Y_{i,z} \times_{\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}} Y_{j,z'}$ is an isomorphism. It suffices to show that φ induces bijections on closed points and tangents spaces. The argument is similar to the proof of Proposition 4.8. Indeed, given a closed point $x = (A, \lambda, \eta, \phi, \phi')$ of $Y_{i,z} \times_{\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}} Y_{j,z'}$, then one can construct a unique point $y = (L_1, L_2)$ of $Z_\delta(M_\bullet)$ with $\varphi(y) = x$ by the relations (6.4.3) and (6.4.4). It follows immediately that φ induces a bijection on closed points. Let x and y be as above. By the same argument as in Proposition 4.4, the tangent space of $Z_\delta(M_\bullet)$ at y is given by

$$T_{Z_\delta(M_\bullet),y} \simeq \big(L_1/V^{-1}(L_2^{(p)})\big)^* \otimes \big(M_1/L_1\big) \oplus L_2^* \otimes F(L_1^{(p)})/L_2.$$

On the other hand, using Grothendieck-Messing deformation theory, one sees easily that the tangent space of $Y_{i,z} \times_{\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}} Y_{j,z'}$ at x is given by

$$\begin{aligned} T_{Y_{i,z}\times_{\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}} Y_{j,z'},x} = {} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}\Big(\omega^\circ_{A^\vee,1}, \big(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B}_z)_1^\circ \cap \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B}_{z'})_1^\circ\big)/\tilde{\omega}^\circ_{A^\vee,1}\Big) \\ & \oplus \mathrm{Hom}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}\Big(\tilde{\omega}^\circ_{A^\vee,2}/\big(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B}_z)_2^\circ + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B}_{z'})_2^\circ\big), \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)_2^\circ/\tilde{\omega}^\circ_{A^\vee,2}\Big). \end{aligned}$$

From (6.4.3) and (6.4.4), we see easily that

$$\begin{gathered} \omega^\circ_{A^\vee,1} \cong L_1/V^{-1}(L_2^{(p)}), \quad \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B}_{z'})_1^\circ)/\tilde{\omega}^\circ_{A^\vee,1} \cong M_1/L_1; \\ \tilde{\omega}^\circ_{A^\vee,2}/\big(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B}_z)_2^\circ + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B}_{z'})_2^\circ\big) \cong L_2, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)_2^\circ/\tilde{\omega}^\circ_{A^\vee,2} \cong F(L_1^{(p)})/L_2. \end{gathered}$$

It follows that φ induces a bijection between $T_{Z_\delta(M_\bullet),y}$ and $T_{Y_{i,z}\times_{\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}} Y_{j,z'},x}$. This finishes the proof of Proposition 6.4. □

Lemma 6.5. *Let S be an integral scheme in characteristic p, and $f : A' \to B$ be a p-quasi-isogeny of abelian schemes over S. Then f is an genuine isogeny of abelian schemes if and only if so it is after base change to all geometric points of S.*

Proof. The "only if" part is trivial. Assume now that f is a p-quasi-isogeny whose base change to every geometric point of S is a genuine isogeny. Choose an integer $n \geq 1$ such that $f' = p^n f$ is an isogeny. Then f' is divisible by p^n after changing the base to every geometric point of S. We have to show that f' is divisible by p^n. By induction we may assume that $n = 1$. The statement is equivalent to the inclusion $A[p] \subseteq \mathrm{Ker}(f')$ of closed subgroup schemes locally free of finite type. Let S^{perf} denote the perfection of S. Since S^{perf} is faithfully flat over S, it suffices to check the

inclusion after base change to S^{perf}. Thus we may assume that S is perfect. By a result of Gabber (see also [La13]), the crystalline Dieudonné functor for p-divisible groups over a perfect base is fully faithful. The isogeny f' is divisible by p if and only if its induced morphism on Dieudonné crystals is divisible by p. But $H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A/S)$ is the reduction modulo p of the (covariant) Dieudonné crystal associated to A, it suffices to prove that the induced morphism $f'_*\colon H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A/S) \to H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(B/S)$ is trivial. By assumption, this is the case after changing the base to every geometric point of S. As S is reduced, f'_* vanishes on the entire S. □

6.6. **Applications to cohomology.** Recall that we have a morphism $\mathcal{JL}_i$ (4.18.1) for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. We consider another map in the opposite direction:

$$\nu_i\colon H_{\mathrm{et}}^{2(n-1)}(\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(n-1)) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_i^*} H_{\mathrm{et}}^{2(n-1)}(Y_{i,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\mathrm{et}}^0(\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell),$$

where the second isomorphism is induced by the trace map $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{pr}'_i} : R^{2(n-1)}\pi'_{i,*}\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(n-1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. For $1 \le i, j \le n$, we define

$$m_{i,j} = \nu_j \circ \mathcal{JL}_i : H_{\mathrm{et}}^0(\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{JL}_i} H_{\mathrm{et}}^{2(n-1)}\big(\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(n-1)\big) \xrightarrow{\nu_j} H_{\mathrm{et}}^0(\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell).$$

Putting all the morphisms $\mathcal{JL}_i$ and ν_j together, we get a sequence of morphisms:

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^n H_{\mathrm{et}}^0(\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{JL}} H_{\mathrm{et}}^{2(n-1)}\big(\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(n-1)\big) \xrightarrow{\nu=\sum_j \nu_j} \bigoplus_{j=1}^n H_{\mathrm{et}}^0(\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell). \tag{6.6.1}$$

We see that the composed morphism above is given by the matrix $M = (m_{i,j})_{1\le i,j\le n}$, and we call it the *intersection matrix* of cycles Y_j on $\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}$. All these morphisms are equivariant under the natural action of the Hecke algebra $\mathscr{H}(K^p, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$. We will describe the intersection matrix in terms of the Hecke action of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[K_{\mathfrak{p}}\backslash \mathrm{GL}_n(E_{\mathfrak{p}})/K_{\mathfrak{p}}]$ on $H_{\mathrm{et}}^0(\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$.

The group $H_{\mathrm{et}}^0(\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ is the space of functions on $\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ with values in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. For $z \in \mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, let e_z denote the characteristic function at z. Then the image of z under $K_{\mathfrak{p}}\gamma K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for $\gamma \in \mathrm{GL}_n(E_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is

$$[K_{\mathfrak{p}}\gamma K_{\mathfrak{p}}]_*(e_z) = \sum_{z' \in T_{\mathfrak{p}}(\gamma)(z)} e_{z'},$$

where $T_{\mathfrak{p}}(\gamma)(z)$ means the set theoretic Hecke correspondence defined in Subsection 6.2. In the sequel, we will use the same notation $T_{\mathfrak{p}}(\gamma)$ to denote the action of $[K_{\mathfrak{p}}\gamma K_{\mathfrak{p}}]$ on $H_{\mathrm{et}}^0(\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$. In particular, we have Hecke operators $T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(i)}$, $S_{\mathfrak{p}}$, $R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(a,b)}$, ...

Proposition 6.7. *For $1 \le i \le j \le n$, we have*

$$m_{i,j} = \sum_{\delta=0}^{\min\{i-1,n-j\}} N(n+i-j-2\delta, i-\delta) R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(j-i+\delta,n-\delta)} S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1},$$

$$m_{j,i} = \sum_{\delta=0}^{\min\{i-1,n-j\}} N(n+i-j-2\delta, i-\delta) R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(\delta,n+i-j-\delta)} S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1},$$

where $N(n+i-j-2\delta, i-\delta)$ are the fundamental intersection numbers defined by (5.2.2).

Proof. We have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A_{n-1}(Y_{i,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_{i,*}} & A_{n-1}(\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_j^!} & A_0(Y_{j,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}) \\ \downarrow \mathrm{cl} & & \downarrow \mathrm{cl} & & \downarrow \mathrm{cl} \\ H^0_{\mathrm{et}}(Y_{i,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Gys}_{\mathrm{pr}_i}} & H^{2(n-1)}_{\mathrm{et}}\big(\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(n-1)\big) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_j^*} & H^{2(n-1)}_{\mathrm{et}}(Y_{j,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell). \end{array} \tag{6.7.1}$$

Here, the vertical arrows are cycles class maps, and $\mathrm{pr}_i^!$ is the refined Gysin map defined in (6.3.1). For $z \in \mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, the image of e_z under $m_{i,j}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} m_{i,j}(e_z) &= \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{pr}'_j} \pi_j^* \mathrm{Gys}_{\pi_i} \mathrm{cl}([Y_{i,z}]) = \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{pr}'_j}\big(\mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{pr}_j^! \mathrm{pr}_{i,*}[Y_{i,z}])\big) \\ &= \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{pr}'_j}\Bigg(\sum_{z' \in \mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)} \mathrm{cl}\Big(c_{r(z,z')}\big(\mathcal{E}(Y_{j,z'}, Y_{i,z})\big)\Big) \cdot \mathrm{cl}\big(Y_{j,z'} \times_{\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}} Y_{i,z}\big)\Bigg) \\ &= \sum_{z' \in \mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)} \Bigg(\int_{Y_{j,z'} \times_{\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1}} Y_{i,z}} c_{r(z,z')}\big(\mathcal{E}(Y_{j,z'}, Y_{i,z})\big)\Bigg) e_{z'}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used (6.3.2) in the second step; and $r(z,z')$ is the rank of $\mathcal{E}(Y_{j,z'}, Y_{i,z})$. By Proposition 6.4, $e_{z'}$ has a non-zero contribution in the summation above if and only if there exists an integer δ with $0 \le \delta \le \min\{i-1, n-j\}$ such that $z' \in R_p^{(j-i+\delta, n-\delta)} S_p^{-1}(z)$; in that case, the coefficient of $e_{z'}$ is given by $N(n+i-j-2\delta, i-\delta)$ according to Theorem 5.3. The formula for $m_{i,j}$ now follows immediately. The formula for $m_{j,i}$ is proved in the same manner. □

If we express $m_{i,j}$ in terms of the elementary Hecke operators $T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(k)}$'s, we get the following

Theorem 6.8. *Put $d(n,k) = (2k-1)n - 2k(k-1) - 1$ for integers $1 \le k \le n$. Then, for $1 \le i \le j \le n$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} m_{i,j} &= \sum_{\delta=0}^{\min\{i-1, n-j\}} (-1)^{n+1+i-j}(n+i-j-2\delta) p^{d(n+i-j-2\delta, i-\delta)} T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(j-i+\delta)} T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(n-\delta)} S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}, \\ m_{j,i} &= \sum_{\delta=0}^{\min\{i-1, n-j\}} (-1)^{n+1+i-j}(n+i-j-2\delta) p^{d(n+i-j-2\delta, i-\delta)} T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(\delta)} T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(n+i-j-\delta)} S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We prove only the statement for $m_{i,j}$, and that for $m_{j,i}$ is similar. By Proposition B in Appendix A, the right hand side of the first formula above is

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\delta=0}^{\min\{i-1, n-j\}} (-1)^{n+1-i-j}(n+i-j-2\delta) p^{d(n+i-j-2\delta, i-\delta)} \Bigg(\sum_{k=0}^{\delta} \binom{n+i-j-2\delta+2k}{k}_{p^2} R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(j-i+\delta-k, n-\delta+k)} S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} \Bigg) \\ = &\sum_{r=0}^{\min\{i-1, n-j\}} \big(\star\big) R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(j-i+r, n-r)} S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, we have put $r = \delta - k$, and the expression $\star$ in the parentheses is

$$\begin{aligned} \star &= \sum_{k=0}^{\min\{i-1-r, n-j-r\}} (-1)^{n+1+i-j}(n+i-j-2r-2k) p^{d(n+i-j-2r-2k, i-r-k)} \binom{n+i-j-2r}{k}_{p^2} \\ &= N(n+i-j-2r, i-r) \end{aligned}$$

Here, the last equality is Theorem 5.3. The statement for $m_{i,j}$ now follows from Proposition 6.7. □

Example 6.9. We write down explicitly the intersection matrices when n is small.

(1) Consider first the case $n = 2$. This case is essentially the same as the Hilbert quadratic case studied in [TX14], and the intersection matrix writes as

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} -2p & T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(1)} \\ T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(1)} S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} & -2p \end{pmatrix}.$$

(2) When $n = 3$, Theorem 6.8 gives

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 3p^2 & -2pT_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(1)} & T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(2)} \\ -2pT_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(2)} S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} & 3p^4 + T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(1)} T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(2)} S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} & -2pT_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(1)} \\ T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(1)} S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} & -2pT_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(2)} S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} & 3p^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(3) The intersection matrix for $n = 4$ writes:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} -4p^3 & 3p^2 T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(1)} & -2pT_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(2)} & T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(3)} \\ 3p^2 T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(3)} S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} & -4p^7 - 2pT_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(1)} T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(3)} S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} & 3p^4 T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(1)} + T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(2)} T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(3)} S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} & -2pT_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(2)} \\ -2pT_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(2)} S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} & 3p^4 T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(3)} S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} + T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(1)} T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(2)} S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} & -4p^7 - 2pT_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(1)} T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(3)} S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} & 3p^2 T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(1)} \\ T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(1)} S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} & -2pT_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(2)} S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} & 3p^2 T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(3)} S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} & -4p^3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

6.10. **Proof of Theorem 4.21(1).** Let $\pi \in \mathscr{A}_K$ as in the statement of Theorem 4.21(1). Consider the $(\pi^p)^{K^p}$-isotypical direct factor of the $\mathscr{H}(K^p, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$-equivariant sequence (6.6.1). By Lemma 4.20, it is the same as taking the π^K-isotypical component as $\mathscr{H}(K, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$-modules on all the terms:

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} H^0_{\mathrm{et}}(\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)_\pi \xrightarrow{\mathcal{JL}_\pi} H^{2(n-1)}_{\mathrm{et}}\big(\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(n-1)\big)_\pi \xrightarrow{\nu_\pi} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} H^0_{\mathrm{et}}(\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)_\pi.$$

If $a_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(i)}$ denotes the eigenvalues of $T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(i)}$ on $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ for each $1 \le i \le n$, then $T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(i)}$ acts as the scalar $a_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(i)}$ on all the terms above. Therefore, $\nu_\pi \circ \mathcal{JL}_\pi$ is given by the matrix M_π, which is obtained by replacing $T_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(i)}$ by $a_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(i)}$ in each entry of M. By definition, the $\alpha_{\pi_{\mathfrak{p}},i}$'s are the roots of the Hecke polynomial:

$$X^n + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^i p^{i(i-1)} a_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(i)} X^{n-i}.$$

Then Theorem 4.21(1) follows easily from the following

Lemma 6.11. *We have*

$$\det(M_\pi) = \pm p^{\frac{n(n^2-1)}{3}} \frac{\prod_{i<j} (\alpha_{\pi_{\mathfrak{p}},i} - \alpha_{\pi_{\mathfrak{p}},j})^2}{(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{\pi_{\mathfrak{p}},i})^{n-1}}.$$

Here, $\pm$ means that the formula is up to sign. In particular, $\nu_\pi \circ \mathcal{JL}_\pi$ is an isomorphism if the $\alpha_{\pi_{\mathfrak{p}},i}$'s are distinct.

Proof. Put $\beta_i = \alpha_{\pi_{\mathfrak{p}},i}/p^{n-1}$ for $1 \le i \le n$. For $i = 1, \dots, n$, let s_i be the i-th elementary symmetric polynomial in β_i's. Then we have $a_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(i)} = p^{i(n-i)} s_i$. It follows from Theorem 6.8 that the (i,j)-entry of M_π with $1 \le i \le j \le n$ is given by

$$m_{i,j}(\pi) = s_n^{-1} \sum_{\delta=0}^{\min\{i-1, n-j\}} (-1)^{n+1+i-j} (n+i-j-2\delta) p^{d(n+i-j-2\delta, i-\delta) + (j-i+\delta)(n+i-j-\delta) + \delta(n-\delta)} s_{j-i+\delta} s_{n-\delta}.$$

A direct computation shows that the exponent index on p in each term above is independent of δ, and is equal to $e(i,j) := (n+1)(i+j-1) - (i^2+j^2)$. The same holds when $i > j$. In summary,

we get $m_{i,j}(\pi) = s_n^{-1} p^{e(i,j)} m'_{i,j}(\pi)$ with

$$m'_{i,j}(\pi) = \begin{cases} \sum_{\delta=0}^{\min\{i-1,n-j\}} (-1)^{n+1+i-j}(n+i-j-2\delta) s_{j-i+\delta}\ s_{n-\delta}, & \text{if } i \le j; \\ \sum_{\delta=0}^{\min\{j-1,n-i\}} (-1)^{n+1+j-i}(n+j-i-2\delta) s_\delta\ s_{n+j-i-\delta}, & \text{if } i > j. \end{cases}$$

For any n-permutation σ, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} e(i,\sigma(i)) = \frac{n(n^2-1)}{3}.$$

Thus we get $\det(M_\pi) = p^{\frac{n(n^2-1)}{3}} s_n^{-n} \det(m'_{i,j}(\pi))$. The rest of the computation is purely combinatorial, which is the case $q=-1$ of Theorem C in Appendix C. □

Remark 6.12. We point out that the determinant of the intersection matrix computed by Theorem C holds when there is an auxiliary variable q. The similar phenomenon also appeared in the case of Hilbert modular varieties [TX14], where the computation is related to the combinatorial model of periodic semi-meanders. These motivate us to ask, out of curiosity, whether there might be some quantum version of the construction of cycles, or even Conjecture 2.11, possibly for the geometric Langlands setup.

6.13. **Proof of Theorem 4.21(2).** Given Theorem 4.21(1), it suffices to prove that

$$n \dim H^0_{\mathrm{et}}(\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)_\pi \ge \dim H^{2(n-1)}_{\mathrm{et}}\big(\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(n-1)\big)^{\mathrm{fin}}_\pi. \tag{6.13.1}$$

Actually, by (2.4.1) and (2.6.3), we have

$$H^0_{\mathrm{et}}(\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)_\pi = \pi^K \otimes R_{(0,n),\ell}(\pi), \quad H^{2(n-1)}_{\mathrm{et}}(\mathrm{Sh}_{1,n-1,\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)_\pi = \pi^K \otimes R_{(1,n-1),\ell}(\pi).$$

Write $\pi_p = \pi_{p,0} \otimes \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ as a representation of $G(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \times \mathrm{GL}_n(E_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Let $\chi_{\pi_{p,0}} : \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p/\mathbb{F}_{p^2}) \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ denote the character sending Frob_{p^2} to $\pi_{p,0}(p^2)$, and let $\rho_{\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ be as (2.6.1). By a result of White [Wh12, Theorem E], the multiplicity $m_{a_\bullet}(\pi)$ appearing in (2.6.3) is equal to 1 for $a_\bullet = (1, n-1)$ and $a_\bullet = (0,n)$. Hence, up to semi-simplification, we have

$$\big[R_{(0,n),\ell}(\pi)\big] = \#\ker^1(\mathbb{Q}, G_{0,n}) m_{0,n}(\pi)\Big[\wedge^n \rho_{\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}} \otimes \chi^{-1}_{\pi_{p,0}} \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(\frac{n(n-1)}{2})\Big],$$

$$\big[R_{(1,n-1),\ell}(\pi)\big] = \#\ker^1(\mathbb{Q}, G_{1,n-1}) m_{0,n}(\pi)\Big[\rho_{\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}} \otimes \wedge^{n-1}\rho_{\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}} \otimes \chi^{-1}_{\pi_{p,0}} \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2})\Big].$$

Note that

$$\dim\Big(\rho_{\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}} \otimes \wedge^{n-1}\rho_{\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}} \otimes \chi^{-1}_{\pi_{p,0}} \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2})\Big)^{\mathrm{fin}} = \sum_\zeta \dim(\rho_{\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}} \otimes \wedge^{n-1}\rho_{\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}})^{\mathrm{Frob}_{p^2}=p^{n(n-1)}\zeta},$$

where the superscript "fin" means taking the subspace on which $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p/\mathbb{F}_{p^2})$ acts through a finite quotient, and ζ runs through all roots of unity. If $\alpha_{\pi_{\mathfrak{p}},i}/\alpha_{\pi_{\mathfrak{p}},j}$ is not a root of unity, the right hand side above is equal to the sum of the multiplicities of $\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_{\pi_{\mathfrak{p}},i} = p^{n(n-1)}\zeta$ as eigenvalue of $(\rho_{\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}} \otimes \wedge^{n-1}\rho_{\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}})(\mathrm{Frob}_{p^2})$, which is n. Therefore, under these conditions on the $\alpha_{\pi_{\mathfrak{p}},i}$'s, we have

$$\dim R_{(1,n-1),\ell}(\pi)^{\mathrm{fin}} \le n \cdot \#\ker^1(\mathbb{Q}, G_{1,n-1}) \cdot m_{1,n-1}(\pi),$$

and equality holds if Frob_{p^2} is semi-simple on $R_{(1,n-1),\ell}(\pi)$. On the other hand, we have obviously

$$\dim R_{(0,n),\ell}(\pi) = \#\ker^1(\mathbb{Q}, G_{0,n}) \cdot m_{0,n}(\pi).$$

Now the inequality (6.13.1) follows immediately from the assumption $m_{1,n-1}(G) = m_{0,n}(G)$ and the fact that $\#\ker^1(\mathbb{Q}, G_{1,n-1}) = \#\ker^1(\mathbb{Q}, G_{0,n})$.

7. Construction of cycles in the case of $G(U(r,s)\times U(s,r))$

We keep the notation of Subsection 3.6. In this section, we will give the construction of certain cycles on Shimura varieties for $G(U(r,s)\times U(s,r))$. We always assume that $s\geq r$.

7.1. **Description of the cycles in terms of Dieudonné modules.** Let δ be a non-negative integer with $\delta\leq r$. We consider the case of Conjecture 2.11 when $n=r+s$, $a_1=r$, $a_2=s$, $b_1=r-\delta$, and $b_2=s+\delta$. By a standard computation of GL_n-representations, one sees that the weight multiplicity $m_\lambda(a_\bullet)$ in Conjecture 2.11 is $\binom{s-r+2\delta}{\delta}$. Conjecture 2.11 thus predicts the existence of $\binom{s-r+2\delta}{\delta}$ cycles Y_j on $\mathrm{Sh}_{r,s}$, each of dimension

$$rs+(r-\delta)(s+\delta)=2rs-(s-r)\delta-\delta^2,$$

and each admits a rational map to $\mathrm{Sh}_{r-\delta,s+\delta}$. The principal goal of this section is to construct these cycles, at least conjecturally. We start with the description in terms of the Dieudonné modules at closed points.

Consider the interval $[r-\delta,s+\delta]$; it contains $s-r+2\delta$ unit segments with integer endpoints. We will parametrize the cycles on the Shimura variety by the δ elements subsets of these $s-r+2\delta$ unit segments; there are exactly $\binom{s-r+2\delta}{\delta}$ such subsets. Let $\mathbf{j}$ be one of them. Then we can write the union of all the segments in $\mathbf{j}$ as

$$[j_{1,1},j_{1,2}]\cup[j_{2,1},j_{2,2}]\cup\cdots\cup[j_{\epsilon,1},j_{\epsilon,2}] \tag{7.1.1}$$

such that all $j_{\alpha,i}$ are integers,

$$r-\delta\leq j_{1,1}<j_{1,2}<j_{2,1}<j_{2,2}<\cdots<j_{\epsilon,1}<j_{\epsilon,2}\leq s+\delta,$$

and we have $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\epsilon}(j_{\alpha,2}-j_{\alpha,1})=\delta$. For notational convenience, we put $j_{0,1}=j_{0,2}=0$.

We define $Z_{\mathbf{j}}$ to be the subset of $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$-points z of $\mathrm{Sh}_{r,s}$ such that the reduced Dieudonné modules $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_z)_1^\circ$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_z)_2^\circ$ contain submodules $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2$ satisfying (3.2.1) for $m=\epsilon$, i.e.

$$p^\epsilon\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_z)_i^\circ\subseteq\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_i,\quad F(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_i)\subseteq\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{3-i},\quad\text{and}\quad V(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_i)\subseteq\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{3-i},\quad\text{for } i=1,2,$$

and the following condition for $i=1,2$:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_z)_i^\circ/\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_i\simeq\big(W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)/p^\epsilon\big)^{\oplus j_{1,i}}\oplus\big(W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)/p^{\epsilon-1}\big)^{\oplus(j_{2,i}-j_{1,i})}\oplus\cdots\oplus\big(W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)/p\big)^{\oplus(j_{\epsilon,i}-j_{\epsilon-1,i})}. \tag{7.1.2}$$

We refer to the toy model discussed in Example 7.3 for the motivation of this condition. For technical reasons, we will not prove the set $Z_{\mathbf{j}}$ is the set of $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$-points of a closed subscheme of $\mathrm{Sh}_{r,s}$; instead we prove that a closely related subset of $Z_{\mathbf{j}}$ is. See Remark 7.5.

Applying Proposition 3.2 with $m=\delta$, the submodules $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2$ give rise to a polarized abelian variety $(\mathcal{A}'_z,\lambda'_z)$ over z with an $\mathcal{O}_D$-action and an $\mathcal{O}_D$-equivariant isogeny $\mathcal{A}'_z\to\mathcal{A}_z$. Moreover, by (3.2.2), we have

$$\dim\omega^\circ_{\mathcal{A}'^\vee_z/\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p,1}=\dim\omega^\circ_{\mathcal{A}^\vee_z/\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p,1}+\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\epsilon-1}\Big((\epsilon-\alpha)(j_{\alpha+1,1}-j_{\alpha,1})-(\epsilon-\alpha)(j_{\alpha+1,2}-j_{\alpha,2})\Big)=r-\delta$$

and similarly $\dim\omega^\circ_{\mathcal{A}'^\vee_z/\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p,2}=s+\delta$. So $\mathcal{A}'_z$ satisfies the moduli problem for $\mathrm{Sh}_{r-\delta,s+\delta}$; this suggests a geometric relationship between $Z_{\mathbf{j}}$ and $\mathrm{Sh}_{r-\delta,s+\delta}$ that we make precise in Definition 7.4.

We make an immediate remark that when $\delta=r$, the abelian variety $\mathcal{A}_z$ coming from a point z of $Z_{\mathbf{j}}$ is isogenous to an abelian variety $\mathcal{A}'_z$ that is a moduli object for the Shimura variety $\mathrm{Sh}_{0,r+s}$. Thus both $\mathcal{A}'_z$ and $\mathcal{A}_z$ are supersingular. So every $Z_{\mathbf{j}}$ is contained in the supersingular locus of $\mathrm{Sh}_{r,s}$. In fact, we shall show in Theorem 7.8 that the supersingular locus of $\mathrm{Sh}_{r,s}$ is exactly the union of these $Z_{\mathbf{j}}$'s.

7.2. **Towards a moduli interpretation.** We need to reinterpret the Dieudonné-theoretic condition defining $Z_{\mathbf{j}}$ in a more geometric manner. For $\alpha = 0, \dots, \epsilon$, we define submodules

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\alpha,1} := \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_z)^\circ_1 \cap \frac{1}{p^{\epsilon-\alpha}}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\alpha,2} := \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_z)^\circ_2 \cap \frac{1}{p^{\epsilon-\alpha}}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2$$

of $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_z)^\circ_1$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_z)^\circ_2$; they are easily seen to satisfy condition (3.2.1) with $m = \alpha$. Thus, Proposition 3.2 generates a polarized abelian variety $(A_\alpha, \lambda_\alpha)$ with $\mathcal{O}_D$-action and an $\mathcal{O}_D$-equivariant isogeny $A_\alpha \to \mathcal{A}_z$, where

$$(7.2.1)\quad r_\alpha := \dim \omega^\circ_{A^\vee_\alpha/\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p,1} = r - \sum_{\alpha'=1}^{\alpha} \big(j_{\alpha',2} - j_{\alpha',1}\big) \quad \text{and} \quad s_\alpha := \dim \omega^\circ_{A^\vee_\alpha/\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p,2} = n - \dim \omega^\circ_{A^\vee_\alpha/\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p,1}$$

by the formula (3.2.2). In particular $r_0 = r$, $s_0 = s$, $r_\epsilon = r - \delta$, and $s_\epsilon = s + \delta$.

In fact, applying Proposition 3.2 (with $m = 1$) to the sequence of inclusions

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_i = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\epsilon,i} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\epsilon-1,i} \subset \cdots \subset \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{0,i} = \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_z)^\circ_i,$$

we obtain a sequence of isogenies (each with p-torsion kernels):

$$(7.2.2)\qquad \mathcal{A}'_z = A_\epsilon \xrightarrow{\phi_\epsilon} A_{\epsilon-1} \xrightarrow{\phi_{\epsilon-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{\phi_1} A_0 = \mathcal{A}_z.$$

We have $\ker \phi_\alpha \subseteq A_\alpha[p]$, so that there exists a unique isogeny $\psi_\alpha : A_{\alpha-1} \to A_\alpha$ such that $\psi_\alpha\phi_\alpha = p \cdot \mathrm{id}_{A_\alpha}$ and $\phi_\alpha\psi_\alpha = p \cdot \mathrm{id}_{A_{\alpha-1}}$.

For each α, the cokernel of the induced map on cohomology

$$\phi_{\alpha,*,i} : H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A_\alpha/\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)^\circ_i \to H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A_{\alpha-1}/\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)^\circ_i \quad \big(\text{resp. } \psi_{\alpha,*,i} : H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A_{\alpha-1}/\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)^\circ_i \to H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A_\alpha/\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)^\circ_i\big)$$

is canonically isomorphic to $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\alpha-1,i}/\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\alpha,i}$ (resp. $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\alpha,i}/p\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\alpha-1,i}$), which has dimension $j_{\alpha,i}$ (resp. $n - j_{\alpha,i}$) over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ by a straightforward computation using (7.1.2).

The upshot is that all these numeric information of the chain of isogenies (7.2.2) can be used to reconstruct $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_i$ inside $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_z)^\circ_i$. This idea will be made precise after this important example.

Example 7.3. We give a good toy model for the isogenies of Dieudonné modules. This is the inspiration of the construction of this section. We start with the Dieudonné module $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A_\epsilon)^\circ_1 = \oplus_{i=1}^n W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)\mathbf{e}_j$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A_\epsilon)^\circ_2 = \oplus_{j=1}^n W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)\mathbf{f}_j$. The maps $V_1 : \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A_\epsilon)^\circ_1 \to \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A_\epsilon)^\circ_2$ and $V_2 : \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A_\epsilon)^\circ_2 \to \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A_\epsilon)^\circ_1$, with respect to the given bases, are given by the diagonal matrices

$$\mathrm{Diag}\big(\underbrace{1,\dots,1}_{s+\delta},\underbrace{p,\dots,p}_{r-\delta}\big) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Diag}\big(\underbrace{1,\dots,1}_{r-\delta},\underbrace{p,\dots,p}_{s+\delta}\big),$$

respectively. Using the isogenies ϕ_α's we may naturally identify $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A_\alpha)^\circ_i$ as lattices in $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A_\epsilon)^\circ_i[\frac{1}{p}]$ with induced Frobenius and Vershiebung morphisms. For our toy model, we choose

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A_\alpha)^\circ_1 = W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)\text{-span of } & \tfrac{1}{p^{\epsilon-\alpha}}\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \tfrac{1}{p^{\epsilon-\alpha}}\mathbf{e}_{j_{\alpha+1,1}}, \tfrac{1}{p^{\epsilon-\alpha-1}}\mathbf{e}_{j_{\alpha+1,1}+1}, \dots, \tfrac{1}{p^{\epsilon-\alpha-1}}\mathbf{e}_{j_{\alpha+2,1}},\\
& \tfrac{1}{p^{\epsilon-\alpha-2}}\mathbf{e}_{j_{\alpha+2,1}+1}, \dots, \tfrac{1}{p}\mathbf{e}_{j_{\epsilon,1}}, \mathbf{e}_{j_{\epsilon,1}+1}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n; \text{ and}\\
\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A_\alpha)^\circ_2 = W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)\text{-span of } & \tfrac{1}{p^{\epsilon-\alpha}}\mathbf{f}_1, \dots, \tfrac{1}{p^{\epsilon-\alpha}}\mathbf{f}_{j_{\alpha+1,2}}, \tfrac{1}{p^{\epsilon-\alpha-1}}\mathbf{f}_{j_{\alpha+1,2}+1}, \dots, \tfrac{1}{p^{\epsilon-\alpha-1}}\mathbf{f}_{j_{\alpha+2,2}},\\
& \tfrac{1}{p^{\epsilon-\alpha-2}}\mathbf{f}_{j_{\alpha+2,2}+1}, \dots, \tfrac{1}{p}\mathbf{f}_{j_{\epsilon,2}}, \mathbf{f}_{j_{\epsilon,2}+1}, \dots, \mathbf{f}_n.
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, the Verscheibung $V_1 : \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A_0)^\circ_1 \to \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A_0)^\circ_2$ with respect to the bases above is given by

$$\mathrm{Diag}\big(\underbrace{1,\dots,1}_{r-\delta},*\,*\,*\cdots*\,*\,*,\underbrace{p,\dots,p}_{r-\delta}\big),$$

where the $***$ part is p if the place is in $[j_{\alpha,1}+1, j_{\alpha,2}]$ for some α, and is 1 otherwise. Similarly, the Verscheibung $V_2 : \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A_0)_2^\circ \to \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A_0)_1^\circ$ with respect to the bases above is given by

$$\mathrm{Diag}\big(\underbrace{1,\dots,1}_{r-\delta}, * * * \cdots * **, \underbrace{p,\dots,p}_{r-\delta}\big),$$

where the $***$ part is 1 if the place is in $[j_{\alpha,1}+1, j_{\alpha,2}]$ for some α, and is p otherwise.

Definition 7.4. Let $\mathbf{j}$ be as above. Define the numbers $j_{\alpha,i}$ as in (7.1.1) and the numbers r_α, s_α as in (7.2.1). Let $\underline{Y}_{\mathbf{j}}$ be the functor taking a locally noetherian $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$-scheme S to the set of isomorphism classes of tuples

$$\big(A_0,\dots,A_\epsilon,\lambda_0,\dots,\lambda_\epsilon,\eta_0,\dots,\eta_\epsilon,\phi_1,\dots,\phi_\epsilon,\psi_1,\dots,\psi_\epsilon\big)$$

such that:

(1) For each α, $(A_\alpha,\lambda_\alpha,\eta_\alpha)$ is an S-point of $\mathrm{Sh}_{r_\alpha,s_\alpha}$.

(2) For each α, ϕ_α is an $\mathcal{O}_D$-isogeny $A_\alpha \to A_{\alpha-1}$, with kernel contained in $A_\alpha[p]$, which is compatible with the polarizations in the sense that $p\lambda_\alpha = \phi_\alpha^\vee \circ \lambda_{\alpha-1} \circ \phi_\alpha$ and with the tame level structures in the sense that $\phi_\alpha \circ \eta_\alpha = \eta_{\alpha-1}$.

(3) ψ_α is the isogeny $A_{\alpha-1} \to A_\alpha$ such that $\phi_\alpha\psi_\alpha = p\cdot \mathrm{id}_{A_\alpha}$ and $\psi_\alpha\phi_\alpha = p\cdot \mathrm{id}_{A_{\alpha-1}}$.

(4) For each α and $i=1,2$, the cokernel of the induced map $\phi^{\mathrm{dR}}_{\alpha,*,i} : H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A_\alpha/S)_i^\circ \to H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A_{\alpha-1}/S)_i^\circ$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_S$-module of rank $j_{\alpha,i}$.

(5) For each α and $i=1,2$, the cokernel of the induced map $\psi^{\mathrm{dR}}_{\alpha,*,i} : H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A_{\alpha-1}/S)_i^\circ \to H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A_\alpha/S)_i^\circ$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_S$-module of rank $n-j_{\alpha,i}$. [9]

(6) For each α, $\mathrm{Ker}(\phi^{\mathrm{dR}}_{\alpha,*,2})$ is contained in $\omega^\circ_{A_\alpha^\vee/S,2}$.

(7) For each α, the cokernel of $\phi^{\mathrm{dR}}_{\alpha,*,1} : \omega^\circ_{A_\alpha^\vee/S,1} \to \omega^\circ_{A_{\alpha-1}^\vee/S,1}$ is Zariski locally generated as an $\mathcal{O}_S$-module by at least $r_{\alpha-1} - (r_\alpha - r_\epsilon)$ elements.

(8) For each α, the cokernel of $\psi^{\mathrm{dR}}_{\alpha,*,1} : \omega^\circ_{A_{\alpha-1}^\vee/S,1} \to \omega^\circ_{A_\alpha^\vee/S,1}$ is Zariski locally generated as an $\mathcal{O}_S$-module by at least $r_\alpha - r_\epsilon$ elements.

Clearly, the moduli problem $\underline{Y}_{\mathbf{j}}$ is represented by a proper scheme $Y_{\mathbf{j}}$ of finite type over $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$. The moduli space $Y_{\mathbf{j}}$ admits natural maps to $\mathrm{Sh}_{r-\delta,s+\delta}$ and $\mathrm{Sh}_{r,s}$ by sending the tuple to (A_0,λ_0,η_0) and $(A_\epsilon,\lambda_\epsilon,\eta_\epsilon)$, respectively.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & Y_{\mathbf{j}} & & \\ & \swarrow^{\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbf{j}}} & & \searrow^{\mathrm{pr}'_{\mathbf{j}}} & \\ \mathrm{Sh}_{r,s} & & & & \mathrm{Sh}_{r-\delta,s+\delta}. \end{array}$$

We also point out that conditions (2) and (3) together imply that, for each α and $i=1,2$, we have $\mathrm{Im}(\psi^{\mathrm{dR}}_{\alpha,*,i}) = \mathrm{Ker}(\phi^{\mathrm{dR}}_{\alpha,*,i})$ and $\mathrm{Im}(\phi^{\mathrm{dR}}_{\alpha,*,i}) = \mathrm{Ker}(\psi^{\mathrm{dR}}_{\alpha,*,i})$. We shall freely use this property later on.

Remark 7.5. Conditions (6)(7)(8) in Definition 7.4 are satisfied by the toy model in Example 7.3. They did not appear in moduli problem in Subsection 4.2 because they trivially hold in that case. The purpose of keeping these conditions in the moduli problem and carefully formulating them is to cut off unwanted components. We think the picture is the following: $Z_{\mathbf{j}}$ is probably or at least heuristically the set of $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$-points of a closed subscheme of $\mathrm{Sh}_{r,s}$. But this scheme has many irreducible components, which may have overlaps with other $Z_{\mathbf{j}'}$. Conditions (6)(7)(8) will help select one irreducible component that is "special" for $\mathbf{j}$. When taking the union of all images of $Y_{\mathbf{j}}$,

9. This is in fact a corollary of (2) and (4).

we should still get the union of $Z_{\mathbf{j}}$'s. This is verified in the case of supersingular locus (i.e. $r = \delta$) in Theorem 7.8.

Notation 7.6. Let $Y_{\mathbf{j}}$ as above; it will be convenient to introduce some dummy notation:
– ϕ_0 is the identity map on A_0;
– ψ_ϵ is the identity map on A_ϵ.
We use $Y_{\mathbf{j}}^\circ$ to denote the open subscheme of $Y_{\mathbf{j}}$ representing the functor that takes a locally noetherian $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$-scheme S to the subset of isomorphism classes of tuples

$$\big(A_0, \dots, A_\epsilon, \lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_\epsilon, \eta_0, \dots, \eta_\epsilon, \phi_1, \dots, \phi_\epsilon, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_\epsilon\big)$$

of $Y_{\mathbf{j}}(S)$ such that
(i) for each $\alpha = 1, \dots, \epsilon$, the sum $\phi_{\alpha,*,2}(\omega^\circ_{A^\vee_\alpha/S,2}) + \mathrm{Ker}(\phi^{\mathrm{dR}}_{\alpha-1,*,2})$ is an $\mathcal{O}_S$-subbundle of $H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A_{\alpha-1}/S)^\circ_2$ of rank $(s_{\alpha-1} - j_{\alpha,1}) + j_{\alpha-1,2}$,
(ii) for each $\alpha = 1, \dots, \epsilon$, $\mathrm{Ker}(\phi^{\mathrm{dR}}_{\alpha,*,1}) + \mathrm{Ker}(\psi^{\mathrm{dR}}_{\alpha+1,*,1})$ is an $\mathcal{O}_S$-subbundle of rank $j_{\alpha,1} + (n - j_{\alpha+1,1})$,
(iii) for each α, the cokernel of $\phi^{\mathrm{dR}}_{\alpha,*,1} : \omega^\circ_{A^\vee_\alpha/S,1} \to \omega^\circ_{A^\vee_{\alpha-1}/S,1}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_S$-module of rank $r_{\alpha-1} - (r_\alpha - r_\epsilon)$,
(iv) for each α, the cokernel of $\psi^{\mathrm{dR}}_{\alpha,*,1} : \omega^\circ_{A^\vee_{\alpha-1}/S,1} \to \omega^\circ_{A^\vee_\alpha/S,1}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_S$-module of rank $r_\alpha - r_\epsilon$.
We note that the ranks in Conditions (i) and (ii) are maximal possible and the ranks in Conditions (iii) and (iv) are minimal possible, under the conditions in Definition 7.4; so $Y_{\mathbf{j}}^\circ$ is an open subscheme of $Y_{\mathbf{j}}$.

We point out an additional benefit of having Conditions (ii)–(iv). By (iii), $\omega^\circ_{A^\vee_\alpha/S,1} \cap \mathrm{Ker}(\phi^{\mathrm{dR}}_{\alpha,*,1})$ is an $\mathcal{O}_S$-subbundle of $\omega^\circ_{A^\vee_\alpha/S,1}$ of rank r_ϵ, for $\alpha = 1, \dots, \epsilon$; by (iv), $\omega^\circ_{A^\vee_\alpha/S,1} \cap \mathrm{Ker}(\psi^{\mathrm{dR}}_{\alpha+1,*,1})$ is an $\mathcal{O}_S$-subbundle of $\omega^\circ_{A^\vee_\alpha/S,1}$ of rank $r_\alpha - r_\epsilon$, for $\alpha = 0, \dots, \epsilon - 1$. Combining these two rank estimates and Condition (ii) which implies that $\mathrm{Ker}(\phi^{\mathrm{dR}}_{\alpha,*,1})$ and $\mathrm{Ker}(\psi^{\mathrm{dR}}_{\alpha+1,*,1})$ are disjoint subbundles, we arrive at a *direct sum* decomposition

$$\omega^\circ_{A^\vee_\alpha/S,1} = \big(\omega^\circ_{A^\vee_\alpha/S,1} \cap \mathrm{Ker}(\phi^{\mathrm{dR}}_{\alpha,*,1})\big) \oplus \big(\omega^\circ_{A^\vee_\alpha/S,1} \cap \mathrm{Ker}(\psi^{\mathrm{dR}}_{\alpha+1,*,1})\big), \tag{7.6.1}$$

for $\alpha = 1, \dots, \epsilon - 1$; and we know that $\omega^\circ_{A^\vee_0/S,1} \cap \mathrm{Ker}(\psi^{\mathrm{dR}}_{1,*,1})$ has rank $r_0 - r_\epsilon = \delta$ and $\omega^\circ_{A^\vee_\epsilon/S,1} \subseteq \mathrm{Ker}(\phi^{\mathrm{dR}}_{\epsilon,*,1})$.

We shall show below in Theorem 7.7 that $Y_{\mathbf{j}}^\circ$ is smooth. Unfortunately, we do not know how to prove the non-emptyness of $Y_{\mathbf{j}}^\circ$, nor do we know if some $Y_{\mathbf{j}}$ is completely contained in some other $Y_{\mathbf{j}}$; but a good evidence is that the Dieudonné modules in Example 7.3 satisfies all conditions (i)–(iv) above. Of course, if one can compute the intersection matrix in the sense of Theorem 6.8 and calculate the determinant, one can then probably show that these $Y_{\mathbf{j}}$ are essentially different.

Theorem 7.7. *Each $Y_{\mathbf{j}}^\circ$ is smooth of dimension $rs + (r - \delta)(s + \delta)$ (if not empty).*

Proof. Let $\hat{R}$ be a noetherian $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$-algebra and $\hat{I} \subset \hat{R}$ an ideal such that $\hat{I}^2 = 0$; put $R = \hat{R}/\hat{I}$. Say we want to lift an R-point

$$\big(A_0, \dots, A_\epsilon, \lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_\epsilon, \eta_0, \dots, \eta_\epsilon, \phi_1, \dots, \phi_\epsilon, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_\epsilon\big)$$

of $Y_{\mathbf{j}}^\circ$ an $\hat{R}$-point and we try to compute the corresponding tangent space. By Serre-Tate and Grothendieck-Messing deformation theory we recalled in Theorem 3.4, it is enough to lift, for $i = 1, 2$ and each $\alpha = 0, \dots, \epsilon$, the differentials $\omega^\circ_{A^\vee_\alpha/R,i} \subseteq H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A_\alpha/R)^\circ_i$ to a subbundle $\hat{\omega}_{\alpha,i} \subseteq H_1^{\mathrm{cris}}(A_\alpha/\hat{R})^\circ_i$ such that
(a) $\phi^{\mathrm{cris}}_{\alpha,*,i}(\hat{\omega}_{\alpha,i}) \subseteq \hat{\omega}_{\alpha-1,i}$ and $\psi^{\mathrm{cris}}_{\alpha,*,i}(\hat{\omega}_{\alpha-1,i}) \subseteq \hat{\omega}_{\alpha,i}$ (so that both ϕ_α and ψ_α are lifted, which would automatically imply $\mathrm{Ker}(\phi_\alpha) \in A_\alpha[p]$),

(b) $\hat{\omega}_{\alpha,2} \supseteq \mathrm{Ker}(\phi^{\mathrm{cris}}_{\alpha,*,2})$, and

(c) $\hat{\omega}_{\alpha-1,1}/\phi^{\mathrm{cris}}_{\alpha,*,1}(\hat{\omega}_{\alpha,1})$ is a flat $\hat{R}$-module of rank $r_{\alpha-1} - (r_\alpha - r_\epsilon)$, and $\hat{\omega}_{\alpha,1}/\psi^{\mathrm{cris}}_{\alpha,*,1}(\hat{\omega}_{\alpha-1,1})$ is a flat $\hat{R}$-module of rank $r_\alpha - r_\epsilon$.

We shall see that Condition (i) of Notation 7.6 is automatic. Also, Condition (ii) already holds: since $H^{\mathrm{cris}}_1(A_\alpha/\hat{R})^\circ_1/\big(\mathrm{Ker}(\phi^{\mathrm{cris}}_{\alpha,*,1}) + \mathrm{Ker}(\psi^{\mathrm{cris}}_{\alpha+1,*,1})\big)$ is locally generated by $j_{\alpha+1,1} - j_{\alpha,1}$ elements after modulo $\hat{I}$, it is so prior to modulo $\hat{I}$ by Nakayama's lemma. Note that rank of $\mathrm{Ker}(\phi^{\mathrm{cris}}_{\alpha,*,1})$ and $\mathrm{Ker}(\psi^{\mathrm{cris}}_{\alpha+1,*,1})$ and the number of the generators of the quotient above add up to exactly n; it follows that $\mathrm{Ker}(\phi^{\mathrm{cris}}_{\alpha,*,1}) + \mathrm{Ker}(\psi^{\mathrm{cris}}_{\alpha+1,*,1})$ is a direct sum and the sum is a subbundle of $H^{\mathrm{cris}}_1(A_\alpha/\hat{R})^\circ_1$.

We separate the discussion of lifts at q_1 and q_2, and show that the tangent space $T_{Y^\circ_{\mathbf{j}}}$ is isomorphic to $T_1 \oplus T_2$ for the contributions T_1 and T_2 from the two places. We first look at q_2, as it is easier. Note that the condition (b) $\hat{\omega}_{\alpha,2} \supseteq \mathrm{Ker}(\phi^{\mathrm{cris}}_{\alpha,*,2}) = \mathrm{Im}(\psi^{\mathrm{cris}}_{\alpha,*,2})$ automatically implies that $\psi^{\mathrm{cris}}_{\alpha,*,2}(\hat{\omega}_{\alpha-1,2}) \subseteq \hat{\omega}_{\alpha,2}$; so we can proceed as follows:

Step 0: First lift $\omega^\circ_{A^\vee_\epsilon/R,2}$ to a subbundle $\hat{\omega}_{\epsilon,2}$ of $H^{\mathrm{cris}}_1(A_\epsilon/\hat{R})^\circ_2$ so that it contains $\mathrm{Ker}(\phi^{\mathrm{cris}}_{\epsilon,*,2})$,

Step 1: then lift $\omega^\circ_{A^\vee_{\epsilon-1}/R,2}$ to a subbundle $\hat{\omega}_{\epsilon-1,2}$ of $H^{\mathrm{cris}}_1(A_{\epsilon-1}/\hat{R})^\circ_2$ so that it contains $\phi^{\mathrm{cris}}_{\epsilon,*,2}(\hat{\omega}_{\epsilon,2}) + \mathrm{Ker}(\phi^{\mathrm{cris}}_{\epsilon-1,*,2})$,

....

Step α: then lift $\omega^\circ_{A^\vee_{\epsilon-\alpha}/R,2}$ to a subbundle $\hat{\omega}_{\epsilon-\alpha,2}$ of $H^{\mathrm{cris}}_1(A_{\epsilon-\alpha}/\hat{R})^\circ_2$ so that it contains $\phi^{\mathrm{cris}}_{\epsilon-\alpha+1,*,2}(\hat{\omega}_{\epsilon-\alpha+1,2}) + \mathrm{Ker}(\phi^{\mathrm{cris}}_{\epsilon-\alpha,*,2})$,

....

Step ϵ: finally lift $\omega^\circ_{A^\vee_0/R,2}$ to a subbundle $\hat{\omega}_{0,2}$ of $H^{\mathrm{cris}}_1(A_0/\hat{R})^\circ_2$ so that it contains $\phi^{\mathrm{cris}}_{1,*,2}(\hat{\omega}_{1,2})$.

At Step 0, the choices form a torsor for the group

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R\left(\omega^\circ_{A^\vee_\epsilon/R,2}/\mathrm{Ker}(\phi^{\mathrm{dR}}_{\epsilon,*,2}), \mathrm{Lie}^\circ_{A_\epsilon/R,2}\right) \otimes_R \hat{I};$$

the hom space is a locally free R-module of rank $(s_\epsilon - j_{\epsilon,2})r_\epsilon$.

At Step $\alpha = 1, \ldots, \epsilon$, we observe that condition (i) of the moduli problem $\underline{Y}^\circ_{\mathbf{j}}$ implies that $\phi_{\epsilon-\alpha+1,*,2}(\omega^\circ_{A^\vee_{\epsilon-\alpha+1}/R,2}) + \mathrm{Ker}(\phi^{\mathrm{dR}}_{\epsilon-\alpha,*,2})$ is an R-subbundle of $H^{\mathrm{dR}}_1(A_{\epsilon-\alpha}/R)^\circ_2$ of rank

$$(s_{\epsilon-\alpha+1} - j_{\epsilon-\alpha+1,2}) + j_{\epsilon-\alpha,2} = s_{\epsilon-\alpha} + (j_{\epsilon-\alpha+1,1} - j_{\epsilon-\alpha,2}) \tag{7.7.1}$$

if $\alpha = 1, \ldots, \epsilon-1$, and of rank $s_1 - j_{1,2}$ if $\alpha = \epsilon$. So $\phi^{\mathrm{cris}}_{\epsilon-\alpha+1,*,2}(\hat{\omega}_{\epsilon-\alpha+1,2}) + \mathrm{Ker}(\phi^{\mathrm{cris}}_{\epsilon-\alpha,*,2})$ is an $\hat{R}$-subbundle of $H^{\mathrm{cris}}_1(A_{\epsilon-\alpha}/\hat{R})^\circ_2$ of the same rank. The choices of the lifts $\hat{\omega}_{\epsilon-\alpha,2}$ form a torsor for the group

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R\left(\omega^\circ_{A^\vee_{\epsilon-\alpha}/R,2}/\big(\phi_{\epsilon-\alpha+1,*,2}(\omega^\circ_{A^\vee_{\epsilon-\alpha+1}/R,2}) + \mathrm{Ker}(\phi^{\mathrm{dR}}_{\epsilon-\alpha,*,2})\big), \mathrm{Lie}^\circ_{A_{\epsilon-\alpha}/R,2}\right) \otimes_R \hat{I};$$

by (7.7.1), the hom space is a locally free R-module of rank $(j_{\epsilon-\alpha+1,1} - j_{\epsilon-\alpha,2})r_{\epsilon-\alpha}$ if $\alpha = 1, \ldots, \epsilon-1$ and of rank $(s_0 - (s_1 - j_{1,2}))r_0$ if $\alpha = \epsilon$. This implies that the contribution T_2 to the tangent space $T_{Y^\circ_{\mathbf{j}}}$ at q_2 admits a filtration such that the subquotients are

$$\mathcal{H}om\Big(\omega^\circ_{A^\vee_{\epsilon-\alpha},2}/\big(\phi_{\epsilon-\alpha+1,*,2}(\omega^\circ_{A^\vee_{\epsilon-\alpha+1},2}) + \mathrm{Ker}(\phi^{\mathrm{dR}}_{\epsilon-\alpha,*,2})\big), \mathrm{Lie}^\circ_{A_{\epsilon-\alpha},2}\Big)$$

where $\phi_{\epsilon+1,*,2}(\omega^\circ_{A^\vee_{\epsilon+1},2})$ is interpreted as zero. In particular, T_2 is a locally free sheaf on $Y^\circ_{\mathbf{j}}$ of rank

$$\begin{aligned}&(s_\epsilon - j_{\epsilon,2})r_\epsilon + \big(s_0 - (s_1 - j_{1,2})\big)r_0 + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\epsilon-1}(j_{\epsilon-\alpha+1,1} - j_{\epsilon-\alpha,2})r_{\epsilon-\alpha}\\ =&(s_\epsilon - j_{\epsilon,2})r_\epsilon + j_{1,1}r_0 + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\epsilon-1}(j_{\alpha+1,1} - j_{\alpha,2})r_\alpha.\end{aligned} \tag{7.7.2}$$

We now look at the place q_1. By condition (ii), $\phi^{\mathrm{cris}}_{\alpha,*,1}$ when restricted to $\mathrm{Ker}(\psi^{\mathrm{cris}}_{\alpha+1,*,1})$ is a saturated injection of $\hat{R}$-bundles; and $\psi^{\mathrm{cris}}_{\alpha,*,1}$ when restricted to $\mathrm{Ker}(\phi^{\mathrm{cris}}_{\alpha-1,*,1})$ is also a saturated injection of $\hat{R}$-bundles. We first recall from the discussion in Notation 7.6 especially (7.6.1) that, when $\alpha = 1, \ldots, \epsilon - 1$, $\omega^{\circ}_{A^\vee_\alpha/R,1}$ is the direct sum of

$$\omega^{\circ,\mathrm{Ker}\phi}_{A^\vee_\alpha/R,1} := \omega^{\circ}_{A^\vee_\alpha/R,1} \cap \mathrm{Ker}(\phi^{\mathrm{dR}}_{\alpha,*,1}) \quad \text{and} \quad \omega^{\circ,\mathrm{Ker}\psi}_{A^\vee_\alpha/R,1} := \omega^{\circ}_{A^\vee_\alpha/R,1} \cap \mathrm{Ker}(\psi^{\mathrm{dR}}_{\alpha+1,*,1}),$$

which are locally free R-modules of rank r_ϵ and $r_\alpha - r_\epsilon$, respectively. Similarly, we put

$$\omega^{\circ,\mathrm{Ker}\phi}_{A^\vee_\epsilon/R,1} := \omega^{\circ}_{A^\vee_\epsilon/R,1}, \quad \omega^{\circ,\mathrm{Ker}\psi}_{A^\vee_\epsilon/R,1} := 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \omega^{\circ,\mathrm{Ker}\psi}_{A^\vee_0/R,1} = \omega^{\circ}_{A^\vee_0/R,1} \cap \mathrm{Ker}(\psi^{\mathrm{dR}}_{1,*,1});$$

they have ranks r_ϵ, 0, and $r_0 - r_\epsilon$, respectively. We shall avoid talking about $\omega^{\circ,\mathrm{Ker}\phi}_{A^\vee_0/R,1}$ (as it does not make sense) but only psychologically understands it as the process that enlarges $\omega^{\circ,\mathrm{Ker}\phi}_{A^\vee_0/R,1}$ to $\omega^{\circ}_{A^\vee_0/R,1}$.

For $\alpha = 1, \ldots, \epsilon$, the lift $\hat{\omega}_{\alpha,1}$ takes the form of $\hat{\omega}^{\mathrm{Ker}\phi}_{\alpha,1} \oplus \hat{\omega}^{\mathrm{Ker}\psi}_{\alpha,1}$, where the two direct summands are $\hat{R}$-subbundles of $\mathrm{Ker}(\phi^{\mathrm{cris}}_{\alpha,*,1})$ and of $\mathrm{Ker}(\psi^{\mathrm{cris}}_{\alpha+1,*,1})$, lifting $\omega^{\circ,\mathrm{Ker}\phi}_{A^\vee_\alpha/R,1}$ and $\omega^{\circ,\mathrm{Ker}\psi}_{A^\vee_\alpha/R,1}$, respectively. Whereas, the lift $\hat{\omega}_{0,1}$ contains the lift $\hat{\omega}^{\mathrm{Ker}\psi}_{0,1}$ of $\omega^{\circ,\mathrm{Ker}\psi}_{A^\vee_0/R,1}$ as an $\hat{R}$-subbundle of $\mathrm{Ker}(\psi^{\mathrm{cris}}_{1,*,1})$. Now the compatibility conditions $\phi^{\mathrm{cris}}_{\alpha,*,1}(\hat{\omega}_{\alpha,1}) \subseteq \hat{\omega}_{\alpha-1,1}$ and $\psi^{\mathrm{cris}}_{\alpha,*,1}(\hat{\omega}_{\alpha-1,1}) \subseteq \hat{\omega}_{\alpha,1}$ together with the condition (c) are equivalent to

$$\phi^{\mathrm{cris}}_{\alpha,*,1}(\hat{\omega}^{\mathrm{Ker}\psi}_{\alpha,1}) \subseteq \hat{\omega}^{\mathrm{Ker}\psi}_{\alpha-1,1} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi^{\mathrm{cris}}_{\alpha,*,1}(\hat{\omega}^{\mathrm{Ker}\phi}_{\alpha-1,1}) \subseteq \hat{\omega}^{\mathrm{Ker}\phi}_{\alpha,1}.$$

(The condition (c) on ranks of the quotients are also automatic.) In particular, the tangent space T_1 has three contributions, coming from the lifts $\hat{\omega}^{\mathrm{Ker}\phi}_{\alpha,1}$ (for $\alpha = 1, \ldots, \epsilon$), from the lifts $\hat{\omega}^{\mathrm{Ker}\psi}_{\alpha,1}$ (for $\alpha = 0, \ldots, \epsilon$), and from lifting $\omega^{\circ}_{A^\vee_0/R,1}$ to an $\hat{R}$-subbundle $\hat{\omega}_{0,1}$ of $H^{\mathrm{cris}}_1(A_0/\hat{R})^\circ_1$ containing $\hat{\omega}^{\mathrm{Ker}\psi}_{0,1}$. We shall use $T_1^{\mathrm{Ker}\phi}$, $T_1^{\mathrm{Ker}\psi}$, and $T_1^{\mathrm{Ker}\phi,0}$ to denote these three parts of the tangent space; and they will sit in an exact sequence

$$0 \to T_1^{\mathrm{Ker}\phi,0} \longrightarrow T_1 \longrightarrow T_1^{\mathrm{Ker}\phi} \oplus T_1^{\mathrm{Ker}\psi} \to 0. \tag{7.7.3}$$

We first determine the lifts $\hat{\omega}^{\mathrm{Ker}\phi}_{\alpha,1}$ for $\alpha = 1, \ldots, \epsilon$. For $\hat{\omega}^{\mathrm{Ker}\phi}_{1,1}$, it lifts $\omega^{\circ,\mathrm{Ker}\phi}_{A^\vee_1/R,1}$ as an $\hat{R}$-subbundle of $H^{\mathrm{cris}}_1(A_1/\hat{R})^\circ_1$ of rank r_ϵ (with no further constraint). Then due to the rank constraint (and the injectivity of $\psi^{\mathrm{cris}}_{\alpha,*,1}$ when restricted to $\mathrm{Ker}(\phi^{\mathrm{cris}}_{\alpha+1,*,1})$), each lift $\hat{\omega}^{\mathrm{Ker}\phi}_{\alpha,1}$ is then uniquely determined as the image

$$\psi^{\mathrm{cris}}_{\alpha,*,1} \circ \cdots \circ \psi^{\mathrm{cris}}_{1,*,1}(\hat{\omega}^{\mathrm{Ker}\phi}_{1,1}).$$

So it suffices to consider the choices of the lift $\hat{\omega}^{\mathrm{Ker}\phi}_{1,1}$, which form a torsor for the group

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R\left(\omega^{\circ,\mathrm{Ker}\phi}_{A^\vee_1/R,1}, \mathrm{Ker}(\phi^{\mathrm{dR}}_{1,*,1})/\omega^{\circ,\mathrm{Ker}\phi}_{A^\vee_1/R,1}\right) \otimes_R \hat{I};$$

the hom space is a locally free R-module of rank

$$r_\epsilon(j_{1,1} - r_\epsilon). \tag{7.7.4}$$

It follows that the tangent space $T_1^{\mathrm{Ker}\phi}$ is simply just

$$\mathcal{H}om\Big(\omega^{\circ,\mathrm{Ker}\phi}_{A^\vee_0,1}, \mathrm{Ker}(\phi^{\mathrm{dR}}_{1,*,1})/\omega^{\circ,\mathrm{Ker}\phi}_{A^\vee_0,1}\Big).$$

We now determine the lifts $\hat{\omega}^{\mathrm{Ker}\psi}_{\alpha,1}$ for $\alpha = 0, \ldots, \epsilon$ following the steps below:

Step 0: We start with putting $\hat{\omega}^{\mathrm{Ker}\psi}_{\epsilon,1} = 0$ because $\omega^{\circ,\mathrm{Ker}\psi}_{A^\vee_\epsilon/R,1}$ is,

....

Step α: lift $\omega^{\circ,\mathrm{Ker}\psi}_{A^\vee_{\epsilon-\alpha}/R,1}$ to a subbundle $\hat\omega^{\mathrm{Ker}\psi}_{\epsilon-\alpha,1}$ of $\mathrm{Ker}(\psi^{\mathrm{cris}}_{\epsilon-\alpha+1,*,1})$ so that it contains $\phi^{\mathrm{cris}}_{\epsilon-\alpha+1}(\hat\omega^{\mathrm{Ker}\psi}_{\epsilon-\alpha+1,1})$,
. . . .
Step ϵ: finally lift $\omega^{\circ,\mathrm{Ker}\psi}_{A^\vee_0/R,1}$ to a subbundle $\hat\omega^{\mathrm{Ker}\psi}_{0,1}$ of $\mathrm{Ker}(\psi^{\mathrm{cris}}_{1,*,1})$ so that it contains $\phi^{\mathrm{cris}}_{1,*,1}(\hat\omega^{\mathrm{Ker}\psi}_{1,1})$.

At Step $\alpha = 1, \dots, \epsilon$, the choices of the lifts $\hat\omega^{\mathrm{Ker}\psi}_{\epsilon-\alpha,1}$ form a torsor for the group

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R\left(\omega^{\circ,\mathrm{Ker}\psi}_{A^\vee_{\epsilon-\alpha}/R,1}/\phi_{\epsilon-\alpha+1,*,1}(\omega^{\circ,\mathrm{Ker}\psi}_{A^\vee_{\epsilon-\alpha+1}/R,1}), \mathrm{Ker}(\psi^{\mathrm{dR}}_{\epsilon-\alpha+1,*,1})/\omega^{\circ,\mathrm{Ker}\psi}_{A^\vee_{\epsilon-\alpha}/R,1}\right)\otimes_R \hat I;$$

the hom space is a locally free R-module of rank

$$\big((r_{\epsilon-\alpha}-r_\epsilon)-(r_{\epsilon-\alpha+1}-r_\epsilon)\big)\big((n-j_{\epsilon-\alpha+1,1})-(r_{\epsilon-\alpha}-r_\epsilon)\big).$$

This implies that the tangent space $T_1^{\mathrm{Ker}\psi}$ admits a filtration such that the subquotients are

$$\mathcal{H}om\Big(\omega^{\circ,\mathrm{Ker}\psi}_{A^\vee_{\epsilon-\alpha},1}/\phi_{\epsilon+1-\alpha,*,1}(\omega^{\circ,\mathrm{Ker}\psi}_{A^\vee_{\epsilon+1-\alpha},1}), \mathrm{Ker}(\psi^{\mathrm{dR}}_{\epsilon+1-\alpha,*,1})/\omega^{\circ,\mathrm{Ker}\psi}_{A^\vee_{\epsilon-\alpha},1}\Big)$$

In particular, $T_1^{\mathrm{Ker}\psi}$ is a locally free sheaf on $Y^\circ_{\mathbf{j}}$ of rank

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\epsilon}\big((r_{\epsilon-\alpha}-r_\epsilon)-(r_{\epsilon-\alpha+1}-r_\epsilon)\big)\big((n-j_{\epsilon-\alpha+1,1})-(r_{\epsilon-\alpha}-r_\epsilon)\big)\\ =&\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\epsilon-1}(r_\alpha-r_{\alpha+1})(s_\alpha-j_{\alpha+1,1}+r_\epsilon).\end{aligned}\tag{7.7.5}$$

Finally, we discuss the $\hat R$-module $\hat\omega_{0,1}$ that lifts $\omega^\circ_{A^\vee_0/R,1}$ and contains $\hat\omega^{\mathrm{Ker}\psi}_{0,1}$ we obtained earlier. The lift is subject to one condition: $\hat\omega_{0,1}\subseteq(\psi^{\mathrm{cris}}_{1,*,1})^{-1}(\hat\omega^{\mathrm{Ker}\phi}_{1,1})$. So the choices of the lift form a torsor for the group

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R\left(\omega^\circ_{A^\vee_0/R,1}/\omega^{\circ,\mathrm{Ker}\psi}_{A^\vee_0/R,1}, (\psi^{\mathrm{dR}}_{1,*,1})^{-1}(\omega^{\circ,\mathrm{Ker}\phi}_{A^\vee_1/R,1})/\omega^\circ_{A^\vee_0/R,1}\right)\otimes_R \hat I.$$

This implies that

$$T_1^{\mathrm{Ker}\phi,0}=\mathcal{H}om\Big(\omega^\circ_{A^\vee_0,1}/\omega^{\circ,\mathrm{Ker}\psi}_{A^\vee_0,1}, (\psi^{\mathrm{dR}}_{1,*,1})^{-1}(\omega^{\circ,\mathrm{Ker}\phi}_{A^\vee_1,1})/\omega^\circ_{A^\vee_0,1}\Big),$$

which is locally free of rank

$$\big(r_0-(r_0-r_\epsilon)\big)\big((r_\epsilon+n-j_{1,1})-r_0\big)=r_\epsilon(s_0+r_\epsilon-j_{1,1}).\tag{7.7.6}$$

To sum up, the tangent space $T_{Y_{\mathbf{j}}^\circ}$, as the direct sum $T_1 \oplus T_2$ with T_1 sitting in the exact sequence (7.7.3), is a locally free sheaf of rank given by (7.7.6) + (7.7.4) + (7.7.5) + (7.7.2), that is

$$\begin{aligned}
&r_\epsilon(s_0 + r_\epsilon - j_{1,1}) + r_\epsilon(j_{1,1} - r_\epsilon) + \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\epsilon-1}(r_\alpha - r_{\alpha+1})(s_\alpha - j_{\alpha+1,1} + r_\epsilon) \\
&\qquad + (s_\epsilon - j_{\epsilon,2})r_\epsilon + j_{1,1}r_0 + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\epsilon-1}(j_{\alpha+1,1} - j_{\alpha,2})r_\alpha \\
&= r_\epsilon s_0 + \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\epsilon-1} r_\alpha(s_\alpha - j_{\alpha+1,1} + r_\epsilon) - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\epsilon} r_\alpha(s_{\alpha-1} - j_{\alpha,1} + r_\epsilon) \\
&\qquad + (s_\epsilon - j_{\epsilon,2})r_\epsilon + j_{1,1}r_0 + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\epsilon-1}(j_{\alpha+1,1} - j_{\alpha,2})r_\alpha \\
&= r_\epsilon s_0 + r_0(s_0 - j_{1,1} + r_\epsilon) + r_\epsilon(s_{\epsilon-1} - j_{\epsilon,1} + r_\epsilon) + (s_\epsilon - j_{\epsilon,2})r_\epsilon + j_{1,1}r_0 \\
&\qquad \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\epsilon-1} r_\alpha\Big((s_\alpha - j_{\alpha+1,1} + r_\epsilon) - (s_{\alpha-1} - j_{\alpha,1} + r_\epsilon) + (j_{\alpha+1,1} - j_{\alpha,2})\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

One easily checks that the first line adds up to $r_\epsilon s_\epsilon + r_0 s_0$, and the second line cancels to zero. This concludes the proof of this Theorem. □

In the special case of $\delta = r$, each abelian variety A_α appearing in the moduli problem of $Y_{\mathbf{j}}$ is isogenous to A_ϵ, which is certain abelian variety parametrized by the discrete Shimura variety $\mathrm{Sh}_{0,n}$ and is hence supersingular. So in particular, the image $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbf{j}}(Y_{\mathbf{j}})$ in this case is contained in the supersingular locus of $\mathrm{Sh}_{r,s}$. In fact, the converse is also true:

Theorem 7.8. *Assume $\delta = r$. The supersingular locus of $\mathrm{Sh}_{r,s}$ is the union of all $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathbf{j}}(Y_{\mathbf{j}})$'s.*

Proof. We say a finite torsion $W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$-module has *divisible sequence* $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_\epsilon)$ with nonnegative integers $a_1 \le \cdots \le a_\epsilon$ if it is isomorphic to

$$\big(W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)/p^\epsilon\big)^{\oplus a_1} \oplus \big(W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)/p^{\epsilon-1}\big)^{\oplus(a_2-a_1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus \big(W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)/p\big)^{\oplus(a_\epsilon - a_{\epsilon-1})}.$$

The following is an elementary linear algebra fact, whose proof we leave as an exercise.

Claim: If M_1 and M_2 are two $W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$-modules with divisible sequences $(a_{1,i}, \dots, a_{\epsilon,i})$ for $i = 1, 2$ respectively, and if $M_1 \subseteq M_2$; then we have $a_{\alpha,1} \le a_{\alpha,2}$ for all $\alpha = 1, \dots, \epsilon$.

The proof of the Theorem is similar to Proposition 4.16(3), which is a special case of this theorem. It suffices to look at the closed points of $\mathrm{Sh}_{r,s}$. Let $z = (\mathcal{A}_z, \lambda, \eta) \in \mathrm{Sh}_{r,s}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ be a supersingular point. Consider

$$\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \big(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_z)_1^\circ[1/p]\big)^{F^2=p} = \big\{a \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_z)_1^\circ[1/p] \;\big|\; F^2(a) = pa\big\}.$$

Since x is supersingular, $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}$-vector space of dimension n, and we have $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}} W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)[1/p] = \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_z)_1^\circ[1/p]$. We put

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1^\circ = \big(\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{Q}} \cap \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_z)_1^\circ\big) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}} W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2^\circ = F(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1^\circ) = V(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1^\circ) \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_z)_2^\circ.$$

Then we have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_z)_i^\circ/\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_i \simeq \big(W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)/p^\epsilon\big)^{\oplus j_{1,i}} \oplus \big(W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)/p^{\epsilon-1}\big)^{\oplus(j_{2,i}-j_{1,i})} \oplus \cdots \oplus \big(W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)/p\big)^{\oplus(j_{\epsilon,i}-j_{\epsilon-1,i})}, \tag{7.8.1}$$

for non-decreasing sequences $0 \le j_{1,i} \le j_{2,i} \le \cdots \le j_{\epsilon,i} \le n$ with $i = 1, 2$; in other words, $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_z)_i^\circ/\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_i$ has divisible sequence $(j_{1,i}, \dots, j_{\epsilon,i})$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $j_{1,1}$ and $j_{1,2}$ are

not both zero. The essential part of the proof consists of checking the sequence of inequalities

$$0 \le j_{1,1} < j_{1,2} < j_{2,1} < j_{2,2} < \cdots < j_{\epsilon,1} < j_{\epsilon,2} \le n. \tag{7.8.2}$$

We first prove (7.8.2) with all strict inequalities replaced by non-strict ones. Indeed, the obvious inclusion $F(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_z)_i^\circ) \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_z)_{3-i}^\circ$ implies that

$$F\big(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_z)_1^\circ/\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1\big) = F(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_z)_1^\circ)/\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2 \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_z)_2^\circ/\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2, \quad \text{and}$$
$$F\big(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_z)_2^\circ/\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2\big) = F(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_z)_2^\circ)/p\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1 \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_z)_1^\circ/p\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1.$$

By (7.8.1), the first inclusion embeds a torsion $W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$-module with divisible sequence $(j_{1,1},\dots,j_{\epsilon,1})$ into a torsion $W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$-module with divisible sequence $(j_{1,2},\dots,j_{\epsilon,2})$. The Claim above implies that $j_{\alpha,1} \le j_{\alpha,2}$ for all $\alpha = 1,\dots,\epsilon$. Similarly, by (7.8.1), the second inclusion embeds a torsion $W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$-module with divisible sequence $(j_{1,2},\dots,j_{\epsilon,2})$ into a torsion $W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$-module with divisible sequence $(j_{1,1},\dots,j_{\epsilon,1},n)$. The Claim above implies that $j_{\alpha,2} \le j_{\alpha+1,1}$ for all $\alpha = 1,\dots,\epsilon-1$.

We now use the construction of $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ to show the strict inequalities in (7.8.2). Suppose first that $j_{\alpha,1} = j_{\alpha,2}$ for some $\alpha = 1,\dots,\epsilon$. Then it follows that the maps

$$F,\ V : \Big(p^{\epsilon-\alpha}\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_z)_1^\circ \cap \frac{1}{p}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1^\circ\Big) + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1^\circ \longrightarrow \Big(p^{\epsilon-\alpha}\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_z)_2^\circ \cap \frac{1}{p}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2^\circ\Big) + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2^\circ \tag{7.8.3}$$

are both isomorphisms (due to an easy rank computation as $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2^\circ = F(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1^\circ) = V(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1^\circ)$). By the definition of $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1^\circ$, we must have

$$\Big(\Big(p^{\epsilon-\alpha}\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_z)_1^\circ \cap \frac{1}{p}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1^\circ\Big) + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1^\circ\Big)^{F=V} \subseteq \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{Q}} \cap \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_z)_1^\circ \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1^\circ.$$

But this is absurd because the isomorphisms (7.8.3) implies by Hilbert 90 that the left hand side above generates the source of (7.8.3), which is clearly not contained in $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1^\circ$.

Similarly, suppose that $j_{\alpha,2} = j_{\alpha+1,1}$ for some $\alpha = 1,\dots,\epsilon-1$. Then the following morphisms are isomorphisms

$$F,\ V : \Big(p^{\epsilon-\alpha}\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_z)_2^\circ \cap \frac{1}{p}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2^\circ\Big) + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2^\circ \longrightarrow \Big(p^{\epsilon-\alpha}\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_z)_1^\circ \cap \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1^\circ\Big) + p\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1^\circ, \tag{7.8.4}$$

because $p\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1^\circ = F(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2^\circ) = V(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2^\circ)$ and for length reasons. By the definition of $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1^\circ$, we must have

$$\Big(\Big(p^{\epsilon-\alpha}\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_z)_1^\circ \cap \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1^\circ\Big) + p\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1^\circ\Big)^{F^{-1}=V^{-1}} \subseteq \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{Q}} \cap p\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_z)_1^\circ \subseteq p\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1^\circ.$$

(Note that $\epsilon - \alpha \ge 1$ now.) But this is absurd because the isomorphisms (7.8.4) implies by Hilbert 90 that the left hand side above generates the target of (7.8.4), which is clearly not contained in $p\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1^\circ$.

Summing up, we have proved the strict inequalities (7.8.2). So the $j_{\alpha,i}$'s define a $\mathbf{j}$ as in the beginning of Subsection 7.1. We now construct a point of $Y_{\mathbf{j}}$ which maps to the point $z \in \mathrm{Sh}_{r,s}$. Put

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\alpha,1} := \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_z)_1^\circ \cap \frac{1}{p^{\epsilon-\alpha}}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\alpha,2} := \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_z)_2^\circ \cap \frac{1}{p^{\epsilon-\alpha}}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2. \tag{7.8.5}$$

Using the exact construction in Subsection 7.2, we get the sequence of isogenies of abelian varieties

$$A_\epsilon \underset{\psi_\epsilon}{\overset{\phi_\epsilon}{\rightleftarrows}} A_{\epsilon-1} \underset{\psi_{\epsilon-1}}{\overset{\phi_{\epsilon-1}}{\rightleftarrows}} \cdots \underset{\psi_1}{\overset{\phi_1}{\rightleftarrows}} A_0 = \mathcal{A}_z,$$

such that A_α together with the induced polarization λ_α and the tame level structure η_α gives an $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$-point of $\mathrm{Sh}_{r_\alpha,s_\alpha}$, and $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A_\alpha)_i^\circ \cong \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\alpha,i}$ for all α and $i = 1,2$.

Conditions (2)–(5) of Definition 7.4 easily follow from the description of the quotient $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_z)_i^\circ/\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_i$ in (7.8.1). Condition (6) of Definition 7.4 is equivalent to

$$p\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A_{\alpha-1})_2^\circ \subseteq V(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A_\alpha)_1^\circ).$$

By the construction of these Dieudonné module in (7.8.5), this is equivalent to

$$p\Big(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_z)_2^\circ \cap \frac{1}{p^{\epsilon-\alpha+1}}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2\Big) \subseteq V\Big(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_z)_1^\circ \cap \frac{1}{p^{\epsilon-\alpha}}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1\Big).$$

But this follows from $p\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_z)_2^\circ \subseteq V\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_z)_1^\circ$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2 = V\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1$. Condition (7) of Definition 7.4 is equivalent to $\omega^\circ_{A^\vee_\alpha/S,1} \cap \mathrm{Ker}(\phi^{\mathrm{dR}}_{\alpha,*,1})$ has dimension r_ϵ, which is zero in our case. Translating it into the language of Dieudonné modules, this is equivalent to

$$V\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A_\alpha)_2^\circ \cap p\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A_{\alpha-1})_1^\circ = p\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A_\alpha)_1^\circ.$$

By the construction of these Dieudonné module in (7.8.5), this is equivalent to

$$\Big(V\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_z)_2^\circ \cap \frac{1}{p^{\epsilon-\alpha}}V\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2\Big) \cap \Big(p\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_z)_1^\circ \cap \frac{1}{p^{\epsilon-\alpha}}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1\Big) = p\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_z)_1^\circ \cap \frac{1}{p^{\epsilon-\alpha-1}}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1,$$

which follows from observing that $V\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_z)_2^\circ \supseteq p\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_z)_1^\circ$ and $V\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2 = p\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1$. Condition (8) of Definition 7.4 is equivalent to $\omega^\circ_{A^\vee_{\alpha-1}/S,1} \subseteq \mathrm{Ker}(\psi^{\mathrm{dR}}_{\alpha,*,1})$ (note that $r_\epsilon = 0$ in our case). Translating it into the language of Dieudonné modules and using (7.8.5), this is equivalent to

$$V\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A_{\alpha-1})_2^\circ \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(A_\alpha)_1^\circ, \text{ or equivalently, } V\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_z)_2^\circ \cap \frac{1}{p^{\epsilon-\alpha+1}}V\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2 \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_z)_1^\circ \cap \frac{1}{p^{\epsilon-\alpha}}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1,$$

which follows from observing that $V\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_z)_2^\circ \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}_z)_1^\circ$ and $V\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2 = p\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1$. This concludes the proof of the Theorem. □

Conjecture 7.9. *The varieties $Y_{\mathbf{j}}$ together with the natural morphisms to $\mathrm{Sh}_{r-\delta,s+\delta}$ and $\mathrm{Sh}_{r,s}$ satisfy the condition (3) of Conjecture 2.11. Moreover, the union of the images of $Y_{\mathbf{j}}$ in $\mathrm{Sh}_{r,s}$ is the closure of the locus where the Newton polygon of the universal abelian variety has slopes 0 and 1 each with multiplicity $2(r-\delta)n$, and slope $\frac{1}{2}$ with multiplicity $2(n-2r+2\delta)n$.*

This Conjecture in the case of $r = \delta = 1$ was proved in Theorem 4.21.

A. A calculation of Galois cohomology

In this appendix, we give the proof of Lemma 2.9. We keep the notation from Section 2.

Proof of Lemma 2.9. The proof is similar to [HT01, Lemma I.7.1]. We will look for an $\alpha \in D^\times$ such that $\beta_{b_\bullet} = \alpha\beta_{a_\bullet}$ satisfies the conditions of the Lemma. Let $G^{1,\mathrm{ad}}_{a_\bullet}$ denote the adjoint group of $G^1_{a_\bullet}$. Note that $G^{1,\mathrm{ad}}_{a_\bullet}$ is the Weil restriction to $\mathbb{Q}$ of an algebraic group $PG^1_{a_\bullet}$ over F. The condition that $\beta_{b_\bullet} \in (D^\times)^{*=-1}$ is equivalent to the condition that $\beta_{a_\bullet}\alpha^*\beta_{a_\bullet}^{-1} = \alpha$. Thus α defines a class in $H^1(E/F, PG^1_{a_\bullet})$. Conversely, every class in $H^1(E/F, PG^1_{a_\bullet})$ arises in this way (See *loc. cit.* for details). Moreover, the group $G^1_{b_\bullet}$ is the inner form of $G^1_{a_\bullet}$ classified by

$$[\alpha] \in H^1(F, PG^1_{a_\bullet}) \cong H^1(\mathbb{Q}, G^{1,\mathrm{ad}}_{a_\bullet}).$$

Clozel shows [Cl91, Lemma 2.1] that if n is odd then the natural restriction map

$$H^1(F, PG^1_{a_\bullet}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_x H^1(F_x, PG^1_{a_\bullet})$$

is surjective, where x runs through all places of F. If n is even he shows that there is an exact sequence

$$H^1(F, PG^1_{a_\bullet}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_x H^1(F_x, PG^1_{a_\bullet}) \xrightarrow{\phi=\sum_x \phi_x} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \to 0.$$

Here, $H^1(F_x, PG^1_{a_\bullet})$ is the pointed set classifying the inner forms of $G^1_{a_\bullet, F_x}$ over F_x, with the distinguished class given by $G^1_{a_\bullet, F_x}$ itself. Moreover, if $x = \tau_i$ is an infinite place of F, then $H^1(F_x, PG^1_{a_\bullet})$ is given by the classes of unitary groups $U(r_i, n-r_i)$ over $F_x \cong \mathbb{R}$ for some integer $0 \le r_i \le n$; and the map $\phi_x : H^1(F_x, PG^1_{a_\bullet}) \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ sends the class of $U(r_i, n-r_i)$ to $r_i - a_i \mod 2$. Therefore, regardless of the parity of n, there exists a class $\eta \in H^1(F, PG^1_x)$ whose image in $H^1(F_x, PG^1_{a_\bullet})$ is

– the distinguished class if x a finite place,
– and equal to $b_i - a_i \pmod 2$ if $x = \tau_i$ is an infinite place.

Such a class η exists by the condition $\sum_{i=1}^f b_i \equiv \sum_{i=1}^f a_i \mod 2$. Then η maps to zero in $H^1(E, PG^1_{a_\bullet})$, because the natural map $H^1(E, PG^1_{a_\bullet}) \to \bigoplus_x H^1(E_x, PG^1_{a_\bullet})$ is injective. Thus η is the image of some class $[\alpha] \in H^1(E/F, PG^1_{a_\bullet})$ for some $\alpha \in D^\times$ with $\beta_{a_\bullet} \alpha^* \beta_{a_\bullet}^{-1} = \alpha$. This α satisfies the desired property by construction. □

B. An explicit formula in the local spherical Hecke algebra for GL_n

In this appendix, let F be a local field with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}$, $\varpi \in \mathcal{O}$ be a uniformizer, $\mathbb{F} = \mathcal{O}/\varpi\mathcal{O}$ and $q = \#\mathbb{F}$. Fix an integer $n \ge 1$. We consider the spherical Hecke algebra $\mathscr{H}_K = \mathbb{Z}[K\backslash \mathrm{GL}_n(F)/K]$ with $K = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O})$. Here, the product of two double cosets $u = KxK$ and $v = KyK$ in $\mathscr{H}_K$ is defined as

$$u \cdot v = \sum_w m(u, v; w)\, w, \quad [10] \tag{B.1}$$

where the sum runs through all the double cosets $w = KzK$ contained in $KxKyK$, and the coefficient $m(u, v; w) \in \mathbb{Z}$ is determined as follows: If $KxK = \coprod_{i \in I} x_i K$ and $KyK = \coprod_{j \in J} y_j K$, then

$$m(u, v; w) = \#\{(i, j) \in I \times J \mid x_i y_j K = zK \text{ for a fixed element } z \text{ in } w\}. \tag{B.2}$$

By the theory of elementary divisors, all double cosets KxK are of the form

$$T(a_1, \dots, a_n) := K \operatorname{diag}(\varpi^{a_1}, \dots, \varpi^{a_n})\, K, \quad \text{for } a_i \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } a_1 \ge a_2 \ge \cdots \ge a_n.$$

They form a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\mathscr{H}_K$. We put

$$T^{(r)} = T(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{r}, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-r}) \quad \text{for } 0 \le r \le n,$$

$$R^{(r,s)} = T(\underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{r}, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{s-r}, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-s}) \quad \text{for } 0 \le r \le s \le n.$$

We have thus $R^{(0,s)} = T^{(s)}$, and $T^{(0)} = [K]$.

Because of the lack of reference, we include a proof of the following elementary

10. We may also view elements of $\mathscr{H}_K$ as $\mathbb{Z}$-valued locally constant and compactly supported functions on $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ which are bi-invariant under K, and define the product of $f, g \in \mathscr{H}_K$ as $(f * g)(x) = \int_{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)} f(y) g(y^{-1}x) dy$, where dy means the unique bi-invariant Haar measure on $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ with $\int_K dy = 1$. For the equivalence between these two definitions, see [Gr98, p.4].

Proposition B. *For $1 \leq r \leq n$, let*

$$\binom{n}{r}_q = \frac{(q^n-1)(q^{n-1}-1)\cdots(q^{n-r+1}-1)}{(q-1)(q^2-1)\cdots(q^r-1)} \tag{B.1}$$

be the Gaussian binomial coefficients, and put $\binom{n}{0}_q = 1$. Then for $0 \leq r \leq s \leq n$, we have

$$T^{(r)}T^{(s)} = \sum_{i=0}^{\min\{r,n-s\}} \binom{s-r+2i}{i}_q R^{(r-i,s+i)}.$$

Proof. We fix a set of representatives $\tilde{\mathbb{F}} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ of $\mathbb{F} = \mathcal{O}/\varpi\mathcal{O}$ which contains 0. Then we have $T^{(r)} = \coprod_{x\in\mathcal{S}(n,r)} xK$, where $\mathcal{S}(n,r)$ is the set of $n \times n$ matrices $x = (x_{i,j})_{1\leq i,j\leq n}$ such that
- r of the diagonal entries are equal to ϖ and the reminding $n-r$ ones are qual to 1;
- if $i \neq j$, then $x_{i,j} = 0$ unless $i > j$, $x_{i,i} = 1$ and $x_{j,j} = \varpi$, in which case $x_{i,j}$ can take any values in $\tilde{\mathbb{F}}$.

For instance, the set $\mathcal{S}(3,2)$ consists of matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ x_{2,1} & \varpi & 0 \\ x_{3,1} & 0 & \varpi \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \varpi & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & x_{3,2} & \varpi \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \varpi & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $x_{2,1}, x_{3,1}, x_{3,2} \in \tilde{\mathbb{F}}$. We have a similar decomposition $T^{(s)} = \coprod_{y\in\mathcal{S}(n,s)} yK$. We write $T^{(r)}T^{(s)}$ as a linear combination of $T(a_1,\ldots,a_n)$ with $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a_1 \geq \cdots \geq a_n$. By looking at the diagonal entries of xy, we see easily that only $R^{(r-i,s+i)}$ with $0 \leq i \leq \min\{r, n-s\}$ have non-zero coefficients, which we denote by $C^{(r,s)}(n,i)$. To compute $C^{(r,s)}(n,i)$, we have to count the pairs $(x,y) \in \mathcal{S}(n,r) \times \mathcal{S}(n,s)$ such that

$$xyK = \mathrm{diag}(\underbrace{\varpi^2,\ldots,\varpi^2}_{r-i}, \underbrace{\varpi,\ldots,\varpi}_{s-r+2i}, \underbrace{1,\ldots,1}_{n-s-i})K.$$

In this case, x and y must be of the form

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} \varpi I_{r-i} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{n-s-i} \end{pmatrix}, \quad y = \begin{pmatrix} \varpi I_{r-i} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{n-s-i} \end{pmatrix},$$

where I_k denotes the $k \times k$ identity matrix, and $A \in \mathcal{S}(s-r+2i, i)$, $B \in \mathcal{S}(s-r+2i, s-r+i)$ satisfying $AB \cdot \mathrm{GL}_{s-r+2i}(\mathcal{O}) = \varpi I_{s-r+2i}\mathrm{GL}_{s-r+2i}(\mathcal{O})$. By (B.1), one obtains thus $C^{(r,s)}(n,i) = C^{(i,s-r+i)}(s-r+2i, i)$. Therefore, one is reduced to proving the following Lemma, which is a special case of our proposition. □

Lemma B. *Under the notation and hypothesis of Proposition above, assume moreover that $n = r+s$. Then the coefficient of $R^{(0,n)}$ in the product $T^{(r)}T^{(s)}$ is $\binom{n}{r}_q$.*

Proof. We make an induction on $n \geq 1$. The case $n = 1$ is trivial. We assume thus $n > 1$, and that the statement is true when n is replaced by $n-1$. The case of $r = 0$ being trivial, we may assume that $r \geq 1$. We say a pair $(x,y) \in \mathcal{S}(n,r) \times \mathcal{S}(n,n-r)$ is admissible if $xyK = \varpi I_n K$. We have to show that the number of admissible pairs is equal to $\binom{n}{r}_q$. Let (x,y) be an admissible pair. Denote by I (resp. by J) the set integers $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that $x_{i,i} = \varpi$ (resp. $y_{i,i} = \varpi$). Note that (x,y) being admissible implies that $J = \{1,\ldots,n\}\backslash I$.

Assume first that $x_{1,1} = 1$. Then x and y must be of the form $x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ * & A \end{pmatrix}$ and $y = \begin{pmatrix} \varpi & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$ where $(A,B) \in \mathcal{S}(n-1,r) \times \mathcal{S}(n-1,n-1-r)$ admissible. Note that $xyK = \varpi I_n K$ always hold. We have $x_{i,1} = 0$ for $i \notin I$, and $x_{i,1}$ can take any values in $\mathbb{F}$ for $i \in I$. Therefore, the number of

admissible pairs (x, y) with $x_{1,1} = 1$ is equal to $q^{\#I} = q^r$ times that of admissible (A, B)'s. The latter is equal to $\binom{n-1}{r}_q$ by the induction hypothesis.

Consider now the case $x_{1,1} = \varpi$. One can write $x = \begin{pmatrix} \varpi & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix}$, and $y = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ * & B \end{pmatrix}$ with $(A, B) \in \mathcal{S}(n-1, r-1) \times \mathcal{S}(n-1, n-r)$ admissible. Put $z = xy$. Then an easy computation shows that $z_{j,1} = y_{j,1}$ if $j \in J$, and $z_{j,1} = 0$ if $j \notin J$. Hence, $xyK = \varpi I_n K$ forces that $y_{j,1} = 0$ for all $j > 1$. Therefore, the number of admissible (x, y) in this case is equal to that of admissible (A, B)'s, which is $\binom{n-1}{r-1}_q$ by induction hypothesis. The Lemma now follows immediately from the equality

$$\binom{n}{r}_q = q^r \binom{n-1}{r}_q + \binom{n-1}{r-1}_q.$$

□

C. A Determinant Formula

In this appendix, we prove the following elementary

Theorem C. *Let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ be n indeterminates. For $i = 1, \ldots, n$, let s_i denote the i-th elementary symmetric polynomial in α's, and $s_0 = 1$ by convention. Let q be another indeterminate. We put $q_r = q^{r-1} + q^{r-3} + \cdots + q^{1-r}$. Consider the matrix $M_n(q) = (m_{i,j})$ given as follows:*

$$m_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \sum_{\delta=0}^{\min\{i-1, n-j\}} q_{n+i-j-2\delta}\ s_{j-i+\delta}\ s_{n-\delta} & \text{if } i \le j; \\ \sum_{\delta=0}^{\min\{j-1, n-i\}} q_{n+j-i-2\delta}\ s_{\delta}\ s_{n+j-i+\delta} & \text{if } i > j. \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$\det(M_n(q)) = \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n \prod_{i \neq j} (q\alpha_i - \frac{1}{q}\alpha_j).$$

Proof. Let $N_n(q)$ be the resultant matrix of the polynomials $f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x + q^{-1}\alpha_i)$ and $g(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x + q\alpha_i)$, that is $N_n(q)$ is the $2n \times 2n$ matrix given by

$$N_n(q) = \begin{pmatrix} s_0 & q^{-1}s_1 & q^{-2}s_2 & \cdots & q^{1-n}s_{n-1} & q^{-n}s_n & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & s_0 & q^{-1}s_1 & \cdots & q^{2-n}s_{n-2} & q^{1-n}s_{n-1} & q^{-n}s_n & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & s_0 & q^{-1}s_1 & q^{-2}s_2 & \cdots & q^{-n}s_n \\ s_0 & qs_1 & q^2 s_2 & \cdots & q^{n-1}s_{n-1} & q^n s_n & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & s_0 & qs_1 & \cdots & q^{n-2}s_{n-2} & q^{n-1}s_{n-1} & q^n s_n & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & s_0 & qs_1 & q^2 s_2 & \cdots & q^n s_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is well known that $\det(N_n(q)) = \prod_{i,j}(-q^{-1}\alpha_i + q\alpha_j)$. Thus it suffices to show that $\det(N_n(q)) = (q - q^{-1})^n \det(M_n(q))$.

We first make the following row operations on $N_n(q)$: subtract row i from row $n + i$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$. We obtain a matrix whose first column are all 0 expect the first entry being 1; moreover, one can take out a factor $(q - q^{-1})$ from row $n+1, \ldots, 2n$. Let $N'_n(q)$ be the right lower

$(2n-1)\times(2n-1)$ submatrix of the remaining matrix. Then we have

$$N'_n(q)=\begin{pmatrix} s_0 & q^{-1}s_1 & q^{-2}s_2 & \cdots & q^{1-n}s_{n-1} & q^{-n}s_n & 0 & \cdots & 0\\ 0 & s_0 & q^{-1}s_1 & \cdots & q^{2-n}s_{n-2} & q^{1-n}s_{n-1} & q^{-n}s_n & \cdots & 0\\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & s_0 & q^{-1}s_1 & q^{-2}s_2 & \cdots & q^{-n}s_n\\ q_1s_1 & q_2s_2 & q_3s_3 & \cdots & q_{n-1}s_{n-1} & q_ns_n & 0 & \cdots & 0\\ 0 & q_1s_1 & q_2s_2 & \cdots & q_{n-2}s_{n-2} & q_{n-1}s_{n-1} & q_ns_n & \cdots & 0\\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & q_1s_1 & q_2s_2 & \cdots & q_ns_n \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\det(N_n(q))=(q-q^{-1})^n\det(N'_n(q))$. Thus we are reduced to proving that $\det(N'_n(q))=\det(M_n(q))$. Consider the $(2n-1)\times(2n-1)$ matrix $R=\begin{pmatrix} I_{n-1} & 0\\ C & D\end{pmatrix}$ with the lower $n\times(2n-1)$ submatrix given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} C & D\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix} -q_1s_1 & -q_2s_2 & \cdots & -q_{n-1}s_{n-1} & 1 & q^{-1}s_1 & q^{-2}s_2 & \cdots & q^{2-n}s_{n-2} & q^{1-n}s_{n-1}\\ 0 & -q_1s_1 & \cdots & -q_{n-2}s_{n-2} & 0 & 1 & q^{-1}s_1 & \cdots & q^{3-n}s_{n-3} & q^{2-n}s_{n-2}\\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots\\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & -q_1s_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & q^{-1}s_1\\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By a careful computation, one verifies without difficulty that $RN'_n(q)=\begin{pmatrix} U & *\\ 0 & M_n(q)\end{pmatrix}$, where U is an $(n-1)\times(n-1)$-upper triangular matrix with all diagonal entries equal to 1. Note that $\det(R)=\det(D)=\det(U)=1$, it follows immediately that $\det(N'_n(q))=\det(M_n(q))$. □

References

[BLGG] T. Barnet-Lamb, T. Gee, D. Geraghty, The Sato-Tate conjecture for Hilbert modular forms, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **24** (2011), 411–469.

[Cl91] L. Clozel, Représentations Galoisinnes associées aux représentations automorphes autoduales de GL_n, *Publ. Math. de l'I.H.É.S.* tome **73** (1991), 91–145.

[dF97] P. Di Francesco, $SU(N)$ meander determinants, *J. Math. Phys.* **38** (1997), no. **11**, 5905–5943.

[Fa04] L. Fargues, Cohomologie des espaces de modules de groupes p-divisibles et correspondences de Langlands locales, in *Variétés de Shimura, espaces de Rapoport-Zink et correspondances de Langlands locales*, Astérisque **291** (2004), 1–199.

[FKK13] B. Fontaine, J. Kamnitzer, and G. Kuperberg Buildings, spiders, and geometric Satake, *Compos. Math.* **149** (2013), no. **11**, 1871–1912.

[Fu98] W. Fulton, *Intersection theory*. Second edition. *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete*. **3**. Folge. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998. xiv+470 pp.

[GH15] U. Görtz and X. He, Basic loci in Shimura varieties of Coxeter type, *Cambridge J. of Math.* (2015), Vol. **3**, No. 3, 323-353.

[Gr98] B. Gross, On the Satake isomorphism, in *Galois representations in arithmetic algebraic geometry (Durham, 1996)*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. **254**, Cambridge Univ. Press, (1998), 223–237.

[Gr74] A. Grothendieck, *Groupes de Barsotti-Tate et cristaux de Dieudonné*, *Séminaire de Mathématiques Supérior* 45, Presses de l'Université de Montréal (1974).

[SGA4] A. Grothendieck *et al.*, *Théorie de topos et Cohomologie étale*, Tome 3. Séminaire de Géométrie algébrique du Bois-Marie 1963-1964 (SGA 4 III), dirigé par M. Artin, A. Grothendieck, J. L. Verdier, avec la collaboration de P. Deligne, B. Saint-Donat. Lecture notes in Math. **305**, Springer-Verlag, (1973).

[SGA5] A. Grothendieck *et al.*, , Cohomologie ℓ-adique et fonctions L. Séminaire de Géométrie algébrique du Bois-Marie 1964-1965 (SGA 5), dirigé par A. Grothendieck avec la collaboration de I. Bucur, C. Houzel, L. Illusie, J.-P. Jouanalou et J. P. Serre. Lecture notes in Math. **589**, Springer-Verlag, (1977).

[HL04] M. Harris and J.-P. Labesse, Conditional base change for unitary groups, *Asian J. Math.* **8** (2004), no. **4**, 653–683.

[HT01] M. Harris and R. Taylor, *The geometry and cohomology of some simple Shimura varieties*, with an appendix by V. G. Berkovich, Princeton University Press, (2001).

[He10] D. Helm, Towards a geometric Jacquet-Langlands correspondence for unitary Shimura varieties, *Duke Math. J.* **155** (2010), no. 3, 483–518.

[He12] D. Helm, A geometric Jacquet-Langlands correspondence for $U(2)$ Shimura varieties, *Israel J. Math.* **187** (2012), 37–80.

[HP14] B. Howard and G. Pappas, On the supersingular locus of the $GU(2,2)$ Shimura variety, *Algebra and Number Theory* **8** (2014), 1659–1699.

[Ki10] M. Kisin, Integral models for Shimura varieties of abelian type, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **23** (2010), no. 4, 967–1012.

[Ko92a] R. Kottwitz, Points on some Shimura varieties over finite fields. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **5** (1992), no. 2, 373–444.

[Ko92b] R. Kottwitz, On the λ-adic representations associated to some simple Shimura varieties, *Inven. Math.* **108** (1992), 653–665.

[La13] E. Lau, Smoothness of the truncated display functor, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **26** (2013), no. 1, 129–165.

[MM90] B. Mazur and W. Messing, *Universal extensions and one-dimensional crystalline cohomology*, Lecture Notes in Math. Vol. **370**, Springer-Verlag (1974).

[Mo13+] C. P. Mok, Endoscopic classification of representations of quasi-split unitary groups. Preprint available at the author's homepage.

[Mu74] D. Mumford, *Abelian Varities*, Second Edition, Hindustan Book Agency, (1974).

[PR05] G. Pappas, M. Rapoport, Local models in the ramified case. II. Splitting models. *Duke Math. J.* **127** (2005), no. **2**, 193–250.

[RTW14] M. Rapoport, U. Terstiege, S. Wilson, The supersingular locus of the Shimura variety for $GU(n-1,1)$ over a ramified prime, *Math. Zeit.* Vol. **267**, 3 (2014), 1165-1181.

[RX14+] D. Reduzzi and L. Xiao, Partial Hasse invariants on splitting models of Hilbert modular varieties, `arXiv:1405.6349`.

[Se96] J. P. Serre, Two letters on quaternions and modular forms (mod p), *Israel J. Math.* **95** (1996), 281–299.

[Ta66] J. Tate, Endomorphisms of abelian varieties over finite fields, *Inven. Math.* **2** (1966), 134–144.

[TX13] Y. Tian and L. Xiao, On Goren-Oort stratification for quaternionic Shimura varieties, `arXiv:1308.0790`.

[TX14] Y. Tian and L. Xiao, Tate cycles on quaternionic Shimura varieties over finite fields, `arXiv:1410.2321`.

[VW11] I. Vollaard and T. Wedhorn, The supersingular locus of the Shimura variety of $GU(1,n-1)$ II, *Inventiones Math.* **184** (2011), 591–627.

[Wh12] P. J. White, Tempered automorphic representation of the unitary group, preprint in 2012.

[XZ++] L. Xiao and X. Zhu, *in preparation.*